

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Page 1 of 4

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

| Property information | 1 | Local tracking | |
|--|---|--|---|
| Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: | 0602620310019 | Local tracking | |
| Local regulatory authority info: | | Reason for Inspection | Sale of property |
| Property address: 12496 POII | NT DOUGLAS DR S. TOWN (| DE DENIMADIA | |
| Owner/representative: KATHL | EEN F GOSSMAN TRUST | DI DENWARK | |
| Brief system description: Holding | ng tank installed in 2004 for flo | or draine | Owner's phone: 651-334-1105 |
| | .g can a motalica in 2004 101 160 | of drains | |
| System status | | | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/ | /yyyy): 05/05/2023 | | |
| | of compliance* | ☐ Noncompliant – Notic | ce of noncompliance |
| (Valid for 3 years from report of imminent threat to public health of abatement under section 145A.02 a shorter time frame exists in Loc | or safety requiring removal and 4, subdivision 8 is discovered or cal Ordinance.) | Systems failing to protect gro use discontinued within the to An imminent threat to public | ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance. health and safety (ITPHS) must be |
| *Note: Compliance indicates co R. 7080.1500 as of system statu guarantee future performance. | onformance with Minn. Us date above and does not | of this notice or within a shor under section 145A.04 subdi | e discontinued within ten months of receipt ter period if required by local ordinance or vision 8. |
| Reason(s) for noncom | opliance (check all applica | able) | |
| ☐ Impact on public hea | alth (Compliance component # | 1) – Imminent threat to public i | hoolth and actative |
| ☐ Tank integrity (Comp | pliance component #2) – Failin | na to protect aroundwater | nealth and safety |
| Other Compliance C | onditions (Compliance compo | nent #3) – <i>Imminent threat to p</i> | and the feet the second |
| Other Compliance C | Conditions (Compliance compo | nent #3) – Failing to protect gr | Dublic nealth and safety |
| ☐ System not abandon | ed according to Minn R 7080 | 2500 (Compliance comment | oundwater nt #3) – Failing to protect groundwater |
| ☐ Soil separation (Com | npliance component #5) – Faili | ing to protect our way | nt #3) – Failing to protect groundwater |
| ☐ Operating permit/mo | nitoring plan requirements (Co | empliance compared #4 | |
| Comments or recomm | endations | ompliance component #4) – No | ncompliant - local ordinance applies |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| i i | | | |
| | | | |
| Certification | | | |
| I hereby certify that all the necessar future system performance has bee nadequate maintenance, or future | water usage. | own conditions during system con | tus of this system. No determination of struction, possible abuse of the system, |
| , , | | e and correct, to the best of my kr | nowledge, and that this information can be |
| Business name: SS Septic Solution | is, LLC | | Certification number: 9917 |
| nspector signature: | Sheen | | License number: 4137 |
| M This docum | ment has been electronically sig | ined) | Phone: 651-343-9117 |
| lecessary or locally red | quired supporting do | cumentation (must be | 1 Hone, 651-343-9117 |
| ☐ Soil observation logs ☐ 5 | Sustant A. B. III. | cumentation (must be | attached) |
| Other information (list): | System/As-Built Li Locally n | equired forms 🔲 Tank Integri | ity Assessment |
| ttps://www.pca.state.mn.us • 6 | 551-296-6300 • 800-657-386 | M. a. Harris | |
| q-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 | • 800-657-386 | Use your preferred relay s | ervice • Available in alternative formats |

