Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 679 Fahlstrom Pl, Afton, MN 55001

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the tanks on May 16, 2023.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole covers are buried. I recommend extending these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance. We also recommend removing the trees/bushes off the first septic tank, removing the fence off of the second septic tank, and redirecting the sprinkler lines off the septic tanks. In addition, cover should be added to the drop boxes to prevent ground water infiltration.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 679 Fahlstrom PI, Afton, MN 55001	
Owner/representative: Greg Hosch	Owner's phone: 651-747-7808
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast l	ift tank, and a rock trench drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/17/2023	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immir	nent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ct groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot	
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the set these covers to the ground surface to facilitate easier access are trees/bushes off the first septic tank, removing the fence off of the septic tanks. In addition, cover should be added to the drop box	nd proper maintenance. We also recommend removing the he second septic tank, and redirecting the sprinkler lines off the
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After 1)	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
Soil observation logs	quired forms 🗵 Tank Integrity Assessment 🗌 Operating Permit
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation)•
	☐ Other:	
	☐ Not applicable	
☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Yes* ⊠ No		
es the system is an and safety.		
d results:		
to the drop boxes to pre	event ground water infiltration.	
e component #2	of 5	
e component #2		
e component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	:
·	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Se
☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's Se Service
·	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Se Service ss: <u>L1673</u>
☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines	Pinky's Se Service ss: L1673 5/17/2023
☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's Ser Service ss: <u>L1673</u> <u>5/17/2023</u> ch)
☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within)	Pinky's SerService ss: L1673 5/17/2023 ch) n three years)
☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's Se Service ss: L1673 5/17/2023 ch)
☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess)	Pinky's SerService ss: L1673 5/17/2023 ch) n three years) ment complies
☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Pinky's SerService ss: L1673 5/17/2023 ch) n three years) ment complies
	yes* ⊠ No s the system is an and safety. d results:	s the system is an and safety.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats Page 2 of 4

Pro	operty Address: _679 Fahlstrom Pl, Afton, MN 55001	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 5/17/2023
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: \(\square\) Operating permit (Attach) \(\square\)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

operty Address: 679 Fahlstrom Pl, Afton,	MN 55001		
siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: <u>{</u>	5/17/2023
Soil separation – Compliance	e component #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 2003 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Soil observation logs completed for	
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separat	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1990		☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment ar	ea)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		⊠ Reviewed design and permit records.	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot verti separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	cal		
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shor or Wellhead Protection Areas or servi		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
food, beverage, or lodging establishm	nent:	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	
saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*	
_		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance.	llowed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performal systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 200 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License requi 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Insp	8 ired ≤ pector		
Drainfield meets the designed vertica separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

<u>Midwest Śewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: May 17, 2023	Time: 10:45 AM
Property Address: 679 Fahlstrom Pl, Afton, MN	Zip: 55001
Property Owner: Greg Hosch	Phone: 651-747-7808
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ☐ Yes ☒ No *If i	no, proper maintenance must be
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover	ers should be made accessible to
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of t	the system.
Year house built: 2003 Year septic installed: 2003	Tank size (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000
	sidents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by g	
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	·
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	eptic system?
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	
Location of septic system on lot? North Side	
	e well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system? If yes, explain:	
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service
	n on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also	law submit a copy of this report to the

this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:	Date:



Soil Observations Log

Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 5/16/2023 Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: ST-1 Surface Elevation of Observation On Servation Observation Observation Depth In Inches	Locat	ion of Proiect:	679 Fahlstrom Pl, A	Afton, M	N 5500	1	
Surface Elevation of Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches 3	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Serv						5/16/2023
Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Soils Encountered Depth In Inches							· ·
Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered Depth In Inches The Inches Same ground surface as last Clevation of Observation			ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	
Inches Rock % Soils Encountered Soils	Elevation of	_		Elevat	ion of	'	
7-18 18-30 30-58 ≈15 10YR 3/4 Medium Sand 10YR 4/4 Medium Coarse Sand With Gravel 58-85 10YR 5/4 Medium Sand To Medium Coarse Sand With Trace Of Gravel 85" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System -53" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥32" Of Separation 10YR 3/3 Loamý Sand 10YR 3/4 Medium Sand 10YR 3/4 Medium Sand With Gravel Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation Of Separation	. RUCK %	Soils E	ncountered		Rock %	Soils	<u>Encountered</u>
SameElevation Of Observation Relative To SystemElevation Of Observation Relative To System-53"Depth To Bottom Of Distribution MediaDepth To Bottom Of Distribution Media≥32"Of SeparationOf Separation	Inches Rock % Solls Encountered Inches Tenches Rock % Solls Encountered Inches Tenches Tenche						
-53" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥32" Of Separation Of Separation	85" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox				Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
≥32" Of Separation Of Separation					Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System
						Distribution Media	
	≥32" Ur Sepa	aration			or Sepa	iration	
End Of Soil Observation At: 85" End Of Soil Observation At:	End Of Soil Observation At: 85"				Soil Ob	servation At:	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:	Limiting Soi	l Conditions At:	None	Limitin	g Soil C	onditions At:	
Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At:			None				

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 53 Inches			
Signature:	Chan bla		

DATE 1-2 18

BORING LOG 34° SUNNY Z"- 6" FROST

BOREHOLE DIAMETER 4"-3" HAND AUGER

EPTH	HOLE #1	HOLE #2	HOLE #3	HOLE #4	HOLE #5	HOLE #6
1	- TOP SOIL -	TOP SOIL	- TOP SOIL	- TOP SOIL - BROWN LOAM -	TOP SOIL	-
1 -	-BROWN, MEDIUM-	l v	BROWN, MEDIUM_	- CAOWN 25////		·
+	TO CORRSE SAND	YELLOWISH BROWN_		+ -	BROWN, SANDY	
1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-LOAM -	- SAND	+ -	-LOAM -	-
2		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	+ +	
_ +		BROWN MEDIUM	-	- BROWN, MEDIUM -		_
+		SAND' _		TO COPRSE -		<u>-</u>
, +	-	+ -	LIGHT BROWN,	+ SAND -	0.	
3	-		MEDIUM TO -	-	BROWN, MEDIUM	-
_			COARSE SAND	<u> </u>	SAND	•
+	LUGHT BROWN, -	+ -	-	LIGHT BROWN, -	I I	
4	MEDIUM TO _			MEDIUM TO _		
+	-Coarse sand -	LIGHT BROWN, -	-	- COARSE SAND -	- LIGHT BROWN, -	•
.]		MEDIUM TO -		_	MEDIUM TO	-
5				<u> </u>	COARSE SAND	•
-		+ -	-	_		
-		- -	_	+	+ +	-
6	-	† · -	-	+ -	+ +	
6			_		 	-
-		BH CAUING		I :		•
+		STOP _	-	+		
7		 				_
I	STOP		- STOP	570P -	STOP	
4				T	— —	_
8 —		OKAY 6'+		<u> </u>	\perp \exists	-
+	OKAY 7'+	+ -	0,000	OKAY 7'+	OKAY 7'+	
7	_0/4// /4	 	OKAY 7'+	+ -	+	-
9 —				+	+ +	•
+	-		_			_
+		+ -				-
. +		+ -	-	+ -	+ -	
	_		-	+ -	 	_
				_	+ +	•
- 1/	-	1			$\overline{}$	- ,

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.