## **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Inspection Address:** 4487 Trading Post Trl S, Afton, MN 55001

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2019, which were on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. Meyer Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on May 16, 2023.

Although not a compliance criteria, we recommend redirecting the run-off from the gutters on the outbuilding away from the drainfield area to prevent premature failure of septic system.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer		
Local regulatory authority info: Wasington County			
Property address: 4487 Trading Post Trl S, Afton, MN 55001			
Owner/representative: Jane & Jon Becker	Owner's phone: 651-338-9281		
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock t	rench drainfield.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/30/2023			
□ Compliant - Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt		
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.  of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinal under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.			
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)		
<ul> <li>Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) − Immin</li> <li>Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) − Failing to prote</li> <li>Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) −</li> <li>Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) −</li> <li>System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #5) − Failing to prote</li> <li>Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations</li> <li>Although not a compliance criteria, we recommend redirecting to drainfield area to prevent premature failure of septic system.</li> </ul>	ct groundwater - Imminent threat to public health and safety - Failing to protect groundwater - Imminent make the protect groundwater - Imminent threat to public health and safety - Failing to protect groundwater - Imminent groun		
Certification			
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be		
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852		
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Home	License number: L2896		
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)		
Soil observation logs	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit		
☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	tion, Disclaimer		

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 800-657-3864

System discharges sewage to the ground surface  System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Attached supporting documenta  □ Other: □ Not applicable  Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  □ Yes* □ No  □ Yes* □ No	
dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Although not a compliance criteria, we recommend redirecting the run-off from the gutters on the outbo	e outbuilding away fror
drainfield area to prevent premature failure of septic system.	
ank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5	
ank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documenta	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit,  □ Yes* □ No  □ Mattached supporting documenta □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documenta	pector Meyer S
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Attached supporting documenta  ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Meyer S Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Attached supporting documenta  ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Name of maintenance business:	Meyer S Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  Attached supporting documenta  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businesses	Meyer S ess: Service nce business: L915 5/16/202
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  Attached supporting documenta  Sempty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business but Date of maintenance:	Meyer S ess: Service nce business: L915 5/16/202
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  Attached supporting documenta  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (  Date of maintenance	Meyer S ess: Service nce business: L915 5/16/202
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Attached supporting documenta  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be	Meyer S Service nce business: L915 5/16/202 ment (Attach) ust be within three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  Attached supporting documenta  □ Yes* □ No  □ Yes* □ No  □ Yes* □ No  □ License number of maintenance but Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Date of maintenance)	Meyer S Service nce business: L915 5/16/202 ment (Attach) ust be within three year ure assessment comple
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system  Attached supporting documenta  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be completed by the properties of	Meyer S Service nce business: L915 5/16/202 ment (Attach) ust be within three year ure assessment completed (1))

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Average wq-wwists 4-31b • 4/28/2021

Pro	operty Address: _4487 Trading Post Trl S, Afton, MN 55001	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: <u>5/30/2023</u>
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or u	nsecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or sa	afety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	1 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
<del></del>		
		o If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes   No	o If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	. ( ]
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	etea.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021


Soil separation – Compliance of	omponeı	nt #5 o	f 5		
Date of installation 1997 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkn	own			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes	□No	Attached supporting documentation	::	
beverage lodging?			Soil observation logs completed for     ■	the report	
Compliance criteria (select one):			<ul><li>☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separatio</li><li>☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)</li></ul>		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	nd 🗌 Yes	□ No*			
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,			⊠ Reviewed previous compliance ins	pection from 2016.	
beverage or lodging establishment:			Reviewed design and permit record	ls.	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes	□ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishment			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically			C. System separation		
saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*		
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance.	illowed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspections required > 2,500 gallons per day	≤ for	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

# Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the nurpose of conducting or MPCA Conductions.

This information will be	e used for the purpose of cor	iducting an MFCF	Compilance inspection.	
Date of Inspection: 5/16/2023 &	& 5/30/202 <del>3</del>		Time: 12:30 PM	
Property Address: 4487 Tradi	ng Post Trl S, Afton,	MN	Zip: 55001	
Property Owner: Jane & Jon	Becker		Phone: 651-338-9281	
<u>Tank(s)</u> <u>Tank(s)Ma</u>		atment System	Other Other	
Septic 2 Fibergla			Alternative system	
Aerobic Plastic Lift Metal		elless trench	Experimental system	
☐ Lift ☐ Metal ☐ Holding ☐ Concret		nber trench age bed	☐ Cesspool system ☐ Other system	
Other:	☐ Mour			
Other	At-grade			
Are the tank maintenance cover	rs accessible? ⊠Ye	s □No *If	no, proper maintenance must be	
			vers should be made accessible to	
the ground surface to facilitate				
	Year septic installed:		Tank size (gals.): 2-1000	
How long has seller owned the			esidents in home?	
Number of bedrooms? 5			gravity? Lower Pumped	
Garbage disposal? N		hirlpool bath	? N	
More than one system (laundry				
Does this property have any foo	oting drain tiles conn	ected to the se	eptic system? N	
Are any buildings on this prope	erty such as garages of	or out-building	gs connected to this system? N	
			-	
Are there any additional system	ns on this property se	rving other bu	uldings? N	
Location of septic system on lo		1		
Location of water well on lot?			e well a deep well? Y	
Have you ever experienced any				
		erflowing, etc	e.; or have any repairs been made	
to the system? If yes, ex	plain:			
3371 41 4 1 4	19.5/16/2022	NI C	M C C :	
When was the system last pum	1		nper: Meyer Sewer Service	
How often pumped in previous			n on a monitoring plan? N	
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? N  Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y				
			N	
Do you have any additional info	ormation that should	be given to the	ne new owner? N	
considered "non-compliant/failing" per local government unit within 15 days of	r MPCA rules, that the ir of the date of inspection ponsible for payment of a	spector must by completion. I a	ge. I also understand that if the system is a law submit a copy of this report to the also agree that unless otherwise noted in ork performed relative to this inspection	
Owner/Occupant			Date:	