| operty Address: 12496 POINT DOUGL siness Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC | | | 05/05/2023 |
|--|--|--|--|
| Impact on mildi- | | | |
| Impact on public health - C | ompliance com | ponent #1 of 5 | |
| Compliance criteria: | | Attached supporting documentation | on: |
| System discharges sewage to the ground surface | ☐ Yes* ☑ No | ☐ Other: | |
| | | ☐ Not applicable | |
| System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. | ☐ Yes* ☒ No | | |
| System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | | |
| Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an | the system is an nd safety. | | |
| Describe verification methods and | results: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| _ | | | |
| | | | |
| ank integrity – Compliance | component #2 | of 5 | |
| ank integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: | component #2 | | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit. | component #2 ☐ Yes* ☑ No | Attached supporting documentatio | n: |
| Compliance criteria: | | Attached supporting documentatio ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | | Attached supporting documentatio ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: | Meyers |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentatio ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business | Meyers ess: |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentatio Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: | Meyers ess: 5/5/2023 |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) | Meyers ess: 5/5/2023 |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentatio ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Atta | Meyers ess: 5/5/2023 ech) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentatio Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess | Meyers ess: 5/5/2023 ach) in three years) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicate | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (must be with (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) | Meyers ess: 5/5/2023 ech) in three years) ement complies v |
| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicating to protect groundwater | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ tes the system | Attached supporting documentatio Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess | Meyers ess: 5/5/2023 ech) in three years) ement complies v |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicate is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and in | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system r. | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) | Meyers 25/5/2023 ach) in three years) sment complies was a complex w |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicate is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and in | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system r. | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) | Meyers 25/5/2023 ach) in three years) sment complies v ssary – explain beli |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicate is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and in | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system r. | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Maintenance) (must be with the company of the company | Meyers 25/5/2023 ach) in three years) sment complies v ssary – explain beli |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicate is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and in | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system r. | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) | Meyers 25/5/2023 ach) in three years) sment complies was a complex w |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicate is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and in | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system r. | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) | Meyers 25/5/2023 ach) in three years) sment complies was a complex w |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicate is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and in | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system r. | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) | Meyers 25/5/2023 ach) in three years) sment complies was a complex w |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicate is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and in | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system r. | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) | Meyers 25/5/2023 ach) in three years) sment complies was a complex w |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicate is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and in | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system r. | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) | Meyers 25/5/2023 ach) in three years) sment complies was a complex w |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicate is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and in | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system r. | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) | Meyers 5/5/2023 ach) in three years) sment complies ssary – explain b |

| Property Address: 12496 POINT DOUGLAS DR S, TOWN OF DENMARK | |
|---|----------------------------|
| Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC | Date: 05/05/2023 |
| | |
| 3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 | |
| 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or ups | ecured? |
| Li res And Li Onknown | |
| 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe | tv?□Yes*⊠No□Unknown |
| rice to da or so - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety | -) - I i co |
| 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No |
| 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No |
| *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. | |
| Describe verification methods and results: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable | |
| | |
| 4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of | 5 Not applicable |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | "ves" A below is required |
| Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If | "ves". B below is required |
| Bith - Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design | |
| If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. | |
| Compliance criteria: | |
| a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No | |
| b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No | |
| Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | |
| Describe verification methods and results: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach) | |
| ps://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your professed relevance | |

| Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC | | Date: 05/05/2023 |
|--|--------------|--|
| Soil separation – Compliance con | mponent #5 o | of 5 |
| Date of installation 5/11/2004 (mm/dd/yyyy) | Unknown | |
| Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a | ☐ Yes ☐ No* | Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the report Two previous verifications of required vertical separt Not applicable (No soil treatment area) Holding tank Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media |
| food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" | | B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. |
| systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | ☐ Yes ☐ No* | |
| *Any "no" answer above indicates the sy failing to protect groundwater. | stem is | |
| Describe verification methods and results: | | |
| Holding tank water tight at time of inspection. | | |

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Page 1 of 4

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

| Property information | Local tracking and |
|--|--|
| Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 0602620310019 | Local tracking number: |
| Local regulatory authority info: Washington County | Reason for Inspection Sale of property |
| Property address: 12496 POINT DOUGLAS DR S, TOW | M OF DENMARK |
| Owner/representative: KATHLEEN F GOSSMAN TRUST | |
| Brief system description: Septic tank going to gravity fed d | Owner's phone: 651-334-1105 |
| System status | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/5/2023 | |
| ☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance* | □ Noncompliant N. C. |
| (Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal an abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance. | An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all appl | liochlo) |
| ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 70☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – F | nailing to protect groundwater Apponent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Apponent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect |
| I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathe, future system performance has been nor can be made due to unlinadequate maintenance, or future water usage. | ered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be |
| | |
| Business name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC Inspector signature: | Certification number: 9917 |
| This document has been electronically | License number: 4137 |
| | Phono: 654 242 0447 |
| Necessary or locally required supporting of | documentation (must be attached) |
| | y required forms |
| ttps://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-5 | 3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats |

