

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Property information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 34.028.21.12.0004 Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
	Local tracking	number:
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	Reason for Inspection	property sale
Local regulatory authority into. Washington County		
Property address: 9567 Dale Rd Woodbury, MN 55129		
Owner/representative: Raja Pabba		Owner's phone: <u>937-219-7963</u>
Brief system description: Two septic tanks and a pump tank lift System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 05/02/2023		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Not	ce of noncompliance
·	•	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04. subdivision 8 is discovered or	use discontinued within the	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.	upgraded, replaced, or its u	health and safety (ITPHS) must be se discontinued within ten months of receip rter period if required by local ordinance or
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	under section 145A.04 subc	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applica		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #		health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compo	nent #3) – <i>Imminent threat to</i>	public health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compo		
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080	0.2500 (Compliance compone	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fail		
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co	ompliance component #4) – /	Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
Reviewed design, permit, soil, pumping and inspection	records on file at Washington	County.
Reviewed design, permit, soil, pumping and inspection	,000,00 017 /// 01 / / 02//// 97-	,
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathere	ed to determine the compliance nown conditions during system (status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system.
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathere future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkninadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	nown conditions during system (construction, possible abuse of the system.
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathere future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkninadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be trusted for the purpose of processing this form.	nown conditions during system (construction, possible abuse of the system. I knowledge, and that this information can be
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathere future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkninadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be trused for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	nown conditions during system (construction, possible abuse of the system. / knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 323
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathere future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkninadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be trusted for the purpose of processing this form.	nown conditions during system of the and correct, to the best of m	construction, possible abuse of the system. I knowledge, and that this information can b
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathere future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkninadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be trused for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: Tom Trooien (This document has been electronically services)	nown conditions during system of the and correct, to the best of m	construction, possible abuse of the system. / knowledge, and that this information can b Certification number: 323 License number: 1568 Phone: 612-594-449
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathere future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkninadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be trused for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: Tom Trooien (This document has been electronically some content of the purpose of processing this form.	nown conditions during system of the and correct, to the best of m	Certification number: 323 License number: 1568 Phone: 612-594-448
future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkninadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be trused for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: Tom Troolen (This document has been electronically some soil observation logs System/As-Built Locally solutions.)	nown conditions during system of the and correct, to the best of magnetic signed)	Certification number: 323 License number: 1568 Phone: 612-594-448


Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentat	ion:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
kny Tyck ^a answir utove indicatos Immaess modi to public is tekny			
Describe verification methods and			
None of the above observed.			
	component #2		ion:
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentat ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	ion:
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentat	i ion: Pinky's
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their		Attached supporting documentat ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes No	Attached supporting documentat ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus	Pinky's siness: 1613 5/2/2023
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes No	Attached supporting documentat ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (A) Date of maintenance	Pinky's siness: 1613 5/2/2023
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" rewer about indi-	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentat ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businese of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be volume for minimum for must be volume for must be volume for must be volume for minimum for must be volume for must be volum	Pinky's siness: 1613 5/2/2023 Attach) within three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentat ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Pinky's siness: 1613 5/2/2023 Attach) within three years) sessment complies w
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" rewer abor linds	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentat ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businese of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be volume for minimum for must be volume for must be volume for must be volume for minimum for must be volume for must be volum	Pinky's siness: 1613 5/2/2023 Attach) within three years) sessment complies w
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any types to probe type above taking to probe type to new as	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No	Attached supporting documentat ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business busines	Pinky's siness: 1613 5/2/2023 Attach) within three years) sessment complies to
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" are were about indicating to protect you newest. Describe verification methods an Opened the maintenance hole cove	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ tes the system ☐ results: rs for pumping and in	Attached supporting documentat ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not not not other: ☐ Other:	Pinky's 5/2/2023 Attach) within three years) sessment complies in the eccessary – explain be
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any types the week about their designed to protect your constant. Describe verification methods an Opened the maintenance hole cover pumped and back-flushed through to protect your constant.	Yes No Yes No Yes No ates the system of results: rs for pumping and in the maintenance holes.	Attached supporting documentat ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business and interpretation business busine	Pinky's Siness: 1613 5/2/2023 Attach) within three years) sessment complies ecessary – explain be
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any types the week about their designed to protect your constant. Describe verification methods an Opened the maintenance hole cover pumped and back-flushed through to protect your constant.	Yes No Yes No Yes No ates the system of results: rs for pumping and in the maintenance holes.	Attached supporting documentat ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not not not other: ☐ Other:	Pinky's Siness: 1613 5/2/2023 Attach) within three years) sessment complies ecessary – explain be

roperty Address: _9567 Dale Rd Woodbury, MN 55129 usiness Name: _All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 05/02/2023
Other compliance conditions - Compliance companent #2 of E	
Other compliance conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5	accurad?
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unknown	secured?
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ety? 🗌 Yes` 🛛 No 🔲 Unknow
res to 3a or 3a - System by an Parent of threat to public learling to the	•
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
PKCS to Be on The System is a stag to profit cogrammation.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No	of 5 Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No	of 5 Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ No	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Wes No No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete.	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Solution Department Depar	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Solution Department Depar	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer inclosures nancompliance.	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer inclosures nancompliance.	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer inclosures nancompliance.	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require

usiness Name:All Stat	te Septic Services LLC			Date: (05/02/2023
Soil separation	 Compliance cor 	npone	nt #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation	8/16/2002 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_⊠ Unkr	nown		
Shoreland/Wellhead	protection/Food	✓ Yes	□No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?				$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Soil observation logs completed for the	ne report
Compliance criteri	a (select one):	.,		☐ Two previous verifications of required	d vertical separatio
not located in Shor	not serving a food.	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	a)
Drainfield has at le separation distand saturated soil or be					
5b. Non-performance	systems built		☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations	T
April 1, 1996, or la performance syste	ter or for non- ms located in Shoreland			A. Bottom of distribution media	2.3
	ction Areas or serving a lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	5.5
Drainfield has a th				C. System separation	3.2
separation distanc	e from periodically			D. Required compliance separation*	3.0
saturated soil or be	edrock.*		40.004 - An of 4000	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	lowed by Local
systems built unde Type IV or V syste Rules 7080. 2350 (Intermediate Insp 2.500 gallons per	ems built under 2008		□ No		
Drainfield meets the separation distance saturated soil or be	ne designed vertical ce from periodically edrock.				

