Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 13655 Greenwood Trl N, West Lakeland, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 1980/2004) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks on July 12, 2023.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	·	
Property address: 13655 Greenwood Trl N, West Lakeland, M	N 55082	
Owner/representative: Estate of Donald & Carol Magnuson/Ka		Owner's phone: 636-577-1918
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tank and a rock tro	ench drainfield.	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/12/2023		·
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notic	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or		ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	•	health and safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.		e discontinued within ten months of receipt ter period if required by local ordinance or vision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immil	•	nd safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	•	•
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public he	ealth and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Failing to protect groundwa	ter
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) -	Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prof	tect groundwater	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) - Noncompi	liant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowinadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After Vi	<u></u>	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sig	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)
⊠ Soil observation logs	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integr	ity Assessment
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa	tion, Disclaimer	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
None of the above found.			
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
	component #2		ın:
Compliance criteria:	· ·	Attached supporting documentation	on:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2		n:
Compliance criteria:	· ·	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· ·	Attached supporting documentation	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· ·	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busin	Pinky's Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business busines	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 7/12/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Att	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 7/12/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's Service ess: <u>L1673</u> 7/12/20: ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's : <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L1673</u> <u>7/12/202</u> ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L1673</u> 7/12/20: ach) hin three yea
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with)	Pinky's <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L1673</u> 7/12/20: ach) hin three yea
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 7/12/202 each) hin three yea
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines business bus	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 7/12/202 each) hin three yea
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 7/12/202 each) hin three yea

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service •

Available in alternative formats

Property Address: 13655 Greenwood Trl N, West Lakeland, MN 55082 Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 7/12/2023
3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), c □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Unknown 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health o 	
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspectod 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results:	or? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable □ 4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component	#4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be com	pleted.
Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 Page 3 of 4

Soil separation – Compliance co	mponent #5	of 5	
Date of installation 1980/2004 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?		⊠ Soil observation logs completed for the report	
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separation
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	d ☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	1)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		⊠ Reviewed design and permit records.	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b.Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a	1	A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*	
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


800-657-3864

Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the number of condition and the second of the substantial of the second of the s

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA C	ompliance Inspection.		
Date of Inspection: July 12, 2023	Time: 12:45 PM		
Property Address: 13655 Greenwood Trl N, West Lakeland, MN	Zip: 55082		
Property Owner: Estate of Donald & Carol Magnuson	Phone: 636-577-1918		
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Scoil Treatment System Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system		
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \text{No} *If no performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the	s should be made accessible to		
Year house built: 1980 Year septic installed: 1980/2004 Ta	ank size (gals.): 1-1200, 1-1000		
How long has seller owned the property? Number of resi			
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by gra	wity?		
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?			
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the sept	*		
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings	-		
	unigs:		
Location of septic system on lot? West Side			
	well a deep well? Y		
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: t surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; to the system? If yes, explain:			
When was the system last pumped? 7/12/2023 Name of pump	er: Pinky's Sewer Service		
	on a monitoring plan?		
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	g this system?		
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N			
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	new owner?		
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing			

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 7/12/2023 Classification System: USDA Surface Elevation of Observation: Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Surface Elevation of Observation Conservation Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation Conservation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Conservation Soil Observation Conservation Conservat	Locat	ion of Project:	13655 Greenwood	Trl N. W	/est Lak	eland. MN 5	5082
Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Soil Observation Soil Observation Surface Elevation of Observation Soils Encountered Soils Encountered Observation Obse			•				
Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench							
Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Cobservation Cob	Soi	l Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	
Tock Solis Encountered Tock Tock Solis Encountered Tock Solis Encountered Tock Solis Encountered Tock To	Elevation of	_		Elevat	ion of		
7-17	. I KUCK W	Soils E	ncountered		Rock %	Soils	Encountered
SameElevation Of Observation Relative To SystemElevation Of Observation Relative To System-40"Depth To Bottom Of Distribution MediaDepth To Bottom Of Distribution Media≥32"Of SeparationOf SeparationEnd Of Soil Observation At:72"End Of Soil Observation At:Limiting Soil Conditions At:NoneLimiting Soil Conditions At:	7-17 ≈15 17-20 ≈10 20-37 ≈15-20	10YR 3/3 Medi 10YR 3/4 Medi 7.5YR 4/4 Medi 7.5YR 4/4 Medi 7.5YR 3/4 L	um Sand With Gravel um Sand With Gravel um Sand With Gravel dium Sand With Few oamy Sand Layers				
-40" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥32" Of Separation Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: 72" End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:	72" Depth	72" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox			Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
≥32" Of Separation Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: 72" End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:	Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System			Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System	
End Of Soil Observation At: 72" End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:			stribution Media				Distribution Media
Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:	≥32" Of Sepa	aration			Of Sepa	iration	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:	End Of Soil	Observation At:	72"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	
1 1			None		_		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 40 Inches		
Signature:	Chan la	

Customer Name: Don Magnatuson Tests Conducted By: Orin Kocckeritz Soll Testing Date: 6/15/2004 Designated Reg Pro: Orin Koeckeritz MPCA License No: 1044 / 2099 Legal Description:

Sife Address:

13655 Greenwood Trl. Stillwater Mn 55082

Municipality: West lakeland

3+ Acres

Boring Name: Soil Boring Five

Boring Elevation (Ft): Standing Water Depth (In): Restrictive Layer Depth (In):

Not Present

Restrictive Layer Type:

None Present

Soil Recovery Method: Soil Condition:

Probe

Predominent Soil Series:

Soil-Profile

Depth(In)	Soil Color	Soll Color Description	Soil Texture
0 to 9	10 yr 3/2	Very Dark Grayish Brown	Clay Loam topsoil
9 10 28	10 yr 4/6	Dark Yellowish Brown	Sandy Clay Loam
28 to 84	10 yr 3/4	Dark Yellowish Brown	Medîum Coarse Sandy gravel

Comments;

Boring Name: Soil Boring Six

Boring Elevation (Ft):

Standing Water Depth (In): Restrictive Layer Depth (In):

Predominent Soil Series:

Not Present

Restrictive Layer Type:

None Present

Soil Recovery Method:

Probe

Soil Condition:

Soil Profile

Depth(In)	Soil Color	Soil Color Description	Soil Texture
0 to 10	10 yr 3/2	Very Dark Grayish Brown	Clay Loam top soil
10 to 22	10 yr 4/4	Dark Yellowish Brown	Clay Loam
22 to 34	10 yr 4/6	Dark Yelfowish Brown	Coarse Sand with Clay fines
34 to 74	10 yr 4/6	Dark Yellowish Brown	Medium coarse gravely sand
74 to 84	10 yr 6/6	Brownish Yellow	Medium Sand

Comments:

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.