| pperty Address: 12496 POINT DOUGL siness Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC | | Date: 5 | /5/2023 |
|---|---|---|--|
| mnact on public books | S | | |
| Impact on public health – C | ompliance con | nponent #1 of 5 | |
| Compliance criteria: | \ <u>_</u> | Attached supporting documentation | |
| System discharges sewage to the ground surface | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | Other: | |
| System discharges sewage to drain | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | Not applicable | |
| tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into | ☐ Yes* ☒ No | | |
| dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates | the system is an | | |
| illiminent threat to public health ar | nd safety. | | |
| Describe verification methods and | l results: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| ank integrity – Compliance | component #2 | of 5 | |
| ank integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: | component #2 | | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit. | Component #2 | of 5 Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation: | Meyers |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit. | | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: | 5/5/2023 |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) | 5/5/2023 |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance | 5/5/2023 |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment) | 5/5/2023) hree years) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) | 5/5/2023) hree years) ent complies wit |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar | 5/5/2023) hree years) ent complies wit |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) | 5/5/2023) hree years) ent complies wi |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates failing to protect groundwate. | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system or. | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar | 5/5/2023) hree years) ent complies wit |
| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and the Tank is great shape and very clean at | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system er. results: | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar | 5/5/2023) hree years) ent complies wit |
| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicatis failing to protect groundwate. | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system er. results: | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar | 5/5/2023) hree years) ent complies wit |
| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and the Tank is great shape and very clean at | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system er. results: | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar | 5/5/2023) hree years) ent complies wit |
| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and the Tank is great shape and very clean at | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system er. results: | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar | 5/5/2023) hree years) ent complies wit |
| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and the Tank is great shape and very clean at | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No tes the system er. results: | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessar | 5/5/2023) hree years) ent complies wit |

| Property Address: _12496 POINT DOUGLAS DR S, TOWN OF DENMARK | |
|---|---|
| Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC | Date: 5/5/2023 |
| | |
| 3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component | #3 of 5 |
| 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cra | |
| ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown | cked, etc.), or unsecured? |
| 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact pu | thlic health or petetra D.V |
| *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and sa | Direction of Salety? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unknown |
| 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determine | d by increase 2 |
| 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? | |
| *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No |
| Describe verification methods and results: | |
| and issuits. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Attached supporting documentation. | |
| Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable | |
| 4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance com | monent #4 of 5 M Not and the last |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | |
| | ☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required |
| Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design | Yes □ No If "yes", B below is required |
| | |
| If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria: | be completed. |
| 2. Howe the energian will | |
| a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? | |
| b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes | No |
| Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | |
| Describe verification methods and results: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach) | |
| | |

| | eptic Solutions, LLC | | Date: | 5/5/2023 |
|---|--|---------------|---|------------|
| Soil separation | n – Compliance c | omponent #5 | of 5 | |
| Date of installation | 9/26/1980 (mm/dd/yyyy) | Unknown | | |
| Shoreland/Wellhead beverage lodging? Compliance criteri | | ☐ Yes No | Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Soil observation logs completed for t ☐ Two previous verifications of require | he report |
| 5a.For systems built p | orior to April 1, 1996, an reland or Wellhead not serving a food. | d ⊠ Yes □ No* | ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are ☐ Holding tank | |
| Drainfield has at le separation distance saturated soil or be | ast a two-foot vertical e from periodically edrock. | | | |
| or Wellhead Protec | systems built ter or for non- ms located in Shoreland tion Areas or serving a lodging establishment: | ☐ Yes ☐ No* | Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock | 30" 66" |
| Drainfield has a three separation distance saturated soil or be | ee-foot vertical | | C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo | 36" 24" |
| systems built under Type IV or V systen Rules 7080. 2350 o (Intermediate Inspe 2,500 gallons per da | ns built under 2008 or 7080.2400 ctor License required ≤ ay; Advanced Inspector 2,500 gallons per day) e designed vertical from periodically | ☐ Yes ☐ No* | Ordinance. | |

