

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	l ocal tracking	n Dumbor
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 1402721420004	Local tracking Reason for Inspection	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	reason for mapecular	Sale of property
Property address: 8600 KIMBRO AVE S, CITY OF COTTAGE	E GROVE	
Owner/representative: BANTTARI ANNE C		Ougova nh 054 040 000
Brief system description: One septic tank to gravity fed drain fie	eld	Owner's phone: 651-246-0927
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/30/2023		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notic	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect are	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)		health and safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a short under section 145A.04 subdit	e discontinued within ten months of receipt
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicat	ole)	
Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)	- Imminent threat to public I	hoalth and assati
— Failing	to protect aroundwater	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone	ent #3) - Imminent throat to r	nublic bookly and a fix
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone	ent #3) — Failing to protect	oublic nealth and safety
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2	2500 (Compliance comments	oundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	to protect groundwater	(1 #3) – Falling to protect groundwater
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Com	onliance component #4)	manus Barris de la company
Comments or recommendations	ipilance component #4) = No	ncompliant - local ordinance applies
		,
Certification		
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been all		
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow nadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	n conditions during system cons	struction, possible abuse of the system,
y typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true a sed for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my kn	owledge, and that this information can be
usiness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC		Certification number: 9917
spector signature:		License number: 4137
(This decument has been electronically signed	ed)	Di
ecessary or locally required supporting doc	umentation (must be	otto o lo all
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally rec	en incincation (must be	attached)
□ Soil observation logs □ System/As-Built □ Locally req     □ Other information (list):	juired forms 🔲 Tank Integrii	ty Assessment
_ one information (list):		

		, or octivion	Date: 5/30/2023
	nact on public health	!:	
	pact on public health — (	compliance con	nponent #1 of 5
	Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
g	System discharges sewage to the round surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
S	ystem discharges sewage to drain le or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
S	ystem causes sewage backup into welling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
A in	ny "yes" answer above indicates nminent threat to public health a	s the system is an nd safety.	
	escribe verification methods and		_
anl	k <b>integrity</b> – Compliance	Component #2	of 5
	k integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5
C	ompliance criteria:		of 5  Attached supporting documentation:
Co Sy	ompliance criteria:	component #2	Attached supporting documentation:
Syce	ompliance criteria: /stem consists of a seepage pit,		Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Sy ce or	ompliance criteria: /stem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, leaching pit, other pit?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  Meyers
Sy ce or	ompliance criteria: /stem consists of a seepage pit,		Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:
Sy ce or	ompliance criteria: /stem consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, leaching pit, other pit? ewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  5/30/2023
Sy ce or	ompliance criteria: /stem consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, leaching pit, other pit? ewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Sy ce or Se de	ompliance criteria:  /stem consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, leaching pit, other pit?  ewage tank(s) leak below their esigned operating depth?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  5/30/2023  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance
Sy ce or Se de	ompliance criteria:  /stem consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, leaching pit, other pit?  ewage tank(s) leak below their esigned operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Sy ce or Se de	ompliance criteria:  /stem consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, leaching pit, other pit?  ewage tank(s) leak below their esigned operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies we
Sy ce or Se de	ompliance criteria:  /stem consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, leaching pit, other pit?  ewage tank(s) leak below their esigned operating depth?  /es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies we Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Sy ce or See de	ompliance criteria:  /stem consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, leaching pit, other pit?  ewage tank(s) leak below their esigned operating depth?  /es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above indicated failing to protect groundwate	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No  attes the system	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies we
Sy ce or Se de	ompliance criteria:  /stem consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, leaching pit, other pit?  ewage tank(s) leak below their esigned operating depth?  /es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No  attes the system	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies we Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below
Sy ce or Se de	ompliance criteria:  /stem consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, leaching pit, other pit?  ewage tank(s) leak below their esigned operating depth?  /es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above indicated failing to protect groundwate	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No  attes the system	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies we Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below

	ss Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC		Date	: 5/30/2023
3 0.1	_			
3. Oth	ner compliance conditions – Compliance component	t #3 of 5		
За.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cra	acked, etc.), or	unsecured?	The second secon
1	☐ Tes ⊠ No ☐ Unknown			
3b. (	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact pu	ublic health or s	afetv? □ Ye	s* Mo O Unkno
	res to sa or sb - system is an imminent threat to public health and s	afetv.		
3c. 8	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determine	ed by inspector?	Р ∏ Үе	s* ⊠ No
30. 8	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?			s* ⊠ No
4	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.			- <u>-</u> 140
Е	Describe verification methods and results:			
A	attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable			
		iponent #4	of 5 ⋈	Not applicable
l. Oper	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance com			
l. Oper	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance com	□ Yes □ No	If "voo" A	halawia
Is the s	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comsystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	□ Yes □ No	If "voo" A	halawia
Is the s	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comsystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the s Is the s If the a	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comsystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the s Is the s If the a	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance compositions of the system operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:	☐ Yes ☐ No? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the s Is the s Is the s Comple	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comesystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?	☐ Yes ☐ No  P ☐ Yes ☐ No  O be complet	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the s Is the s If the a Compl a. H b. Is	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance compaystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?  System operating permit requirements been met?  System operating permit requirements been met?  System operation design?	☐ Yes ☐ No  P ☐ Yes ☐ No  O be complet	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the s Is the s If the a Compl a. H b. Is	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comesystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?  So the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	☐ Yes ☐ No  P ☐ Yes ☐ No  O be complet	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the s Is the s If the a Compl a. H b. Is	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance compaystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?  System operating permit requirements been met?  System operating permit requirements been met?  System operation design?	☐ Yes ☐ No  P ☐ Yes ☐ No  O be complet	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the s Is the s If the a Compl a. H b. Is	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comesystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?  So the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	☐ Yes ☐ No  P ☐ Yes ☐ No  O be complet	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the s Is the s If the a Compl a. H b. Is	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comesystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?  So the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	☐ Yes ☐ No  P ☐ Yes ☐ No  O be complet	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the s Is the s If the a Compl a. H b. Is	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comesystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?  So the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	☐ Yes ☐ No  P ☐ Yes ☐ No  O be complet	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the s Is the s If the a Compl a. H b. Is	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comesystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?  So the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	☐ Yes ☐ No  P ☐ Yes ☐ No  O be complet	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the s Is the s If the a Compl a. H b. Is	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comesystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?  So the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	☐ Yes ☐ No  P ☐ Yes ☐ No  O be complet	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the solution in the solution in the solution is the solution in the solution in the solution is the solution in the solutio	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comesystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?  So the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	☐ Yes ☐ No  P ☐ Yes ☐ No  O be complet	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the solution in the solution in the solution is the solution in the solution in the solution is the solution in the solutio	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comesystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?  So the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	☐ Yes ☐ No  P ☐ Yes ☐ No  O be complet	If "yes", A	halawia
Is the solution in the solution in the solution is the solution in the solution in the solution is the solution in the solutio	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comesystem operated under an Operating Permit?  System required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to liance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?  So the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	☐ Yes ☐ No  P ☐ Yes ☐ No  O be complet	If "yes", A	halawia

Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC		Date:	5/30/2023
Soil separation - Compliance co	mponent #5 c	of 5	
Date of installation 10/01/1985 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
Compliance criteria (select one):		Soil observation logs completed for t	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No*	<ul><li>☐ Two previous verifications of required</li><li>☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are</li><li>☐</li></ul>	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b.Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		A. Bottom of distribution media	3'
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	6'
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	3'
saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	2' owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

4	A	5
A		PRINCIPAL PRINCI
No.		SQUARESTORY
VERSITY OF MINNESOTA	NSITE EWAGE REATMENT ROGRAM	
PERSON OF	INSITE SEWAGE REATM	1

## Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

Client:			Anna Ranttari								v 05.13.2023	
Soil parent	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that anniv	heck all t	hat apply)		1		Loc	Location / Address:		8600 Kimbro Ave S Cottage Grove	S Cottage Grove	
Landscape Position:	Position:	Back/Side Slope	le Slope		1 9	Lacustrine	Loess Till	Alluvii	Org	Organic Matter Dist	Disturbed/Fill	
Vegetation:		Grass		Silion	0 2000		stope snape:		Convex, Convex	Flooding/Run	Flooding/Run-On potential:	
Date/Time	of Dav/Weathe	r Conditi		ne lioc	F (20 (200)		49 &	t 155D	Surface Ele	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	o benchmark:	
Observativ				/08/c	5/30/2023	왕	Hot sunny 9:00 am			Limiting Lav	Limiting Laver Elevation:	
סמשבו אמרונ	Observation #/Location:					See Map		Observa	Observation Type:		A. Indiana	T
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	olor(s)	Mottle	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	lnd:		Structure	Auger re	
	Loamy Very		10VR 3/3	/3				╫	Shape	Grade	Consistence	T
0-31	Fine Sand	100%							Granular	Moderate	-	
""			10YR 5/4	14/						Model ate	Loose	
.7/ - 75	Medium Sand	100%							Granular	Moderate	-	
										אוסקבן מנפ	Loose	i en liste Sec.
												T
				1								T
											Trashessa de la companya de la comp	T
									With and a galay can			
												Т
									Prince of the latest and the latest			
Comments:												
I hereby certif	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accord	mpleted t	his work in	# A	dance with a	Street In	ordailare olde					
Shel	Shelley Schlomka			11	000			de la communicación de				T
(Desig Optional Verifi	(Designer/Inspector)	certify th	at this soil o	hearvatio	Sis)	Signature)	162		4137 (License #)	'	5/30/2023	
the periodically	the periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soj/ treatment and dispersal site.	bedrock	at the propo	sed soil ti	r was ver reatment	and dispe	rding to Minn. R. rsal site.	7082.0500 subp.	3 A. The signatu	re below represen	the periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soj/ treatment and dispersal site.	
(LGU/De	(LGU/Designer/Inspector)		The state of the s									
	מיטלכווי ווסופום	_			(Sign	(nafilra)						la mile

(Date)

(Cert #)

(Signature)

\* well home DAN BANTHARI chean out DROP box > Prop box > \*SOIL BORING

Kimbro A

SS Septic Solutions, LLC additional terms and information.

- SS Septic Solutions has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period beyond the inspection date. Due to the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, tank pumping, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system. The report shall not be construed as a warranty that the system will properly function for any particular period of time.
- 2. Minimum compliance inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the septic system has a watertight septic tank(s) and lift tank, the required separation from the bottom of the drain field/mound distribution medium and saturated soils, no backup of sewage into the dwelling and no discharge of sewage onto the ground surface or surface water. SS Septic Solutions, LLC does not inspect basement sewage ejector pumps or exterior lift pumps as they are a maintenance item. Sewage backup verification is limited to the information supplied by the last occupants/owner if available. I can not guarantee that the information given to me is accurate. Some people may attempt to hide or conceal signs of previous backups.
- 3. Certification of this system does not warranty any future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system new or old can be hydraulically overloaded because of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying it, improper maintenance, heavy usage, tree roots, freezing conditions or surface drainage problems. The system could simply stop working due to age.
- 4. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test of the longevity of the septic system. The inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the septic is polluting the environment at the date and time the inspection is performed. The inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or local unit of government code requirements.
- 5. Winter Work Client understand that inspections conducted in winter weather conditions are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and frost. Septic system components like tanks, tank covers, drop boxes and soil treatment areas are more difficult to locate in these conditions. Soil borings and drain field locations are also more difficult to perform due to ground frost. The client needs to understand that due to the weather conditions, the same level of standards may not be possible compared to an inspection during the spring/summer/fall months.
- If hired to perform the compliance inspection, the client hereby agrees that SS Septic Solutions, LLC will not be responsible for any monetary damages, claims or causes of action including attorney fees arising from the performance of this inspection.



333 Main Street NW P.O. Box 388 Elk River, MN 55330 Phone: 763-441-7509

Fax: 763-441-9176

## **DRINKING WATER LABORATORY TEST REPORT**

Last Name:

BANHARI

First Name:

**ANNE** 

Address:

8600 KIMBRO AVE

City: State:

COTTAGE MN

County: Legal:

Zip Code: 55016

File #: 79378

Date/Time in Lab:

5/26/2023 9:59 AM

Unique Well #:

Drillers #:

Ordered By:

SS SEPTIC SOLUTIONS

Sampled From:

**Outside Tap** 

Sampled By:

SS SEPTIC SOLUTIONS

Date/Time Sampled: 05/25/2023 1415

Reason For Test: Coliform + Nitrate

Sample Temp:

22.0 ° C

Received on Ice: No

**ANALYTE & METHOD** 

DATE & TIME OF **ANALYSIS** 

MAXIMUM CONTAMINATION TEST RESULTS LEVEL (EPA)

Coliform Bacteria (SM 9223 B)

05/26/2023 1400

Negative

Negative

Nitrate (EPA 353.2 Rev 2.0)

05/26/2023 1412

10.0 ppm

19.9 ppm

This sample

**DOES NOT** 

meet EPA guidelines for safe drinking water for the Analytes tested.

Notes:

The test results are only indicative of the sample tested from the sample point on the date collected. This report must not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from Water Laboratories, Inc. Minnesota Certification# 027-141-110, Wisconsin Certification #399044470 (for compliance with NR812)

Water Laboratories, Inc.

**Amount Billed:** 

Date Paid: **Amount Paid:**  05/26/2023

Date: 05/30/2023

Received By TJ

Entered By TJ

Edited By TJ