MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY
520 Lafayette Road North
St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information

Local tracking number:

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 0302921440015 Local regulatory authority: Washington county

Property address: 9861 51st St N, Lake Elmo

Owner/representative: Travis Johnson Owner's phone:

Brief system description: Septic tank, lift tank and mound

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/1/2023

☒ **Compliant – Certificate of compliance***

*(**Valid for 3 years from report date** unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)*

***Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.**

☐ **Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance**

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- ☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – *Imminent threat to public health and safety*
- ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – *Imminent threat to public health and safety*
- ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – *Noncompliant - local ordinance applies*

Comments or recommendations

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

***By typing my name below**, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.*

Business name: LASHINSKI SERVICES, INC. Certification number: 3058

Inspector signature: *(This document has been electronically signed)* Signer ID: 0A4OHGTW10... License number: 4266

Phone: 612-919-3704

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

☒ Soil observation logs ☒ Locally required forms ☒ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit

☐ Other information (list):

1. **Impact on public health** – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation:

☐ Other: _____

☐ Not applicable

2. **Tank integrity** – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation:

☒ Pumped at time of inspection

Name of maintenance business: Lashinski septic

License number of maintenance business: 4266

Date of maintenance: 5/1/2023

☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)

Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): _____ (must be within three years)

(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))

☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)

☐ Other: _____

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?
☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown

3b. Other issues *(electrical hazards, etc.)* to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown

Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? ☐ Yes* ☒ No

3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? ☐ Yes* ☒ No

***Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater**.*

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: ☒ Not applicable ☐ _____

4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 ☒ Not applicable

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "yes", A below is required**

Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "yes", B below is required**

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐ _____

5. **Soil separation** – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 8/16/16 *(mm/dd/yyyy)* ☒ Unknown

Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Attached supporting documentation:

☒ Soil observation logs completed for the report (Attach)

☒ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation *(Attach)*

☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)

☒ SOILS VERIFIED AT INSTALL

Compliance criteria (select one):

5a. *For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:* Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No*
5b. *Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:* Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	☒ Yes ☐ No*
5c. *"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)* Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No*

Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Indicate depths or elevations

A. Bottom of distribution media	99'2"
B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	95'8"
C. System separation	42"
D. Required compliance separation*	36"

*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: *(Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.*

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

OSTP Soil Observation Log

Project ID: #REF! v 04.06.2017

Client/ Address:	**9861 51st N, Lake Elmo**	Legal Description/ GPS:	#REF!

Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply) ☑ Outwash ☐ Lacustrine ☐ Loess ☐ Till ☐ Alluvium ☐ Bedrock ☐ Organic Matter

Landscape Position: (check one) ☐ Summit ☑ Shoulder ☐ Back/Side Slope ☐ Foot Slope ☐ Toe Slope ☐ Flat				Slope shape	Linear, Linear
Vegetation:	Grass	Soil survey map units:		Slope %:	Elevation: 99'2"
Weather Conditions/Time of Day:	Sunny			Date	05/01/23
Observation #/Location:	SB#1			Observation Type:	Auger

Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	I-------- Structure----------I		
							Shape	Grade	Consistence
0-17	Fill Soil	<35%	10YR 3/4				Blocky	Moderate	Friable
-58	Sand	<35%	10YR 4/4				Granular	Weak	Loose
-66	Sandy Loam	<35%	10YR 3/4				Blocky	Moderate	Friable

Comments: No redox found

I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.

Ryan Lashinski | Signer ID: 0A4OHGTW10... | **L4266** | **#REF!**

ArcGIS Web AppBuilder



Comments: Benchmark = Top of last lateral. Assumed elevation = 100'0". Soil borings #1 indicated redoximorphic mottling passed 66", this system does meet the required 36" vertical separation from seasonally saturated soils. The system consists of 2 1000-gallon septic tank and a 1000-gallon lift tank, with approximately 625sq/ft of drain field with 6" of rock under the distribution media. The tanks were pumped at the time of the inspection, the baffles are intact and the tank is water tight. This system is classified as compliant. This inspection is not a warranty or guarantee, either written or implied, of future or long-term hydraulic functionality/performance, but rather a determination if the systems use is/may cause pollution and/or adverse harm to the environment, groundwater or public health and safety at the time of this inspection. No guarantee can be made on future hydraulic performance, or the performance of system components (pumps, controls, etc.). Changes in use can cause any system, failing or compliant, to become hydraulically overloaded and ultimately fail. Owner/buyer assumes full responsibility for the long-term performance of this system as well as any future upgrade, repairs or replacement costs. Liability is limited to the cost of this inspection.

5/2/2

oGIS, armin, S, US nd the