

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

### Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: <u>Washington County</u>		
Property address: <u>12255 120<sup>th</sup> St S Denmark Twp, MN 55033</u>		
Owner/representative: Ken & Karen Cordes		Owner's phone: 651-437-8464
Brief system description: Holding Tank		

### System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/5/2023

Compliant – Certificate of compliance\*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

\*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

#### □ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

#### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

#### **Comments or recommendations**

# Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

Brian Humpal After

Certification number: 5342/9852

Inspector signature:

nt has been electronic	cally signed)
------------------------	---------------

License number: L2896

Phone: 651-492-7550

### Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

□ Soil observation logs ⊠ System/As-Built □ Locally required forms ⊠ Tank Integrity Assessment □ Operating Permit ⊠ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer

Property Address: 12255 120<sup>th</sup> St S Denmark Twp, MN 55033

Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 6/5/2023

# 1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:		
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable		
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•	-		

Describe verification methods and results:

None of the above found.

# 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting de	ocumentation:			
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector				
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance b	usiness:	Meyer Sewer Service		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	s <sup>∗</sup> ⊠ No License number of maintenance business				
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:		5/25/2023		
		Existing tank integrity as	sessment (Attach	ו)		
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within	three years)		
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))				
		Tank is Noncompliant (p	umping not necess	ary – explain below)		
	Other:					

Describe verification methods and results:

Property Address: <u>12255 120<sup>th</sup> St S Denmark Twp, MN 55033</u> Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 6/5/2023

## 3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	□ Yes* ⊠ No □ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? 🗌 Yes* 🛛 No 🗌 Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable	
Δ.	<b>Operating permit and nitrogen BMP*</b> – Compliance component #4 c	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  Second Yes No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	

Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Pro	perty	Address:	1	225	5	120 <sup>tr</sup>	' St :	S	Denmark	Twp,	MN	5503	3
-						~	-						

Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 6/5/2023

### **5.** Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 2006 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unknown	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:          Soil observation logs completed for the report         Two previous verifications of required vertical separation         Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
<ul> <li>5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:</li> <li>Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations         A. Bottom of distribution media         B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock         C. System separation         D. Required compliance separation*         *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.
<ul> <li>5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required &gt; 2,500 gallons per day)</li> <li>Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No*	

\*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

**Upgrade requirements:** (*Minn. Stat. §* 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (*ITPHS*) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.