## **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

## SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Date:** 6/21/2023 & 6/22/2023 **Time:** 12:00 PM **Owner:** Cindy & Dennis Hervin

Inspection Address: 12095 Quail Ave Ln N, May Twp, MN 55082 - Garage

### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of a precast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks on June 22, 2023.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that a portion of the septic tank is located under the driveway. We recommend fencing this section off to prevent damage and/or freezing of the septic tank. In addition, there is a catch basin, which was installed by the City/County, adjacent to the drainfield. It is unknown what effect this basin will have on the future hydrology of the soil.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 12095 Quail Ave Ln N, May Twp, MN 55082	2 - Garage
Owner/representative: Cindy & Dennis Hervin	Owner's phone: 651-734-8346
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trend	ch drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _6/22/2023	
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immir	nent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ct groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Failing to protect groundwater
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot	tect groundwater
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that a por recommend fencing this section off to prevent damage and/or fr which was installed by the City/County, adjacent to the drainfield hydrology of the soil.	eezing of the septic tank. In addition, there is a catch basin,
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of
	wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
<b>By typing my name below</b> , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Thumpal (After Vi	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
Soil observation logs	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit
☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

<b>ipact on public health –</b> Co	impliance comp	Julient #1 01 3		
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting d	ocumentation:	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable		
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No			
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No			
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an				
Describe verification methods and	results:			
n <b>k integrity</b> – Compliance	component #2	of 5		
i <b>nk integrity</b> – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting d	ocumentation:	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2			
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting d	y inspector	Pinky's Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting do   ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by	y inspector ousiness:	Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting de  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by  Name of maintenance b	y inspector ousiness:	Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting do  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by  Name of maintenance be  License number of main	y inspector ousiness: otenance business	Service :: L1673 6/22/202
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting do  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by  Name of maintenance b  License number of main  Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity as  Date of maintenance	y inspector  ousiness:  ntenance business  ssessment (Attach	Service : L1673 6/22/202
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting do  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by  Name of maintenance be License number of maintenance:  ☐ Existing tank integrity as  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to	y inspector  pusiness:  Intenance business  ssessment (Attach  (must be within  p ensure assessm	Service :: L1673 6/22/202 1) three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting do  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by  Name of maintenance be License number of maintenance:  ☐ Existing tank integrity as  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):	y inspector  business:  atenance business  ssessment (Attach  (must be within  be ensure assessment, 4 B (1))	Service  L1673 6/22/202  three yea  ent comp
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting do  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by  Name of maintenance be License number of maintenance:  ☐ Existing tank integrity as  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub	y inspector  business:  atenance business  ssessment (Attach  (must be within  be ensure assessment, 4 B (1))	Service  L1673 6/22/202  three yea  ent comp
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting do  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by  Name of maintenance be License number of maintenance:  ☐ Existing tank integrity as  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub	y inspector  business:  atenance business  ssessment (Attach  (must be within  be ensure assessment, 4 B (1))	Service  L1673 6/22/202  three yea  ent comp

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 Page 2 of 4

Pro	operty Address: _12095 Quail Ave Ln N, May Twp, MN 55082 - Garage	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 6/22/2023
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us 651-296-6300 wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

pperty Address: <u>12095 Quail Ave Ln N, May 1</u> siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	wp, MN 55082 - Gara		6/22/2023	
Soil separation – Compliance co	omponent #5 o			
Date of installation 2005 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ☑ Soil observation logs completed for		
Compliance criteria (select one):  5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	nd ☐ Yes ☐ No*	<ul><li>☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separa</li><li>☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)</li></ul>		
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		⊠ Reviewed design and permit record	ds.	
saturated soil or bedrock.  5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations  A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached	
	1	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	Boring Log(s)	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		C. System separation  D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if a	allowed by Legal	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required		Ordinance.	niowed by Local	
2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)  Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	or			


**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

# Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the number of condition of the substantial of

	This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Con	npliance Inspection.		
	Date of Inspection: 6/21/2023 & 6/22/2023	Time: 12:00 PM		
	Property Address: 12095 Quail Ave Ln N, May Twp, MN-Garage	Zip: 55082		
	Property Owner: Cindy & Dennis Hervin	Phone: 651-734-8346		
İ	Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System	Other		
	Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench	Alternative system		
	Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench	Experimental system		
	☐Lift ☐Metal ☐Chamber trench ☐	Cesspool system		
		Other system		
	Other: Block Mound _			
	Other At-grade			
	Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No *If no,			
	performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers	should be made accessible to		
	the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the	system.		
	Year house built: 2005 Year septic installed: 2005 Tan	ık size (gals.): 1000		
	How long has seller owned the property?  Number of reside	<u> </u>		
	Number of bedrooms? N/A  Are all floors drained by grave			
	Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?			
ĺ	More than one system (laundry, etc.)?			
I	Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the seption	e system?		
	The second secon			
İ	Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings co	onnected to this system?		
	The any dunames on and property such as garages of our dunames ex	omiceted to this system.		
	Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildi	ngs? System for house.		
	Location of septic system on lot? East Side			
İ		ell a deep well? N/A		
İ	Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree	1		
	surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or			
	to the system? If yes, explain:	have any repairs been made		
	to the system?			
ļ	When was the system last pumped? 6/22/2023 Name of pumper	:: Pinky's Sewer Service		
ļ	How often pumped in previous years? Is system on a monitoring plan?  Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?			
ļ	Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	ills system?		
ļ		avv. avvm ar?		
Į	Do you have any additional information that should be given to the no	ew owner?		
	hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I			
	considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law			
local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in				
	this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection			
t	by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing			

Date:


Owner/Occupant:



## **Soil Observations Log**

Lo	cation of Project:	12095 Quail Ave Lr	ı N, Mav	/ Twp, N	4N 55082 -	Garage
		Midwest Sewer Ser			Date:	6/21/2023
Class	sification System:	USDA				
	Soil Observation:	ST-1		Soil O	bservation:	
Surface Elevation Observation	of Same groun	nd surface as last field trench		face tion of vation		
Depth In Inches Rock	k % Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-3 3-19 19-32 32-40 40-59 59-62	10YR 3/3 10YR 3/3 M Lamel 10YR 3/4 10YR 3/4 M 10YR 3/3 I	Soils Encountered  10YR 2/2 Medium Sand 10YR 3/3 Medium Sand With Lamellae Banding 10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand 10YR 3/4 Medium Sand 10YR 3/4 Medium Sand With 10YR 3/3 Loam Layers And 7.5YR 5/8 Redox				
59" Dep	oth To End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
Same Elev	ation Of Observation	on Relative To System		Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System
-24" Dep						Distribution Media
=35" Of S	Separation			Of Sepa	ration	
Fnd Of S	Soil Observation At:	62"	Fnd Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	
	Soil Conditions At:	59"			onditions At:	
	Water Present At:	None			r Present At:	
Standing water Fresent At.						

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 24 Inches		
Signature:	Color Ole	



BOREHOLE DIAMETER 4 "3 4"

PNINOS 710G 194840)

BOKING FOC

500C 41-9 31VO 718 TAN 1116 QUAIL AUB 560 Z1 YAURA NHOU BOL

## **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.