

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type. Compliance and Enforcement

roperty information	Local tracking numb
arcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 30.031.20.34.0003	Reason for Inspection property sale
ocal regulatory authority info: Washington County	
operty address: 13180 Lynch Rd N May Twp, MN 55038	
wner/representative: Sandy Cedergren	Owner's phone: 612-508-8579
rief system description: A septic tank and a rock trench drainf	field.
ystem status	
ystem status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/21/2023	— Company Roman
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant - Notice of noncompliance
Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an animment threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by from the state of t
batement under section 145A.04. subdivision 8 is discovered or shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of a confidence of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local sections.
Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. 2. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not puarantee future performance.	under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
December 1 for noncompliance (check all applications)	able)
Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imm	ninent threat to public health and safety
7 - Live with Compliance component #2) - Failing to pro	tect groundwater
7 Out on Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3)	\ _ Imminent threat to public health and safety
	<i>f</i> ************************************
in the second on	\ _ Falling in hittlett diodiowator
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 () – Failing to protect groundwater Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 () – Failing to protect groundwater Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 () – Failing to protect groundwater Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater
 ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to plan of the p) – Failing to protect groundwater Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to poly operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Complian Comments or recommendations)) – Failing to protect groundwater Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
 ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to plan of the p) – Failing to protect groundwater Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to poly operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Complian Comments or recommendations)) – Failing to protect groundwater Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to poly operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Complian Comments or recommendations)) – Failing to protect groundwater Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to poly Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Complian Comments or recommendations)) – Failing to protect groundwater Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to possible of the Complian of the Compli) – Failing to protect groundwater Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
 ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to properating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Complian Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection and pumping record) – Failing to protect groundwater Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies ds on file at Washington County.
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to possible permit/monitoring plan requirements (Complian Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection and pumping record Certification Thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather future system performance has been nor can be made due to unification.	Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies ds on file at Washington County. Tred to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system.
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to possible permit/monitoring plan requirements (Complian Comments or recommendations) Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection and pumping record Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather faiture system performance has been nor can be made due to unification.	Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies ds on file at Washington County. Tred to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system.
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3 System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to proper the compliant of the	Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies ds on file at Washington County. The dot determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can applied to the system.
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to possible of the permit of the properties of the permit of	Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies ds on file at Washington County. Tred to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system.
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3 System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to proper the property of the property of the property of the property of the purpose of processing this form. Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection and pumping record the property of the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: Tom Trooien (This document has been electronically)	Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies ds on file at Washington County. The dot of determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system. It is and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be considered to the system. Certification number: 323 License number: 1500 Phone: 612-594-44
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3 System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to proper the permit/monitoring plan requirements (Complian Comments or recommendations) Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection and pumping record future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown and the permit of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: Tom Trooien (This document has been electronically the permit of the permit	Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies ds on file at Washington County. red to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system. true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be certification number: 323 Certification number: 323 License number. 1500 Phone: 612-591-344
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failing to possible permit/monitoring plan requirements (Complian Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection and pumping record future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown and the pumping madequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature:	Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater rotect groundwater ce component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies dis on file at Washington County. The determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system. It is and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be considered to the system. Certification number: 323 License number. 1550 Phone: 612-594-44

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes 🖾 No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes No		
त्रमुष्ट प्रदेश - स्वाइकान कीत्रमान त्यसीर पहला मार्ग वह नहा त्यार कहन व हाहि स्वकारी क	der system in air et solicty		
Describe verification methods and	results:		
	component #7	of 5	
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2		
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☑ No		Dinkyle
or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:	<u>Pinky's</u> · 1613
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes	License number of maintenance business	6/21/202
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	
		Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	'/
		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within	three year
	t and the second		ant compl
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	cates the system	(See form instructions to ensure assessm Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	ен сотр
	cates the system	(See form instructions to ensure assessments) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess)	
Ago, right i paparer abord indi	cates the system	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	
Application of the second of t	cuifes (he system	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) ☐ Other:	ary – explai
App. 19.44 in an exercision of the least of	cafes (he system	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other: d and back-flushed through the maintenance hole.	ary – explai
App. 19.44 in an exercision of the least of	cafes (he system	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other: d and back-flushed through the maintenance hole.	ary – explai
App. 19.44 in an exercision of the least of	cafes (he system	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) ☐ Other:	ary – explai
App. 19.44 in an exercision of the least of	cafes (he system	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other: d and back-flushed through the maintenance hole.	ary – explai
App. 19.44 in an exercision of the least of	cafes (he system	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other: d and back-flushed through the maintenance hole.	ary – explai
App. 19.44 in an exercision of the least of	cafes (he system	Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess) Other: d and back-flushed through the maintenance hole.	ary – explai

perty Address: 13180 Lynch Rd N May Twp, MN 55038 siness Name: All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 6/21/2023
Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or un	secured?
☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unknown 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ety? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unknow
grant make the Secretary of the statement of the secretary of the secretar	☐ Yes
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	<u> </u>
Describe verification methods and results:	
Describe vernication methods and rosans.	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 Not applicable
. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir
. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir
. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to compliance criteria:	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: BAY: Have the operating permit requirements been met?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Acquired nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Acquired nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Acquired nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Acquired nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Acquired nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Acquired nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Acquired nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Acquired nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Acquired nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Acquired nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Acquired nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Acquired nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir

Date of installation 10/10/1989 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □!	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the report
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separa
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food. beverage or lodging establishment:	Yes n	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media 2.9
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 6.8
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation 3.9
separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation* 3.0
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2.500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	Yes 🗆	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		
TANY NOT BUSHING STONE MUNICIPES THE SORRY TO EXCHANGE CONTRACTOR	438636 F	
Describe verification methods and results:	:	

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the local ordinance is upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict, system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued. Notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas. Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

WIE

13180 LYNCH RON MAYTUP, MN 55038 6-21-23

FIELD

POLE BITEN HOUSE

SEPTIC

TANK

BI

DRAIN

Soil Observation Log

)	; } }	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		^	Project ID:			v 03.15.2023	
Client:		Sa	Sandy Cedergren	rgren			Locat	Location / Address:	13.	13180 Lynch Rd N May Twp, MN 55082	y Twp, MN 55082	
Soil parent ma	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that è	apply)	Outwash	ash Lacustrine	l	Loess Till	Alluvium Bedrock		Organic Matter Disturb	Disturbed/Fill	
Landscape Position:	sition:				Slope %:		Slope shape:			Flooding/Run-On potential:	On potential:	
Vegetation:				Soil su	Soil survey map unit	ts:			Surface Ele	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	benchmark:	
		6/2	6/21/2023							Limiting Layer Elevation:	er Elevation:	
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	B-1	_								Auger	
		Rock			- 3	- ((-) H = () () ()	100,000		I Structure	re	
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrix	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	or(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	nce
01-0	loam	5%	10YR 3/2	3/2								
10-18	sandy loam	20%	7.5YR	4/3								
18-32	sandy loam	5%	7.5YR	4/4								
32-46	loamy sand	5%	10YR	3/4								
46-83	med/coarse sand	2%	10YR	4/4								
Comments:			-									
I hereby cert	tify that I have c	sompleted	this work	in accorda	nce with all a	applicabl	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws	es and laws.				
	Tom Trooien		1		Tom	Trooier		•	1568		6 1/23	23
(D e Optional Veri periodically s.	(Signature) Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according the periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal signature.	r) sy certify th sedrock at t	nat chis soí th propose	l observatio ed soil treat	(Sign was verified iment and display	(Signature) fied accordination	nature) accordirs to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. ersal si		(License#) The signature be	(License #) The signature below represents an infield verifiα		t e) of the
	(1611/Designer/Inspector)	tor	ı		3(5)	(Signature			(<i>t</i> #)	,		e)

Soil Observation Log

)))	;		n	Project ID:			v 03.15.2023	
Client:		Sa	Sandy Cedergren	rgren			Loca	Location / Address:	13.	13180 Lynch Rd N May Twp, MN 55082	y Twp, MN 55082	
Soil parent ma	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that a	(Alddı		Outwash	Lacustrine	Loess Till	Alluvium Bec	Bedrock Organi	Organic Matter Disturb	Disturbed/Fill	
Landscape Position:	sition:				Slope %:	••	Stope shape:			Flooding/Run-On potential:	On potential:	
Vegetation:				Soil si	Soil survey map units:	p units:			Surface Ele	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	benchmark:	
6		6/2	6/21/2023							Limiting Layer Elevation:	er Elevation:	
Observatic	Observation #/Location:	B-2	2								Auger	
	T to	Rock	Matrix	Matrix (olor(c)	Mottle	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)		Structure	1 1 1	
Depth (In)	l exture	Frag. %	אמרווא	COIOI (3)	MOLEC	(5)			Shape	Grade	Consistence	
01-0	loam	2%	10YR 3/2	3/2								
10-20	sandy loam	25%	7.5YR	3/4								
20-33	sandy loam	5%	7.5YR	4/4								
33-44	loamy sand	5%	10YR	4/4								
44-84	med/coarse sand	5%	10YR	5/4								
Comments:												
I hereby cert	tify that I have o	completed	this work	in accord	ance with	n all applica	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws	ules and laws.				
	Tom Trooien		1			Tom Troolen	U	1	1568		6/21/23	
(De <u>Optional Veri</u> periodically s.	(Signature) Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil enservation was verified according to Minn periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the propose: oil treatment and dispersal site.	or) by certify th Sedrock at t	at this soi the propose	िservati शाज्यी trea	on was ve itment an	(Signature) servation was verified according oil treatment and dispersal site.		. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A.	(License#) The signature b∈		(Date) W represents an infield verification of the	
1	(1: I/Designer/Inspector)	ctor)	ı			(Signature)			(Cert #)		(Date)	\prod