# **Soil Observations Log**

Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 5/30/2023  Classification System: USDA  Soil Observation: ST-1  Surface Elevation of Observation	Location of Project: 4487 Trading Post Trl S, Afton, MN 55001						
Soil Observation:  Surface Elevation of Observation  Same ground surface as last drainfield trench  Depth In Inches  O-6  6-22  22-40 ≈15  Torm Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox  Soil Sencountered  Torm Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox  Same Elevation of Observation  Soils Encountered  Depth In Inches  Torm Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox  Same Elevation of Observation  Soils Encountered  Depth In Inches  Rock % Soils Encountered  Depth In Inches  Torm Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox  Same Elevation of Observation Or Redox  Elevation of Observation Relative To System  Elevation of Observation Relative To System  Elevation of Observation Media  Depth To Bottom of Distribution Media  Of Separation  End Of Soil Observation At:							5/30/2023
Surface Elevation of Observation    Same ground surface as last drainfield trench   Soils Encountered   Depth In Inches	Classific	ation System:	USDA				
Elevation of Observation    Same ground surface as last drainfield trench   Soils Encountered   Depth In Inches	Soi	l Observation:	ST-1		Soil O	bservation:	
Toches   Rock %   Solis Encountered   Toches   Toches   Solis Encountered   Toches   Toche	Elevation of	_		Elevat	Elevation of		
6-22 22-40 ≈15	. I KUCK %	Soils E	ncountered		Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Encountered
SameElevation Of Observation Relative To SystemElevation Of Observation Relative To System35"Depth To Bottom Of Distribution MediaDepth To Bottom Of Distribution Media≥35"Of SeparationOf Separation End Of Soil Observation At:	6-22 22-40 ≈15 40-54	10YR 3 10YR 3/4 Fir Wi 10YR 4/4 M Trace	3/4 Silt Loam It To Medium Sand Ith Gravel Ith Gravel Ith Gravel Ith Gravel Ith Gravel Ith Gravel				
35" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥35" Of Separation  End Of Soil Observation At:  70" End Of Soil Observation At:	70" Depth	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
≥35" Of Separation  End Of Soil Observation At:  To End Of Soil Observation At:	Same Elevation	Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System			Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System
End Of Soil Observation At: 70" End Of Soil Observation At:						Distribution Media	
	≥35"  Of Separation			Of Sepa	ration		
	End Of Soil Observation At- 70"			Fnd Of	Soil Oh	servation At	
■ LITHURG SOF CORDINORS ALT INORE ■ LITHURG SOF CORDINORS ALT			None				
Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At:					_		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 35 Inches				
Signature:	Color Va			

# **Log Of Soil Borings**

Location of Project: 4487 Trading Post Trail S, Afton, MN 55001					
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota				Date:	9/26/16
	Auger Used: Hand/Bucket		Classification System: USDA		USDA
В	Boring Number:	1	Boring Number:		
Surface Elevation o Boring	Surface Elevation of Same ground surface as last		Surface Elevation Boring		
Depth In Inches			Depth In Inches	Soils Er	<u>icountered</u>
0-7 7-24 24-38 38-52 52-80	10YR 10YR 3/4 Medit ≈15% Ro 10YR 4/4 M Trace	/2 Silt Loam 4/3 Loam 4/3 Loam Im Sand With Gravel ock Fragments edium Sand With of Gravel dium To Fine Sand			
80" D	epth To End Of B	oring Or Redox		Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox
Same E	levation Of Boring	g Relative To System		Elevation Of Boring	Relative To System
	epth To Bottom ( of Separation	Of Distribution Media		Depth To Bottom C Of Separation	f Distribution Media
Е	nd Of Boring At:	80"		End Of Boring At:	
	edox Present At:	None		Redox Present At:	
Standing W	Vater Present At:	None	Standing	Water Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At:	35 Inches

### **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.