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

| | 1 |
|-------------------------------|---|
| | 1 |
| 10 E | |
| ONSITE SEWAGE TREATMENT | |

Soil Observation Log

| AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON | | | | The state of the s | | | | rioject ID: | | | V 02 4E 2022 | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Client: | | Kath | Kathleen Gossman Trust | man Tru | st | | Loca | Location / Address: | | D* Delegation | 7 03.13.2023 | |
| Soil parent | Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply) | Theck all t | that apply) | | Outwash | Lacustrine | | | 1, | rt. Douglas Koad | 2770 rt. Douglas Road South-Denmark Twp | |
| Landscape Position: | osition: | Summit | |] |] 8 | | Loess IIII Alidvium / Bedrock | Alluvium | 4 | Organic Matter Disturbed/Fill | ırbed/Fill | |
| Vegetation: | | Grace | | 1,00 | o adose | | stope snape: | | Convex, Linear | Flooding/Run-On potential: | On potential: | |
| Dato/Time | 1. 1.0 | 5 5 | | S HOS | Solt survey map units: | o units: | 529 & 529B | 529B | Surface Ele | Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark: | o benchmark: | 1 |
| vate/ IIme (| Date/ Time of Day/Weather Conditions: | er Conditi | ons: | 5/2 | 5/5/2023 | ଊ | Sun - Warm | | | Limiting Layer Floystics: | T Flovetion: | |
| Observatio | Observation #/Location: | | See Map | | | | | Observat | Observation Type: | במאכ | בו בנכעמנוטון; | Por Service Se |
| Depth (in) | Texture | Rock | _ | Colorie | 14-44 | | | | adk. ish | - | Auger | |
| | | Frag. % | Maci IX COLOI (S) | COIOI (S) | Mottle | Mottle Color(s) | Redox Kind(s) | Indicator(s) | | Structure | [G | |
| 0.27" | 1 +1:5 | 70007 | 10YR 373 | 3/3 | | | | | snape | Grade | Consistence | |
| 17-0 | Sitt Loam | 3001 | | | | | | | Blocky | Moderate | Friable | |
| 28" - 66" | Silt Loam | 100% | 10YR | 3/4 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Blocky | Moderate | Friable | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | T |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | the same |
| esta esta esta esta esta esta esta esta | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| hereby certify | hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with | ompleted | this work ir |) accorde | ance with | in application | all applicable ordinarion | | | | | at to see |
| Shell | Shelley Schlomka | | | 1 | 0 | N | | idies and laws. | | | | |
| (Designer/Inspector) Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to the periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site. | (Designer/Inspector) Verification: I hereby dically saturated soil o |) y certify tł ɔr bedrock | at this soil at the prop | observati oosed soil | (Signal of the state of the sta | (Signature) as verified accol | rding to Minn, R. 7 | '082.0500 subp. | 4137 (License #) 3 A. The signatu | = ure below represent | (Designer/Inspector) Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. The signature below represents an infield verification of | |
| (LGU/Des | (LGU/Designer/Inspector) | or) | | | | HAROS MARCO CARROLLO CONTROL DE C | | | | | | |
| | | 5 | | | 3IC) | (Signature) | | | (Cert #) | Madein | (Date) | |

Stephens Self Storage Pt. Douglas Ro Men 1800 de propos de d'une de l'actual de Hastings, MM 55033 Goil BORINUT Demostic Demostic System P.C. 15,00 Jeronale of Domestic Runs
Jeronale of Sewaye Runs
To Existing
To Existing gallor PARKING 750' Holding TANK

SS Septic Solutions, LLC additional terms and information.

- SS Septic Solutions has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period beyond the inspection date. Due to the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, tank pumping, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system. The report shall not be construed as a warranty that the system will properly function for any particular period of time.
- 2. Minimum compliance inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the septic system has a watertight septic tank(s) and lift tank, the required separation from the bottom of the drain field/mound distribution medium and saturated soils, no backup of sewage into the dwelling and no discharge of sewage onto the ground surface or surface water. SS Septic Solutions, LLC does not inspect basement sewage ejector pumps or exterior lift pumps as they are a maintenance item. Sewage backup verification is limited to the information supplied by the last occupants/owner if available. I can not guarantee that the information given to me is accurate. Some people may attempt to hide or conceal signs of previous backups.
- 3. Certification of this system does not warranty any future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system new or old can be hydraulically overloaded because of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying it, improper maintenance, heavy usage, tree roots, freezing conditions or surface drainage problems. The system could simply stop working due to age.
- 4. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test of the longevity of the septic system. The inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the septic is polluting the environment at the date and time the inspection is performed. The inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or local unit of government code requirements.
- 5. Winter Work Client understand that inspections conducted in winter weather conditions are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and frost. Septic system components like tanks, tank covers, drop boxes and soil treatment areas are more difficult to locate in these conditions. Soil borings and drain field locations are also more difficult to perform due to ground frost. The client needs to understand that due to the weather conditions, the same level of standards may not be possible compared to an inspection during the spring/summer/fall months.
- 6. If hired to perform the compliance inspection, the client hereby agrees that SS Septic Solutions, LLC will not be responsible for any monetary damages, claims or causes of action including attorney fees arising from the performance of this inspection.