

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
arcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 3202821440001	Reason for Inspection	Sale of property
ocal regulatory authority info: Washington County		calle of property
roperty address: 5780 TOWER DR, CITY OF WOODBURY	Y	
wner/representative: Livli Group (Omid)		Owner's phone: 612-245-7020
rief system description: Replacement system installed in 200	00	012-243-7020
ystem status		
/stem status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 05/18/2023		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	□ Namaamulia (N	
alid for 3 years from report date upless evidence of	☐ Noncompliant – Notic	
minent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and atement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	moontanded within the th	und water must be upgraded, replaced, o me required by local ordinance.
ote: Compliance indicates parfermence.)	An imminent threat to public I	health and safety (ITPHS) must be
ote: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not arantee future performance.		er period if required by least and
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applica	hle)	
impact on public health (Compliance component #1	Imminant the	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) — Failing) – millinent threat to public h	ealth and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component	to protect groundwater	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon	ent #3) - Imminent threat to p	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ System not abandoned according to Minn, R. 7080	ent #3) – Imminent threat to pa ent #3) – Failing to protect gro	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failir	nent #3) – Imminent threat to pa nent #3) – Failing to protect gro 2500 (Compliance component	oundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failir	nent #3) – Imminent threat to pa nent #3) – Failing to protect gro 2500 (Compliance component	oundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ System not abandoned according to Minn, R. 7080	nent #3) – Imminent threat to pa nent #3) – Failing to protect gro 2500 (Compliance component	oundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failin☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #5)	nent #3) – Imminent threat to pa nent #3) – Failing to protect gro 2500 (Compliance component	oundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failin☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #5)	nent #3) – Imminent threat to pa nent #3) – Failing to protect gro 2500 (Compliance component	oundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failin☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #5)	nent #3) – Imminent threat to pa nent #3) – Failing to protect gro 2500 (Compliance component	oundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failin☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #5)	nent #3) – Imminent threat to pa nent #3) – Failing to protect gro 2500 (Compliance component	oundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failin☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #5)	nent #3) – Imminent threat to pa nent #3) – Failing to protect gro 2500 (Compliance component	oundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Cor Comments or recommendations	nent #3) – Imminent threat to pa nent #3) – Failing to protect gro 2500 (Compliance component	oundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failin☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #5)	nent #3) – Imminent threat to pa nent #3) – Failing to protect gro 2500 (Compliance component	oundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Corcomments or recommendations Tification	nent #3) – Imminent threat to pa nent #3) – Failing to protect gro 2500 (Compliance component ng to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – Nor	oundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ncompliant - local ordinance applies
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Corcomments or recommendations Tification Seby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to be system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow acquate maintenance, or future water usage.	nent #3) – Imminent threat to postent #3) – Failing to protect groups 2500 (Compliance component go to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – North protect groundwater impliance status in conditions during system consistency of the protect groundwater implications during system consistency in the protect groundwater implication in the protect groundwater in the protect g	t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ncompliant - local ordinance applies us of this system. No determination of truction, possible abuse of the system
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Corcomments or recommendations Tification Seby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to be system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow acquate maintenance, or future water usage.	nent #3) – Imminent threat to postent #3) – Failing to protect groups 2500 (Compliance component go to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – North protect groundwater impliance status in conditions during system consistency of the protect groundwater implications during system consistency in the protect groundwater implication in the protect groundwater in the protect g	t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ncompliant - local ordinance applies us of this system. No determination of truction, possible abuse of the system
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componed System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Corcomments or recommendations Tification Teleby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to express the system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown acquate maintenance, or future water usage. Typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true of for the purpose of processing this form. The system performance is Septic Solutions, LLC.	nent #3) – Imminent threat to postent #3) – Failing to protect groups 2500 (Compliance component go to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – North protect groundwater impliance status in conditions during system consistency of the protect groundwater implications during system consistency in the protect groundwater implication in the protect groundwater in the protect g	t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater trace and that this information can be
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Corcomments or recommendations Tification Seby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to be system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow acquate maintenance, or future water usage.	nent #3) – Imminent threat to postent #3) – Failing to protect groups 2500 (Compliance component go to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – North protect groundwater impliance status in conditions during system consistency of the protect groundwater implications during system consistency in the protect groundwater implication in the protect groundwater in the protect g	t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ncompliant - local ordinance applies us of this system. No determination of truction, possible abuse of the system, pwledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9917
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componed System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Concomments or recommendations Tification Leby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to exist the system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown equate maintenance, or future water usage. In the purpose of processing this form. Less name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. Lector signature: This document has been electronically signal.	nent #3) – Imminent threat to postent #3) – Failing to protect groups and Compliance component and to protect groundwater and the protect groundwater grou	pundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ncompliant - local ordinance applies us of this system. No determination of truction, possible abuse of the system, pwledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9917 License number: 4137
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componed System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Concomments or recommendations Tification Leby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to exist the system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown equate maintenance, or future water usage. In the purpose of processing this form. Less name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. Lector signature: This document has been electronically signal.	nent #3) – Imminent threat to postent #3) – Failing to protect groups and Compliance component and to protect groundwater and the protect groundwater grou	pundwater t #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ncompliant - local ordinance applies us of this system. No determination of truction, possible abuse of the system, pwledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9917 License number: 4137
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componed System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Concomments or recommendations Tification Teleby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to express performance has been nor can be made due to unknown acquate maintenance, or future water usage. Typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true for the purpose of processing this form. The sess name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. This document has been electronically signates. This document has been electronically signates.	nent #3) – Imminent threat to postent #3) – Failing to protect groups 2500 (Compliance component ag to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – North protect groundwater impliance status in conditions during system constant correct, to the best of my known and correct, to the best of my known groups in the groundwater implication (must be a group with the group in the group	as of this system. No determination of truction, possible abuse of the system, owledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9917 License number: 4137 Phone: 651-343-9117
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componed System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Corcomments or recommendations Tification Eaby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to expect the system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown and the expect of the purpose of processing this form.	nent #3) – Imminent threat to postent #3) – Failing to protect groups 2500 (Compliance component ag to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – North protect groundwater impliance status in conditions during system constant correct, to the best of my known and correct, to the best of my known groups in the groundwater implication (must be a group with the group in the group	as of this system. No determination of truction, possible abuse of the system, owledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9917 License number: 4137 Phone: 651-343-9117

Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued) □ Other:				e: 05/18/2023
System discharges sewage to the ground surface System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater.	mpact on public health – C	Compliance com	ponent #1 of 5	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: Meyer's License number of maintenance business: License number of maintenance in integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be in the compliant in the property of t	Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documents	tion:
System discharges sewage to drain the or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 sulp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be content.)	System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	-	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: ank integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results:	System discharges sewage to drain	☐ Yes* ☑ No	☐ Not applicable	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: Ink integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5	System causes sewage backup into	☐ Yes* ☒ No	_	
Ink integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: Date of maintenance business: Date of maintenance indicates: Date of maintenance indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Name of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: S/18/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain b □ Other:	Any "yes" answer above indicates	the system is an	-	
Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mudd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued in the properties of the properties of tanking tanking			_	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued) □ Other:	bescribe verification methods and	d results:		
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued) □ Other:				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued on the complete of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued to the continued of the continued to the continued to the continued of the continued to the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes* □ No □ License number of maintenance business: □ Date of maintenance: □ S/18/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance □ (must be within three years) □ (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be □ Other: □ Other:				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes* □ No □ License number of maintenance business: □ Date of maintenance: □ S/18/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance □ (must be within three years) □ (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be □ Other: □ Other:				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued to the continued of the continued to the continued to the continued of the continued to the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued to the continued of the continued to the continued to the continued of the continued to the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the continued of the continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued on the complete of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued on the complete of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies of the complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complete of maintenance (mind/d/yyyy): (See form instructions				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the continued of the continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued to the continued of the continued to the continued to the continued of the continued to the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes* □ No □ License number of maintenance business: □ Date of maintenance: □ S/18/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance □ (must be within three years) □ (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be □ Other: □ Other:				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be continued by the co	ank integrity – Compliance			
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:	ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain b Other:	ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Yes* No		component #2		
Cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain b Other:		component #2		on:
Name of maintenance business: Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain b Other:	Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	on:
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain b Other:	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit.		Attached supporting documentation	on:
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain b Other:	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit.		Attached supporting documentation	on:
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain b Other:	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentation	on:
Date of maintenance: Date of maintenance: 5/18/2023	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentation	
Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation	
Date of maintenance: 5/18/2023 Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain b Other:	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Meyer's
Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain b Other:	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Meyer's
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Meyer's
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business pate of maintenance:	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other:	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023
Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be Other: Describe verification methods and results:	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach)
☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain b ☐ Other: ☐ Describe verification methods and results:	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years)
Describe verification methods and results:	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the minus of the maintenance) (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years)
Describe verification methods and results:	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years) ssment complies
Describe verification methods and results:	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years) ssment complies
Describe verification methods and results:	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years) ssment complies
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indica	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years) ssment complies
Tanks were water tight at timeof inspection.	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates failing to protect groundwates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No attes the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years) ssment complies
rains were water tight at timeof inspection.	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates failing to protect groundwates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No attes the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years) ssment complies
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicatis failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No Ites the system or.	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years) ssment complies
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicatis failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No Ites the system or.	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years) ssment complies
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicatis failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No Ites the system or.	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years) ssment complies
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicatis failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No Ites the system or.	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years) ssment complies
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicatis failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No Ites the system or.	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Meyer's ness: 5/18/2023 ttach) thin three years) ssment complies

Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.	Date: 05/18/2023
Other compliance conditions Compliance	
of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns	ecured?
☐ Yes ≅ № ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkno
res to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results:	
simodadi metriods and results:	
Attached	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
	F 5 ⊠ Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	Guarit A halasi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	Guarit A halasi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	"yes", A below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements have a 10.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required

Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.			Date:	05/18/2023	
. Soil separation – Compliance co	mpon	ent #5 c	of 5		
Date of installation 9/21/2000 (mm/dd/yyyy)		known			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Ye	s 🗌 No	Attached supporting documentation	:	
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Soil observation logs completed for☐ Two previous verifications of require	the report	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	ed vertical separation	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b.Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-	⊠ Yes □ No*		Indicate depths or elevations		
performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	30"	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation	66"	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*	36"	
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

LOG OF SOIL BORINGS

job: 5780 Tower Drive, Woodbury date: 8-200

[T	·1·	7					
84	Dark Brown top soil	F.: yellow brown Silty toam	Red- yellow boun	rellantrousn	10	3	100	· ·
83	Doute Brown 100 mg	Red Yellow brown stiffy locan	Red yellow brown Silty sallow boun	1 (Vellow brown silty loam		Restriction at	
	Dark Brown Endy Loam Douts Brown 203	Red-Brown fine loamy, sand	Syr 53	1	5yr 5/3	26		
60	Dark brown Sandy loam loar 3/3 10	Medium Red- Graum Lamy Sand and gravel	545 5/3	Red-brown medium Red-Brown to fine sand fine learn, u	Syr 5/3 Medium to Red "	Brawn Medium to Eine sand and gravel syr5/3 66	Restriction at 66,"	
Depth Feet	-	2	m	4		10		60

STANDARD SYSTEM DESIGN INDIVIDUAL SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM

WASHINGTON COUNTY HEALTH, ENVIRONMENT & LAND MANAGEMENT 14900 N. 61ST STREET, P.O. BOX 3803, STILLWATER, MN 55082-3803 612/430-6708 OR 612/430-6656 FAX 612/430-6730

