

333 Main Street NW P.O. Box 388 Elk River, MN 55330 Phone: 763-441-7509

Fax: 763-441-9176

## DRINKING WATER LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Last Name:

**EDINA REALTY** 

First Name:

AGENT - JODY W.

Address:

County: Legal:

5457 99TH ST S

City: State:

MN

ST PAUL PARK

Zip Code: 55071

File #: 13612

Date/Time

8/16/2016 12:43 PM

in Lab:

Unique Well #:

Drillers #:

Ordered By:

SAUBER PLUMBING &

**HEATING** 

Sampled From:

Outside Tap

Sampled By:

SAUBER

**Date/Time Sampled:** 08/15/2016 800

Reason For Test: Coliform + Nitrate

Sample Temp:

> 4° C

**ANALYTE & METHOD** 

DATE & TIME OF **ANALYSIS** 

MAXIMUM CONTAMINATION TEST RESULTS LEVEL (EPA)

Coliform Bacteria (SM 9223 B)

08/16/2016 1400

Negative

Negative

Nitrate (EPA 353.2 Rev 2.0)

08/16/2016 1416

10.0 ppm

< 0.5 ppm

This sample

**DOES** 

meet EPA guidelines for safe drinking water for the Analytes tested.

Notes:

The test results are only indicative of the sample tested from the sample point on the date collected. This report must not be reporduced, except in full, without the written approval from Water Laboratories, Inc. Minnesota Certification# 027-141-110, Wisconsin Certification #399044470

Water Laboratories, Inc.

Date: 08/17/2016

Received By EK

Entered By KJK

Edited By KJK

**Amount Billed:** 

Date Paid:

**Amount Paid:** 



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance Inspection Form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.  Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	For local tracking purposes:
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): \( \frac{7-2014}{}	
Noncomplian	liant – Notice of ce Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent three Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwate Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #8)	eat to public health and safety r tect groundwater ter
Property Information  Property address:  Property owner:  Owner's representative:  Local regulatory authority:  Brief system description:  Septic Tank Wiffamir fre'ld Trench or Bed Comments or recommendations:  Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range  Reason for Owner's phone in the second of	r inspection: $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$
business name: Licens	ompliance status of this system. No a conditions during system construction, on number: 925 se number: 3/7 se number: 45/-4/3/4/34

X.	SSARY OR LOCALLY R Soil boring logs Other information (list):	System			☐ Forms	per local ordinance			
	rty address: 5757-					Inspector initials/Date: \( \square S \) \( \square S - 17 - 201 \) \( \langle mm/\text{dd/yyyy} \right)			
	mpact on Public I		omplian	ce comp	onent #1 of 5				
	Compliance criteria:				Verifica	tion method(s):			
_(	System discharges sewa ground surface.	age to the	☐ Yes	⊠ No		hed for surface outlet			
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.			☐ Yes	□¥No	☐ Exces	hed for seeping in yard/backup in home sive ponding in soil system/D-boxes			
0	System causes sewage l dwelling or establishmen	backup into t.	☐ Yes	Ď₹Ño	☐ "Black	owner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) soil" above soil dispersal system			
/	Any "yes" answer	above indi	cates th	e	−	m requires "emergency" pumping med dye test			
S	system is an immir health and safety.	ent threat	to publ	ic		e to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation				☐ Other	methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
Sy ce Se cor	restem consists of a seep sepool, drywell, or leach epage pits meeting 7080.28 impliant if allowed in local or wage tank(s) leak below	ing pit. 550 may be dinance.	☐ Yes [		⊠ Probed □ Examine □ Examine	tank(s) bottom  ed construction records  ed Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  ed liquid level below operating depth			
de	signed operating depth. res, which sewage tank(s			7140	☐ Examine	ed empty (pumped) tanks(s) putside tank(s) for "black soil"			
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.					☐ Unable t	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
	mments/Explanation:				Uner me	ethods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
3. Otl	her Compliance Co								
a.	Maintenance hole cover	s are damage	d, cracked	, unsecure	d, or appear to be s	tructurally unsound. ☐ Yes* 🔀 No ☐ Unknown			
b.	Other issues (electrical he *System is an imminer Explain:	azards, etc.) to	mmediatel	v and adv	ercely impact public	health or safety.			
C.	System is non-protective *System is failing to pro	of ground wa	ter for othe	er conditior	s as determined by	rinspector .			
/w.pca.st	ate.mn.us • 651-296-	6300 . 00	0 657 2064						

Explain:

4. Soil Separation – Compliance of Date of installation: 1978	Unkn					
(mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗀 Olikii	OWII		tion method(s):		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ☒ No		Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient,			
Compliance criteria:			uniess sit	te conditions have been a ents differ.	altered or local	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,	☐ Yes	⊠ No		Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)		
			☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)			
beverage or lodging establishment:				oplicable (Holding tank(s), r		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			Other (See Comments/Explanation)			
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□ No		ts/Explanation:		
Orainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV	☐ Yes ☐ No		Indicate	depths or elevations		
or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)				of distribution media	32"	
Prainfield meets the designed vertical			B. Periodic	ally saturated soil/bedrock	44-46	
eparation distance from periodically aturated soil or bedrock.			C. System :	separation	< 24">	
			D. Required	d compliance separation*	24"5	
any "no" answer above indicates the ailing to protect groundwater.  Operating Permit and Nitrogen			Ordinance			
Is the system operated under an Operating F	Permit?				Not applicable	
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen				yes", A below is require		
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) sp		☐ Yes	∐ No If "	yes", B below is require	ed	
If the answer to both questions is "no	", this se	ction doe	s not need	to be completed.		
Compliance criteria						
a. Operating Permit number:						
Have the Operating Permit requirement	nts been me	et?		Yes No		
3 · Similar requirement						
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and propert	v functioni	na?   -	Yes 🗌 No		

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats

Page 3 of 4

ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats

### Page 4 of 4\*

## Additional Soil Observation Logs

Rebyy Comments Weather Conditions/Time of Day: Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply) Depth (in) Landscape Position: (check one) Observation #/Location: Vegetation Client/ Address: This on Sugar. 1200 H Texture Frag. % 37 Rock Agent Jodi Lindow Edma Realty ( 1 164 P3/2 Matrix Color(s) Summit 122 Shoulder Back/Side Slope Soil survey map units Outwash Lacustrine Mottle Color(s) Wear Trench Sind 13/2 + Ocokethons Clarcen to truck Redox Kind(s) DX. Loess Foot Slope Legal Description/ GPS: Indicator(s) Project ID: Test 1 1 Toe Slope Slope shape Slope% 3-4% Alluvium Observation Type: Blocky ImpleGrain Shape Date Bedrock ----- Structure-----Elevation: Soll 801108 hodoak 2102-61-8 11 Grade CHESTRA TRACES Organic Matter 1005 T Chably Consistence

Comments

tulver

#925

8-17-2016

Depth (in)

Texture

Frag. % Rock

Matrix Color(s)

Mottle Color(s)

Redox Kind(s)

Indicator(s)

Shape

Grade

Consistence

----- Structure-----

Observation Type:

JOSTES

056,00

C

NoSecil

8

iv y

Pro section.

Observation #/Location: