Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 355 Quinlan Ave S, Lakeland Shores, MN 55043

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This very old system (installed in 1990) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on June 27, 2023.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance of the septic tank.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: 355 Quinlan Ave S, Lakeland Shores, MN 5	55043	
Owner/representative: Wynn Jordahl	Owner's phone: 715	-220-8305
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trend	ch drainfield.	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/27/2023		
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgruse discontinued within the time required by local ord	
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten	months of receipt
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by lunder section 145A.04 subdivision 8.	ocal ordinance or
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicat	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	inent threat to public health and safety	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ect groundwater	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	 Imminent threat to public health and safety 	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Failing to protect groundwater	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C		dwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro	tect groundwater	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	e component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance a	pplies
Comments or recommendations		
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the s cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and prop		xtending this
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this i	information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification num	ber: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After 1)	License num	ber: <u>L2896</u>
(This document has been electronically sig	ned) Pho	one: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)	
Soil observation logs	quired forms 🏻 Tank Integrity Assessment 🗀 O	perating Permit
	•	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

## Attached supporting documentation: System discharges sewage to the ground surface Yes* ⊠ No ground surface Not applicable	ss Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Dutc.	6/27/2023
System discharges sewage to the ground surface System discharges sewage to drain	npact on public health – Co	ompliance comp	oonent #1 of 5	
ground surface	Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentat	ion:
tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: None of the above found. Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Mame of maintenance business: Service License number of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten		☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: None of the above found. Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect		☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
mminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: None of the above found. Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten		☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Ank integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "ser" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "ser" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "ser" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "ser" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "ser" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "ser" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Csee form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extended the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extended that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extended that the septic tank manhole cover is buried.				
Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "pes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance component #2 of 5 Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Pinky's S: Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other:	Describe verification methods and	d results:		
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: 6/27/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years displayed) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain □ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	None of the above found.			
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain □ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extension.				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: 6/27/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain) □ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extended.				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extending to protect groundwater. Sempty tank(s) viewed by inspector Pinky's Semanate of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance:				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: 6/27/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain) □ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extension.				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: 6/27/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain) □ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extension.				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: 6/27/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain) □ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extension.				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: 6/27/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain) □ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extended.				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain □ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extension.				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain) □ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extension.				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: 6/27/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain) □ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extended.				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain ☐ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extension.				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain ☐ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extension.				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain ☐ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend extension.				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: Pinky's So Service License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: 6/27/2023 Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years displayed by inspector Finky's So Service				
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: 6/27/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain □ Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	n k integrity – Compliance	e component #2	of 5	
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	ı nk integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Pinky's Sex Service Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: License number of maintenance indicates the failing to pate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten		component #2		ion:
Or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Attached supporting documentat	ion:
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? License number of maintenance business: L1673 Date of maintenance: 6/27/2023 Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (must be within three years Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Attached supporting documentat	ion:
Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Attached supporting documentat	
Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Attached supporting documentat ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's So
Date of maintenance: 6/2/1/2023 Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's So Service
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's So Service
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus	Pinky's So Service iness: <u>L1673</u>
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus Date of maintenance:	Pinky's So Service iness: <u>L1673</u> 6/27/2023
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Pinky's So Service iness: <u>L1673</u> 6/27/2023
is failing to protect groundwater. Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Pinky's So Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach)
is failing to protect groundwater. Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Pinky's So Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach)
☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain☐ Other:☐ Other:☐ Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businese of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be well)	Pinky's Some Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach)
Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business: License number of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the following maintenance) (must be with the following maintenance) (must be with the following maintenance) (see form instructions to ensure asset)	Pinky's Some Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach)
Other: Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business: License number of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the following maintenance) (must be with the following maintenance) (must be with the following maintenance) (see form instructions to ensure asset)	Pinky's Some Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach)
Describe verification methods and results: Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (An analysis) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment (An analysis) (See form instructions to ensure assessment (An analysis)	Pinky's So Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach) within three years essment complied
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (An analysis) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment (An analysis) (See form instructions to ensure assessment (An analysis)	Pinky's So Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach) within three years essment complied
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I recommend exten	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indices.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (ADate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assing Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessity)	Pinky's So Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach) within three years essment complied
	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundwate	□ Yes* ⋈ No □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (ADate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assing Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessity)	Pinky's So Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach) within three years essment complied
	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundwate	□ Yes* ⋈ No □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (ADate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assing Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessity)	Pinky's So Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach) within three years essment complied
this cover to the ground surface to facilitate easier access and proper maintenance of the septic tank.	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicis failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ teates the system ter. ☐ dresults:	Attached supporting documentat ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADDate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assing Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not need) ☐ Other:	Pinky's So Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach) within three years essment complied
	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and Although not a compliance criteria, in	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ desults: t should be noted that	Attached supporting documentat ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assing Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not not put to the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I result the septic tank manhole cover is buried.	Pinky's So Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach) within three years essment complied
	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and Although not a compliance criteria, in	□ Yes* ⋈ No □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Yes* ⋈ No eates the system ter. d results: t should be noted that	Attached supporting documentat ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assing Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not not put to the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I result the septic tank manhole cover is buried.	Pinky's So Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach) within three years essment complied
	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods and Although not a compliance criteria, in	□ Yes* ⋈ No □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Yes* ⋈ No eates the system ter. d results: t should be noted that	Attached supporting documentat ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assing Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not not put to the septic tank manhole cover is buried. I result the septic tank manhole cover is buried.	Pinky's So Service iness: L1673 6/27/2023 Attach) within three years essment complied

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service •

Available in alternative formats

	pperty Address: 355 Quinlan Ave S, Lakeland Shores, MN 55043 siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 6/27/2023
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns □ Yes* ☑ No □ Unknown 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe 	
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 🛭 Not applicable
4.		of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is required
<u>4.</u>		If "yes", A below is required
<u>4.</u>	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required
<u>4.</u>	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
<u>4.</u>	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Soil separation – Compliance co	mpone	nt #5 o	 f 5	
Date of installation 1990 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unkr	nown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation	ı:
beverage lodging?			⊠ Soil observation logs completed for	the report
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of require	ed vertical separation
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	✓ Yes □	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment ar	ea)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			Reviewed design and permit record	ls.
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes	☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*	
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance.	illowed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)		□ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				


Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPC	A Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: 6/26/2023 & 6/27/2023	Time: 12:45 PM
Property Address: 355 Quinlan Ave S, Lakeland Shores, MN	Zip: 55043
Property Owner: Wynn Jordahl	Phone: 715-220-8305
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ☐ Yes ☐ No *If	
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cov	
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of	the system.
Year house built: 1961 Year septic installed: 1990	Tank size (gals.): 1500
	esidents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by §	3
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath	?
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the s	eptic system?
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildin Are there any additional systems on this property serving other by	_
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other o	undings?
Location of septic system on lot? East Side	
	e well a deep well? N/A
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc to the system? If yes, explain:	
	nper: Pinky's Sewer Service
	n on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concern	ing this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	he new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must be local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. It also that the transfer of the factor of the	y law submit a copy of this report to the

by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	k performed relative to this inspection
Owner/Occupant:	Date:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 355 Quinlan Ave S, Lakeland Shores, MN 55043 Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 6/26/20 Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation Observation drainfield trench Observation)23
Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation	
Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last Observation Surface Elevation of Observation	
Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last Observation Observation Observation	
Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered	<u>d</u>
0-19 19-33 7.5YR 2.5/3 Medium Sand 7.5YR 4/4 Medium Sand With Trace Of Gravel 33-70 ≈10-15 10YR 4/4 Medium Sand With Gravel	
70" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation C	r Redox
Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Elevation Of Observation Relative To	
-42" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Me	edia
≥28" Of Separation Of Separation	
End Of Soil Observation At: 70" End Of Soil Observation At:	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:	
Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 42 Inches	
Signature:	Chan la

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.