Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: April 25, 2023 **Time:** 11:15 AM **Owner:** Benson Joint Trust

Inspection Address: 9950 Indigo Trail N, Grant, MN 55115

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This older system (installed in 1994) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. This system was not pumped at the time of inspection.

Prior records indicated only the first septic tank had maintenance pumping completed, it is unknown when the second septic tank may have been maintained and what affect, if any, it may have had on the future life span on the subsurface sewage treatment system. Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the second septic tank manhole cover is buried.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer		
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County				
Property address: 9950 Indigo Trail N, Grant, MN 55115				
Owner/representative: Benson Joint Trust / Mr. Richard Carlso	on (Friend)	Owner's phone: 651-353-5735		
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock t	•			
System status				
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4/25/2023				
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	⊠ Noncompliant – Notic	ce of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.			
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.			
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)			
 Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) − Immin Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) − Failing to prote Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #5) − Failing to prote Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations Prior records indicated only the first septic tank had maintenance tank may have been maintained and what affect, if any, it may be treatment system. Although not a compliance criteria, it should 	nent threat to public health a ct groundwater Imminent threat to public health a public healt	ealth and safety hter Failing to protect groundwater liant - local ordinance applies unknown when the second septic ban on the subsurface sewage		
Certification				
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.				
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be		
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852		
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After 1)		License number: L2896		
(This document has been electronically sign	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or locally required supporting do	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>		
☑ Soil observation logs☑ System/As-Built☑ Locally red☑ Other information (list):Report Summary, Property Information	· -	rity Assessment		

ty Address: _9950 Indigo Trail N, Grant ss Name: _Midwest Sewer Services		Date: 4/25/2023
npact on public health – Co	mpliance comp	ponent #1 of 5
Compliance criteria:	<u> </u>	Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates i imminent threat to public health and		
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5
ank integrity – Compliance o	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Attached supporting documentation:
	component #2	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica	Yes* No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies w Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica	Yes* No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies we
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies w Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ tes the systemer. results:	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Pro	perty Address: 9950 Indigo Trail N, Grant, MN 55115	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 4/25/2023
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or un	nsecured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or sa	ıfety? ☐ Yes* 🛛 No 🗌 Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☐ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □	
	Attached Supporting documentation. 2 Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 🛭 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \square Yes \square No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be comple	ted.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
_	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 Page 3 of 4

usiness Name: Midwest Sewer Services			Date: <u>4/25/2023</u>		
Soil separation – Compliance con	npone	nt #5 o	f 5		
Date of installation 1994 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes	□No	Attached supporting documentation:		
			Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	☐ Yes ☐ N	☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)	
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:					
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b.Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☒ No*	⊠ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*		
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021


Describe verification methods and results:

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.						
Date of Inspection: April 25, 2023	Time: 11:15 AM					
Property Address: 9950 Indigo Trail N, Grant, MN	Zip: 55115					
Property Owner: Benson Joint Trust	Phone:					
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system					
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ☐ Yes ☐ No *I						
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole co						
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of	the system.					
Year house built: 1994 Year septic installed: 1994	Tank size (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000					
	residents in home?					
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by	2					
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath	1?					
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?						
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the s	septic system?					
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?						
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other b	Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?					
Location of septic system on lot? West Side						
	ne well a deep well? Y					
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups,						
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:						
When was the system last pumped? 2021 Name of put	mper: Olson's Sewer Service					
How often pumped in previous years? Is syste	m on a monitoring plan?					
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?						
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y						
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?						
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection.						

this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:	Date:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 9950 Indigo Trail N, Grant, MN 55115							
Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser					Date:	4/25/2023	
Cl	assific	ation System:	USDA				
	Soil	Observation:	ST-1		Soil O	bservation:	
Elevation	Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench			face tion of vation			
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered		Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-7 7-18 18-34 34-51 51-65	≈10	10YR 3/3 l 7.5YR 3/4 Roots, Root Cha An 7.5YR 4 7.5YR 4/4	Loamy Fine Sand Loamy Fine Sand Clay Loam With Annels, Iron Nodules, d Gravel 4/4 Silt Loam Silt Loam With 8 5/8 Redox				
51" [Depth T	To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox			Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Ro		Observation Or Redox
Same E	Elevatio	on Of Observation Relative To System			Elevation Of Observation Relative To Syst		tion Relative To System
						Distribution Media	
=5" C	Of Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	ration	
Fnd C	of Soil (Observation At:	65"	Fnd ∩f	Soil Ob	servation At:	
		Conditions At:	51"			onditions At:	
			None			r Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None Sta			Ctantai				

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 46 Inches			
Signature:	Offer 1/2		

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.