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas. Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



, A		
	Š	

Soil Observation Log

		Project ID:	v 03.15.2023
Raja Pabba	ba	Location / Address:	9567 Dale Rd Woodbury, MN 55129
the all that apply)	Outwach Jacustrine Joess	Till Mluvium	Bedrock Organic Matter Disturbed/Fill

,	×		rdd-G -i-G	1			locat	Location / Address		9567 Dale Rd Woodbury, MN 55129	oury, MN 55129	
llent:		-	raja rab							Annator Dictions	7.7.7.4.7.E.II	
oil parent ma	oil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	ck all that	apply)	0.0	Outwash	Lacustrine	Loess	Alluvium Bec	Бедгоск	Organic Matter Disturbed/Fill	ed/rm	
andscape Position:	ition:				Slope %:	••	Slope shape:			Flooding/Run-On potential:)n potential:	
Vegetation:				Soil s	Soil survey map units:	o units:			Surface El	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	benchmark:	
ate/Time of [ate/Time of Day/Weather Conditions:	onditions:		5/2/23	5/2/23 am clear					Limiting Layer Elevation:	r Elevation:	
Observation	Observation #/Location:	B.	B-1					Observation Type:	ion Type:		Auger	
		80 \$						1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		Structure	a	
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrix	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle	Mottle Color(s)	Kedox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	
0.14	loam	<35	10YR 3/3	3/3								
			10YR 4/4	4/4					······································			
14-24	clay loam	<35		-								
	sandy clay	Ü	10YR	4/3					de distribuir de la constanta della constanta de la constanta de la constanta de la constanta			
24-40	loam											
	-	100	7.5YR	4/4								
40-50	sandy toam	<35										
	•	L	7.5YR	4/3								
99-09	sand	<35										
Comments:												
l hereby certii	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all a	sompleted	this work i	in accorda	ance with	all applice	pplicable ordinances, rules and laws.	es and laws.				
	Tom Trooien			Tom Trooien	oien				1568		5/2/23	
(Des	(Designer/Inspector)	r)	: : 1			(Signature)	(e) COOF 0 ==================================	, c	(License #)		(Date)	
Optional Verif periodically sa	Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was venited according periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	oy certify th bedrock at 1	nat this soil the propose	observati d soil trea	on was ver tment and	ined accord dispersalis	according to Minn. K. 7052.0000 supp. 5 A. lersal site.	osoo subb. s A.	יייי איייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	פנסאי ופטופאפוונא מון ווי	The signature below represents an innera vernication of the)
JACON	(1011/Decioner/Inspector)	+for)	1			(Signature)	(έ		(Cert #)		(Date)	
15001	1531811611111111111111111111111111111111	, IQ1 ,				3	***************************************					Westernament of the Parkettern

g

0
Ĭ
으.
پ
Ø
>
Ų
Ñ
ps
$\overline{}$
\cup
0
i

v 03.15.2023

Project ID:

			chhan chan	h.			locat	location / Address:	.X	36	67 Dale R	d Woodbur	9567 Dale Rd Woodbury, MN 55129	
lient:			naja ran	Da						3				
oil parent ma	ioil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that	apply)	Out	Outwash 🔲 Lacu	Lacustrine	Loess Till	Alluvium	Bedrock	Organic Matter	Matter	Disturbed/Fill		
and scape Position:	ition:				Slope %:		Slope shape:				Floodi	Flooding/Run-On potential:	potential:	
Vegetation:				Soil su	Soil survey map units:	its:			S	urface Elev	/ation-Rel	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	nchmark:	
Jate/Time of I	Date/Time of Day/Weather Conditions:	onditions:		5/2/23	5/2/23 am clear						Limit	Limiting Layer Elevation:	levation:	
Observation	Observation #/Location:	B	B-2					obse Obse	Observation Type:	pe:		,	Auger	
		Rock				1 7 7	(a) basily walland	yo te o speci	(6)			Structure		
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrix	Matríx Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	or(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)		Shape	Grade	le	Consistence	tence
	_	30	10YR 3/3	3/3										
0-10	loam	<.33												
700	sandy clay	735	10YR 4/4	4/4										
97-01	loam	Ç,												
	-	L	10YR	4/3			***************************************							
26-36	sandy loam	<35												
	-	L	7.5YR 4/4	4/4										
36-66	sand	<\$>												
Comments:														
I hereby certi	ify that I have o	completed	this work	in accord	ance with all	applicab	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws	es and law	Š.					
	Tom Trooien			Tom Trooien	oien					1568		ŀ	5/2	5/2/23
(De: Optional Verif penodically sa	(Designer/Inspector) Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according penodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	r) by certify th bedrock at	nat this soil the propose	l observatic ed soil trea	(Sig on was verified trment and disp		nature) according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. ersal site.	.0500 subp.		(License #) ne signature bel	ow represe	ents an infie	(License #) The signature below represents an infield verification of the	i te) n of the
			1					ı					Ġ	, — 4
(1191)	(1 GH/Designer/Inspector)	tor			(S)	(Signature)))	(Cert #)			e(1)	(Date)