	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
Owner's Name Bob New ton	
lob Sile Address 5780 Tower De.	
City or Township Woodbarn	
Use of Building Single family Abedrus	
TO TOME STORE OF A THE PARTY OF	n home
Design Flow Rate 600 Perc Rate 2.5	Land Slope // Percept
Two Required Tank Sizes / 000 Gations /000 Gations	Lift Station Tank Size - Gallona
Type of System (standard, at grade or bed) Standard	
	neal Feet 36" -Trench Width
Depth of rock below pipe / 2 "	Depth of Rock Above Pipe 2 of
MINimum Depth of Trench From Existing Orade 24 Inches	MAXImum Depth of Trench From Existing Grade 30 Inches
Recommended Number of Trenches	Recommended Length of Trenches 4670 1660
French Sparing Measured Center to Center	
Any Other Special Conditions H-10! Standard in fil	tratur system a lso requires as kast
IF PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION IS USED, COMPLETE THE PRE	SSURE DISTRIBUTION WORK SHEET ATTACHED.
This design must be accompanied by a site plan that clearly shows the is	ocation of the area lested and approved by the following.
1. Use an appropriate scale and indicate direction by use of a north	arrow
 Show Al.L. property boundaries, rights-of-way, easements, wetlan also be required. 	nds. If necessary, an enlarged detail of the house site may
3. Show location of house, garage, driveway and all other improven	nents existing or proposed.
4. Show location and layout of sewage treatment system.	
5. Show location of water supply (well and/or community supply lin	ne).
Dimension all setbacks and separation distances.	
This system has been desired by a D. H.	
This system has been designed by a Pollution Control Agency (PCA) Centif Designer Name Rolling Rolling Rould	fied Professional.
2 1	PCA Certification # 1772
Address 2041 Wood lane DR. Wordburg	55/25 Phone # 651-735-7321
ignature / Dimy & Dum	Data 8-5-00
· V	
An Equal Employment Opportunity/Alfilm 16 You Need Amistance Due to Disability or Language Bustler, Pro	native Agion Employer
	(1DD #3810)

SOILS #1



WASHINGTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA

Department of Public Health and Environment 651/430-6708

PERMIT NUMBER

WOODBURY CITY 250020007 SEWAGE PERMIT

Owner :

NEHTON

STAO TOWER DRIVE WOODBHRY

MM

Applicant :

55129 ZELLMER

459-7235

DRAINPIRLD REPLACEMENT PERMIT

SEPTIC APPLICATION/SOIL BEVIEW Total Fees :

Total Paid : Potal Due :

70.00 150.00 220.00 220.00

.00

人つつつ

PERMISSION IS HEREBY GRANTED

To execute the work specified in this permit on the following described property upon express condition that said persons and their agents, suplayers and workers shall conform in all respects to the provisions of the Building Code, and/or Ordinances.

This permit may be revoked at any time upon the violation of any of the provisions of said code and ordinances.

Project Address : \ STAG TOWER DELYE

MOODBURY

55129

Lagal Beacription:PT SE1/4-SE1/4

600 Gal/Day Tank Volume 2000 322821 BEING R 240FT OF SD 1/4

Geo: 32-028-21-44-0001

Flow Capacity

Soil Conditions: Depth to Restriction

66 Inches

Perc Ruta

16 Min/Inch

Soil Treatment Type:

Rottom Area

1000 Rock Depth

Authorized Work / Special Conditions

- Install individual sawage trantment system as per approved design in area tested and shown on site plan.
- THIS SYSTEM HUST BE INSTALLED BY A CERTIFIED/LICENSED SEWAGE TERATHENT SYSTEM INSTALLER HOLDING A GUERRENT LICENSE WITH THE HINNESOTA POLINITION CONTROL AGENCY. (A list of installers is available at your request.)
- Maximum trench depth 30 inches into natural soil.

** Permit Expiration Date :

Saunge Treatment : 2001-09-15

A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY MUST BE REQUESTED AND ISSUED PRIOR TO USE OR OCCUPANCY OF WORK PERMITTED

* This permit shall expire and he null and void if the work authorized by the Building Permit is not commenced within 60 days of the date of issuance or if work is shandoned or suspended for a period of 120 days. Term of the Ruilding Permit is 12 months from date of issue. Term of sewage treatment permit is 12 months from date of issue.

Penalty for violetion of any of the provisions of building code: Pins nut to exceed five bundred dollars (\$500.00) or imprisionment for not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Permit Issue Date 2000-09-15 Code Enforcement Officer Wills



SOIL REVIEW/SEPTIC PERMIT APPLICATION Washington County Health, Environment & Land Management 14900 61st Street N., P.O. Box 3803

Stillwater, MN 55082-3803

612/430-6708 or 612/430-6656 FAX 612/430-6730

Sign A-Signal D WASHINGTON COUNTY TREASURER	FOR COUNTY USE ONLY
\$25 - Additional Review Fee (1 hour minimum)	LOW CODNII OPE ONLY
\$100 base fee, plus \$50 per log - Subdivision Fee \$150 - Mound System Permit Fee	2500-20007
Legal Description and Parcel Identification Number	
	American Constitution of the Constitution of t
Applicant -7 Applicant -7	
OWDER (SEAVICES PLOT BOX 113 NEW A	ORT MAY 55055 451-000
BOB VEWTON STROTANCE NAME IN CITY	
Use of Building: Home Number of Bedrooms: 4	27 MUSSIZ9 651-769-5
Check the following fixture(a) which are sould be	Gallons Per Day: 600 G1869
New System	ing Facility: (jacuzzi, hot tub, etc.)
If this site has been previously approved, please attach a copy of the approval letter	Existing System Alteration Fill Site
I the following exhibits are monitoring as more of alti-	
The following exhibits are required as past of this application and shall be attached hereto: Percolation Test Reports; showing location of buildings, lot lines, percolation test holes, soil boring holes, proposed location of system and well. (1) copy of the Final Building Plan. The house and the drainfield areas must be staked. Inaccurate or incomplete info	Soil Boring Logs; Site Plan drawn to scale
(1) copy of the Final Building Plan. The house and the drainfield areas must be staked. Insecurate or	two (2) copies of the System Design; and one
AGREEMENT: The undersigned hereby makes Application for Permit to Install or Extend Sewage Treatment System shall be done in strict accordance with ordinances and regulations of the County of Washington Minnesota. Applica	having a second in delays in processing.
shall be done in strict accordance with ordinances and regulations of the County of Washington, Minnesota. Applicant submitted herewith, and which are reviewed by the Washington County Building Official or his agent together with a	a never specified, agreeing that all such work
by continuous peculiar to a particular location shall become	ly requirement and/or restriction made accessory. If
Unicial of his agent for the number of performing in the property agent for the number of performing in the property agent for the number of performing in the performance of performing in the performance of performan	ocess, at reasonable times, to the Ruilding
APPI ICATION IC COD AN INCOME.	until it has been inspected and accessed.
DEPART IN THE ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS	OVED I OCATION THE E SIGN OF
PERCONI I. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Office of the Building	OVED LOCATION WILL VOID THE
In connection with your sequent for a cell and when the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that	the installation is ready for inspection.
In connection with your sequent for a cell and when the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that	the installation is ready for inspection.
PERCONI I. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Office of the Building	the installation is ready for inspection.
In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are hereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil in the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil in the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil in the purpose of determining the suitability of the location.	the installation is ready for inspection. n your property during normal business corings.
In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are hereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil in the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil in the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil in the purpose of determining the suitability of the location.	the installation is ready for inspection.
Percont. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are hereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil is a signature of Applicant (Owner or Builder)	the installation is ready for inspection. n your property during normal business corings.
In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are bereby giving us permission to enter upo hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil is signature of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNIER	the installation is ready for inspection. If your property during normal business corings.
