

Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194 **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Available in alternative formats

Use your preferred relay service

Instructions: Impedia more submit completed form to quart Governmental Gist 1, to a system immediate by days established a compliance or educate programme. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf. **Property information** Local tracking number: Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 06.029.20.44.0005 Reason for Inspection property sale Local regulatory authority info: Washington County Property address: 5230 Muir Ave N Baytown Twp, MN 55082 Owner's phone: <u>651-231-765</u>3 Owner/representative: Duff Krueger, Keller Williams Realty Brief system description: A precast septic tank and a gravity rock trench drainfield. System status System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _5/10/2023 Noncompliant − Notice of noncompliance ☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance* Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or (Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. guarantee future performance. Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) ☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety ☑ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ⊠ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) - Noncompliant - local ordinance applies Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection and pumping records on file at Washington County. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system. inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form. Certification number: 323 Business name: All State Septic Services LLC License number: 1568 Inspector signature: Tom Trooien Phone: 612-594-4496 (This document has been electronically signed) Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be affected) System/As-Built Locally required forms Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit Soil observation logs Other information (list):

800-657-3864

651-296-6300


https://www.pca.state.mn.us

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes ☑ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes 🛛 No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes No	
Any "yes" were above indicates Imministratingost to public health an		
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above observed.		
		•
. I toto outto. Compliance		#3 of E
ank integrity – Compliance	component	#2 01 3
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
		, tracitor outper inig
	□ Yes ☑ No	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes ☑ No	Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes No	☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their		☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their		□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes ☑ No	□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes ☑ No	□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three within t
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes ☑ No	□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any types answer above indicate having to protect groundwait.	☐ Yes	□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Apply types answer above indicate hading to preceed groundward. Describe verification methods and	Yes No	□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain beloto) □ Other:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any types answer above indicate having to protect groundwait.	Yes No	□ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain beloto) □ Other:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Apply types answer above indicate hading to preceed groundward. Describe verification methods and	Yes No	 □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☑ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below □ Other:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Apply types answer above indicate hading to preceed groundward. Describe verification methods and	Yes No	 □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☑ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below □ Other:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Apply types answer above indicate hading to preceed groundward. Describe verification methods and	Yes No	 □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☑ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below □ Other:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Apply types answer above indicate hading to preceed groundward. Describe verification methods and	Yes No	 □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☑ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below □ Other:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Apply types answer above indicate hading to preceed groundward. Describe verification methods and	Yes No	 □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☑ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below □ Other:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Apply types answer above indicate hading to preceed groundward. Describe verification methods and	Yes No	 □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☑ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below □ Other:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Apply types answer above indicate hading to preceed groundward. Describe verification methods and	Yes No	 □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☑ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below □ Other:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Apply types answer above indicate hading to preceed groundward. Describe verification methods and	Yes No	 □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☑ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below □ Other:

Property Address: _5230 Muir Ave N Baytown Twp, MN 55082 Business Name: _All State Septic Services LLC	D-1 5/40/0000
dameda Name. All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 5/10/2023
Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or under the Yes ☑ No ☑ Unknown	nsecured?
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or satisfactors to 3s or 3b - System is an immunent throat to public health content,	ıfety? ☐ Yes 🛮 No 🗌 Unknow
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes 🛛 No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes No
"Vas to so or 3d - System is falling to puriest groundivater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the system design.	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates nancomphance.	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates nancomphance.	o If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	o If "yes", A below is require

Date of installation 9/2/1992 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
peverage lodging?		$oxed{oxed}$ Soil observation logs completed for th	e report
Compliance criteria (select one):	1	☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separati
sa. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☒ No	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	2.3
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	2.8
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	.5
separation distance from periodically	1000000	D. Required compliance separation*	3.0
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	Yes No		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
*Any "no" answer shove indicates the s failing to protect groundwater.	y 5864) 18		

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Soil Observation Log

v 03.15.2023 Project ID:

Client:		,	Juliane Thiets	niets			Locat	Location / Address:	S:	5230 Muir Ave N Baytown I wp, MN 55082	Baytown I	1 wb, MN 55082
Soil parent ma	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that a	ıpply)	Outwash		Lacustrine	☐ Loess ☐ Till ☐] Alluvium	Bedrock C	Organic Matter	Disturbed/Fill	Fill
Landscape Position:	ition:			15	Slope %:		Slope shape:			Flooding	Flooding/Run-On potential:	ootential:
Veoetation:				Soil survey map units:	ey map	units:	Å.		Surfac	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	tive to ben	chmark:
Date/Time of	Date/Time of Day/Weather Conditions:	onditions:		5/10/23 am	am					Limiti	Limiting Layer Elevation:	evation:
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	B-1	-						5%		A	Auger
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix (Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Col	Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	l Str Grade	Structure Grade	Consistence
0-8	sandy loam	35	10YR	3/2								
			10YR	4/3								
8-16	loamy sand	%5										
	-	ò	10YR	3/3								
16-25	loamy sand	%C										
	sandy clay	ò	7.5YR	4/4								
25-33	loam	9%										
	-	ů.	10YR	4/3	10YR	8/9	Concentrations	52	T			
33-42	clay loam	ىر %			10YR	5/2	Depletions	S2				
									Ţ			
									T			
Comments:	Redox at 33"											
I hereby cert	lify that I have o	completed	this work	in accordanc	ce with	all applica	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws	les and laws				
	Tom Trooien			Tom Trooien	J.			1	1568	1	İ	5/10/23
(De Optional Veri	(Signature) (Signature) (Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	r) by certify th bedrock at t	at this soil he propose	l observation '	was veri ent and	(Signature) ified accordi dispersal si	(Signature) Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	.0500 subp. 3		#) ire below represer	nts an infiel	(Licerse #) The signature below represents an infield verification of the
	(11/Darianov/Incoactor)	tor)	1			(Signature)	(-	ı	(Cert #)			(Date)