Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 9695 Primrose Ave Ct N, Stillwater Twp, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1988) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. This system was not pumped at the time of inspection.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Wasington County		
Property address: 9695 Primrose Ave Ct N, Stillwater Twp, MN	I 55082	
Owner/representative: Gail S. Anderson		Owner's phone: 612-978-4484
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trend	h drainfield.	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/15/2023		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	⊠ Noncompliant – Notic	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or		ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)		health and safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.		e discontinued within ten months of receipt ter period if required by local ordinance or ivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	(مار	
 Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) − Immin Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) − Failing to prote Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #5) − Failing to prote Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the second 	nent threat to public health a ct groundwater Imminent threat to public he Failing to protect groundwa ompliance component #3) – ect groundwater component #4) – Noncomp	ealth and safety hter Failing to protect groundwater liant - local ordinance applies
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Home		License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)
oximes Soil observation logs $oximes$ System/As-Built $oximes$ Locally red	uired forms 🛮 Tank Integr	ity Assessment
Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	tion, Disclaimer	

ss Name: Midwest Sewer Services		5082	Date: <u>5/15/2023</u>
npact on public health – Co	ompliance comp	oonent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:	<u> </u>	Attached supporting of	documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
None of the above found.			
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting (documentation:
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2		
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· 	Attached supporting	by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	· 	Attached supporting o	by inspector business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed like Name of maintenance License number of maintenance	by inspector business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed like Name of maintenance License number of maintenance:	by inspector business: intenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed in Name of maintenance License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity a	by inspector business: intenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed of Name of maintenance License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed in Name of maintenance License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity a	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed in Name of maintenance. License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complies
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed in Name of maintenance. License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions Minn. R. 7082.0700 su	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complies
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indice	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed in Name of maintenance. License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions Minn. R. 7082.0700 su	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complies ibp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundwate	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ test the system fer.	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed In Name of maintenance License number of main Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions Minn. R. 7082.0700 su	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complies ibp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Attention of the system der. ☐ deresults:	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed of Maintenance License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity at Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions Minn. R. 7082.0700 su	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complies ibp. 4 B (1)) (pumping not necessary – explain b
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and Drainfield was found non-compliant,	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ dresults: Therefore the tank was	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed in Name of maintenance License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity and Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions Minn. R. 7082.0700 sure Tank is Noncompliant of Other:	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complies bp. 4 B (1)) (pumping not necessary – explain b
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ dresults: Therefore the tank was	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed in Name of maintenance License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity and Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions Minn. R. 7082.0700 sure Tank is Noncompliant of Other:	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complies bp. 4 B (1)) (pumping not necessary – explain b
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and Drainfield was found non-compliant,	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ dresults: Therefore the tank was	Attached supporting of Empty tank(s) viewed in Name of maintenance License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity and Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions Minn. R. 7082.0700 sure Tank is Noncompliant of Other:	by inspector business: intenance business: assessment (Attach) (must be within three years) to ensure assessment complies bp. 4 B (1)) (pumping not necessary – explain b

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service •

Available in alternative formats

Business Name:	s: 9695 Primrose Ave Ct N, Stillwater Twp, MN 55082	
	Midwest Sewer Services	Date: <u>5/15/2023</u>
. Other co	mpliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Mainten	nance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or un	secured?
☐ Yes*	☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	ssues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or saf	ety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow
	3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
•	is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☐ No
-	not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describ	be verification methods and results:	
Attache	ed supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable 🔲	
	·	
. Operatin	ng permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 🛭 Not applicable
		of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require
Is the system		If "yes", A below is require
Is the system	n operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP =	n operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP =	n operated under an Operating Permit? 'n required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ' Yes No Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Yer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc	n operated under an Operating Permit? 'n required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ' Yes No Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Yer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc a. Have the	n operated under an Operating Permit? 'n required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? 'Yes No Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design ver to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed ce criteria:	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc a. Have to b. Is the re	n operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc a. Have the book is the recommendation of the system.	n operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No n required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No sees the Management Practice(s) specified in the system design wer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed be criteria: The operating permit requirements been met? Yes No required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc a. Have the book is the recommendation of the system.	n operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc a. Have the book is the recommendation of the system.	n operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No n required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No sees the Management Practice(s) specified in the system design wer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed be criteria: The operating permit requirements been met? Yes No required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc a. Have the book is the recommendation of the system.	n operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No n required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No sees the Management Practice(s) specified in the system design wer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed be criteria: The operating permit requirements been met? Yes No required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc a. Have the book is the recommendation of the system.	n operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No n required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No sees the Management Practice(s) specified in the system design wer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed be criteria: The operating permit requirements been met? Yes No required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc a. Have the book is the recommendation of the system.	n operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No n required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No sees the Management Practice(s) specified in the system design wer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed be criteria: The operating permit requirements been met? Yes No required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc a. Have the book is the recommendation of the system.	n operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No n required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No sees the Management Practice(s) specified in the system design wer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed be criteria: The operating permit requirements been met? Yes No required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc a. Have the book is the recommendation of the system.	n operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No n required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No sees the Management Practice(s) specified in the system design wer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed be criteria: The operating permit requirements been met? Yes No required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc a. Have the book is the many for the system Any for the system of t	n operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No n required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No sees the Management Practice(s) specified in the system design wer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed be criteria: The operating permit requirements been met? Yes No required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system Is the system BMP = If the answ Complianc a. Have the book is the recommendation of the system.	n operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No n required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No sees the Management Practice(s) specified in the system design wer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed be criteria: The operating permit requirements been met? Yes No required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 Page 3 of 4

Soil separation – Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 o	f 5		
Date of installation 1988 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation	:	
beverage lodging?			oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):	T		☐ Two previous verifications of require	ed vertical separati	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and ☐ Yes ☒ No*		⊠ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:					
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes	☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically			C. System separation		
saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*		
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance.	llowed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA C	Compliance Inspection.			
Date of Inspection: May 15, 2023	Time: 11:30 AM			
Property Address: 9695 Primrose Ave Ct N, Stillwater Twp, MN	Zip: 55082			
Property Owner: Gail S. Anderson	Phone: 612-978-4484			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass Scok trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If no performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the	rs should be made accessible to			
Year house built: 1988 Year septic installed: 1988 Ta	ank size (gals.): 1200			
	idents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gra	ıvity?			
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the september of the september				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buil	dings?			
Location of septic system on lot? North Side				
	well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: t surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; to the system? If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 2021 Name of pump	er: Pinky's Sewer Service			
How often pumped in previous years?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	new owner?			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by la local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	I also understand that if the system is aw submit a copy of this report to the agree that unless otherwise noted in			

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Loca	tion of Project:	9695 Primrose Ave	Ct N. S	tillwate	r Twp, MN 5	5082
		Midwest Sewer Ser			Date:	5/15/2023
Classif	cation System:	USDA				
So	oil Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	
Surface Elevation of Observation	_	Same ground surface as last drainfield trench		face tion of vation	'	
Depth In Inches Rock %	Soils Encountered		Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-23 23-34 34-50	10YR 4/4 Fine 7.5YR 4/4 Ve With 7.5	Fine Sandy Loam Sandy Loam (Moist) ry Fine Sandy Loam 5YR 5/8 Redox usal At 50" edrock?				
34" Depth	To End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
	on Of Observation Relative To System			Elevation Of Observation Relative To System		
	To Bottom Of Distribution Media					Distribution Media
=0" Of Se	paration			Of Sepa	II a LI OI I	
End Of Soi	Observation At:	50"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	
	oil Conditions At:	34"			onditions At:	
	Vater Present At:	None		_	r Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 37 Inches		
Signature:	Chan bla	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.