In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are hereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil in the purpose of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNER INSPECTOR	the installation is ready for inspection. If your property during normal business corings.
PERMIT. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are bereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil in the purpose of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNER INSPECTOR INSPECTOR	DATE 7-11-50
In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are hereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil in the purpose of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNER INSPECTOR	DATE 9-11-50
PERMIT. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are bereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil is Signature of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNER SITE EVALUATION: Soil Boring Evaluation: Depth of Water Table, Seasonal Water Table (Mottled Soil), Impervious Layer or	DATE 7-11-50
PERMIT. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are bereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil is Signature of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNER SITE EVALUATION: Soil Boring Evaluation: Depth of Water Table, Seasonal Water Table (Mottled Soil), Impervious Layer or Soils Map Data:	DATE 7-11-50
PERMIT. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are bereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil is Signature of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE (ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNER SITE EVALUATION: Soil Boring Evaluation: Depth of Water Table, Seasonal Water Table (Mottled Soil), Impervious Layer or Soils Map Data: Percolation Test Evaluation:	DATE 9-11-20
PERMIT: It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are bereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil is Signature of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNER INSPECTOR Soil Boring Evaluation: Depth of Water Table, Seasonal Water Table (Mottled Soil), Impervious Layer of Soils Map Data: Setbacks: Percolation Test Evaluation: Required [circle appropriation of Control	DATE 9-11-Sedrock:
PERMIT. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are bereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil is Signature of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNER INSPECTOR Soil Boring Evaluation: Depth of Water Table, Seasonal Water Table (Mottled Soil), Impervious Layer of Soils Map Data: Setbacks: Well (including adjacent property) Percolation Test Evaluation: Required [circle appropriation of the Building Soil of the Building Official that Including Soil of the Building Official that the Building Soil of the Building Official that the Building Soil of the Building Soil of the Building Soil of the Building Soil of the Building Official that the Building Soil of the	DATE 9-11-00 Bedrock: Bedrock: Actual
PERMIT: It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are bereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may luchude minor excavation or soil is Signature of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNER INSPECTOR Soil Boring Evaluation: Depth of Water Table, Seasonal Water Table (Mottled Soil), Impervious Layer of Soils Map Data: Setbacks: Well (including adjacent property) Wetland, Pond, Lake, Stream, River, or Bluffline 20' 40' 75' 100' CONCLUSIONS: Site Suitable: Site Unsuitable: Additional Tests Remained:	DATE 9-11-00 Bedrock: Date
PERMIT: It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are bereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil is Signature of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNER STIE EVALUATION: Soil Boring Evaluation: Depth of Water Table, Seasonal Water Table (Mottled Soil), Impervious Layer of Soils Map Data: Setbacks: Well (including adjacent property) Wetland, Pond, Lake, Stream, River, or Bluffline 20' 40' 75' 100' CONCLUSIONS: Site Suitable: Site Unsuitable: Additional Tests Required:	DATE 9-11-SC Bedrock: Bedrock: Actual
PERMIT. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are bereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil is signature of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNER INSPECTOR Soil Boring Evaluation: Depth of Water Table, Seasonal Water Table (Mottled Soil), Impervious Layer of Soils Map Data: Setbacks: Well (including adjacent property) Welland, Pond, Lake, Stream, River, or Bluffline 20' 40' 75' 100' CONCLUSIONS: Site Suitable: Site Unsuitable: Year Built Year Built	DATE 9-11-00 Bedrock: Date
PERMIT: It shall be the responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the Office of the Building Official that In connection with your request for a soil review/septic permit, you are bereby giving us permission to enter upon hours for the purpose of determining the suitability of the location, which may include minor excavation or soil is Signature of Applicant (Owner or Builder) FOR OFFICE USE ONLY REVIEWS: PLANNER STIE EVALUATION: Soil Boring Evaluation: Depth of Water Table, Seasonal Water Table (Mottled Soil), Impervious Layer of Soils Map Data: Setbacks: Well (including adjacent property) Wetland, Pond, Lake, Stream, River, or Bluffline 20' 40' 75' 100' CONCLUSIONS: Site Suitable: Site Unsuitable: Additional Tests Required:	DATE 9-11-00 Bedrock: Date

