ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Rhen and Brittany Gainsley 21207 Newberry Ave Scandia, MN 55073

6/6/2023

Dear Rhen and Brittany Gainsley,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your septic system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 1603220440003	Reason for Inspection Sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 21207 Newberry Ave Scandia, MN 55073	
Owner/representative: Rhen and Brittany Gainsley	Owner's phone: 651-491-4352
Brief system description: (2) 1000 gallon septic tanks, 1000 gall	on lift tank, mound dispersal system
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _6/6/2023	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)
 ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components) ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing 	to protect groundwater ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594
Inspector signature: Benjamin Zierke	License number: 119
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-249-1346
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
☑ Soil observation logs☑ System/As-Built☑ Locally re☑ Other information (list):	equired forms

mpact on public health — Compliance compositions Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
System discharges sewage to the Yes* No		Other:	
ground surface		Not applicable Not	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar			
Describe verification methods and	results:		
nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	component #2	Attached supporting documentation: □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentation: □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business:	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance6/5/2023	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment comp	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Ρ	roperty Address: 21207 Newberry Ave Scandia, MN 55073	
В	usiness Name: Zierke Soil Testing	Date: 6/6/2023
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	sourcu:
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe:	tv?□Yes* ⊠No□Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	y. 🗆 160 💆 110 🗀 Olimiowii
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	•	☐ fes ☐ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Not applicable ☐	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.		of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 c	
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Where the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Where the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Where the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Where the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Where the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Where the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Where the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Where the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Where the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Where the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021


usiness Name: Zierke Soil Testing		Date: <u>(</u>	5/6/2023	
Soil separation – Compliance of	omponent #5 o	f 5		
Date of installation 11/15/2007 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:		
		 ☑ Soil observation logs completed for the report ☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation 		
Compliance criteria (select one):				
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, a not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,	nd ☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area ☐ ☐	a) 	
beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	nd	A. Bottom of distribution media	101.0'	
	а	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	98.2'	
		C. System separation	2.8'	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0' (2.55' with allowance)	
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspect License required > 2,500 gallons per day	d≤ tor			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food,

800-657-3864





Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 21207 Newberry Ave Scandia, MN 55073

Borings Made by Ben Zierke Date: 6/5/2023

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-12"	10YR 3/3 sandy loam	0	
12-22"	5YR 4/4 sandy loam		
22-28"	5YR 4/4 loam with 7.5YR 5/4 loamy fine sand layers, 7.5YR 5/6 and 5/8 concentrations, 7.5YR 6/2 depletions		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring oresent in hole X 1.8 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tak Present at Standing water not j Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring bresent in hole feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
0		0	
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring beet of depth feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring bresent in hole feet of depth

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

Sewage tank integrity assessment form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS) Program

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Purpose: This form may be used to certify the compliance status of the sewage tank components of the SSTS. This form is not a complete SSTS inspection report, only a tank integrity assessment, and may only certify sewage tank compliance status when entirely completed and signed by a qualified professional. SSTS compliance inspection report forms can be found at: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections.

Instructions: This form may be completed, and signed, by a Designated Certified Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS inspection, maintenance, installation, or service provider business who personally conducts the necessary procedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in the system. Only a licensed maintenance business is authorized to pump the tank for assessment. A copy of this information should be submitted to the system owner and be maintained by the licensed SSTS business for a period of five (5) years from the assessment date.

When this form is signed by a qualified certified professional, it becomes *necessary supporting documentation* to an Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: <u>Compliance inspection form - Existing system (wq-wwists4-31b)</u>. This form can be found on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections.

The information and certified statement on this form is **required** when existing septic tank compliance status is determined by an individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits an inspection report. This form represents a third party assessment of SSTS component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B)(1). This form is valid for a period of three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new evaluation is requested by the owner or owner's agent or is required according to local regulations. Additional Administrative Rule references for this activity can be found at Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B),(C), and (D) and; Minn. R. 7083.0730(C).

Owner information		- N
Owner/Representative Rhen Cainslex		
Property address: 21207 NOW berry AND		
Local Regulatory Authority: Scondo	Parcel ID	550173
System status		* 15
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6-5-23		
Certificate of sewage tank compliance	☐ Notice of sewage tag	ank non-compliance
Compliance c	riteria:	
The SSTS has a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other p Groundwater."	it - "Failure to Protect	☐ Yes* 🏧 🔿 No
The SSTS has a sewage tank that leaks below the designed operating Groundwater ."	depth - "Failure to Protect	☐ Yes* €No
The SSTS presents a threat to public safety by reason of structurally ur or weak) maintenance hole cover(s) or lids or any other unsafe condition Public Health or Safety."		☐ Yes* ☐ No
Any "yes" answer above indicates s	ewage tank non-compliant	ce.
	esignated Certified Individ	lual (DCI) information
Business license number:	ertification number:9	مال
I personally conducted the work described above as a Designated Cert maintenance, installation, or service provider Business. I personally con status of each sewage tank in this SSTS.		
By typing/signing my name below, I certify the above statements to be this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	be true and correct, to the best	of my knowledge, and that
Designated Certified Individual's signature:		m/dd/yyyy):6-5-23
	, e.ge.,	

www.pca.state.mn.us • wq-wwists4-91 • 5/10/21