An Equal Employment Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer

If You Need Assistance Due to Disability or Language Barrier, Please Call 430-6656 OR 430-6708 (TDD 439-3220)

SS Septic Solutions, LLC additional terms and information.

- SS Septic Solutions has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period beyond the inspection date. Due to the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, tank pumping, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system. The report shall not be construed as a warranty that the system will properly function for any particular period of time.
- 2. Minimum compliance inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the septic system has a watertight septic tank(s) and lift tank, the required separation from the bottom of the drain field/mound distribution medium and saturated soils, no backup of sewage into the dwelling and no discharge of sewage onto the ground surface or surface water. SS Septic Solutions, LLC does not inspect basement sewage ejector pumps or exterior lift pumps as they are a maintenance item. Sewage backup verification is limited to the information supplied by the last occupants/owner if available. I can not guarantee that the information given to me is accurate. Some people may attempt to hide or conceal signs of previous backups.
- 3. Certification of this system does not warranty any future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system new or old can be hydraulically overloaded because of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying it, improper maintenance, heavy usage, tree roots, freezing conditions or surface drainage problems. The system could simply stop working due to age.
- 4. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test of the longevity of the septic system. The inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the septic is polluting the environment at the date and time the inspection is performed. The inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or local unit of government code requirements.
- 5. Winter Work Client understand that inspections conducted in winter weather conditions are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and frost. Septic system components like tanks, tank covers, drop boxes and soil treatment areas are more difficult to locate in these conditions. Soil borings and drain field locations are also more difficult to perform due to ground frost. The client needs to understand that due to the weather conditions, the same level of standards may not be possible compared to an inspection during the spring/summer/fall months.
- 6. If hired to perform the compliance inspection, the client hereby agrees that SS Septic Solutions, LLC will not be responsible for any monetary damages, claims or causes of action including attorney fees arising from the performance of this inspection.



333 Main Street NW P.O. Box 388 Elk River, MN 55330 Phone: 763-441-7509 Fax: 763-441-9176

DRINKING WATER LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Last Name:

LIVLI GROUP

First Name:

Address:

5780 TOWER DRIVE

City: State:

County: Legal:

WOODBURY MN

Zip Code: 55129

Unique Well #:

Drillers #:

Date/Time

File #:

in Lab:

Ordered By:

SS SEPTIC SOLUTIONS

Sampled From:

Bath Tap

79177

Sampled By:

SS SEPTIC SOLUTIONS

Date/Time Sampled: 05/18/2023 1215

5/19/2023 10:04 AM

Reason For Test: Coliform + Nitrate

Sample Temp:

18.6 ° C

Received on Ice: No

ANALYTE & METHOD

DATE & TIME OF **ANALYSIS**

MAXIMUM CONTAMINATION TEST RESULTS LEVEL (EPA)

Coliform Bacteria (SM 9223 B)

05/19/2023 1425

Negative

Negative

Nitrate (EPA 353.2 Rev 2.0)

05/19/2023 1314

10.0 ppm

7.85 ppm

This sample

DOES

meet EPA guidelines for safe drinking water for the Analytes tested.

Notes:

The test results are only indicative of the sample tested from the sample point on the date collected. This report must not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from Water Laboratories, Inc. Minnesota Certification# 027-141-110, Wisconsin Certification #399044470 (for compliance with NR812)

Water Laboratories, Inc.

Amount Billed:

Date Paid:

05/19/2023

Date: 05/22/2023

Received By TJ

Entered By TJ

Edited By TJ

Amount Paid: