## **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White E 651-492-7550/Brian@M	Bear Lake, MN 55110 idwestsoiltesting.com	Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector	
SUBSURFACE SEWAG	E TREATMENT SYS	TEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT	
Date: July 24, 2023	<b>Time:</b> 1:45 PM	Owner: Jennifer & Aaron Pederson	
Inspection Address: 8120 Ingberg Ct N, Grant, MN 55082			

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2006, which were on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 1989) consists of a pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield, which was installed in sandy fill similar to a mound. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on July 24, 2023.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

tin the

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

2 of 11

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

Local tracking number:

Reason for Inspection Prop

ction Property Transfer

Local regulatory authority info: Washington County

Property address: <u>8120 Ingberg Ct N, Grant, MN 55082</u> Owner/representative: Jennifer & Aaron Pederson

Owner's phone: <u>612-710-8867</u>

Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield installed in sandy fill similar to a mound.

#### System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/24/2023

Compliant – Certificate of compliance\*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

\*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

#### □ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

#### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) - Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – *Failing to protect groundwater* 

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

#### **Comments or recommendations**

#### Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

Brian Humpal After 10

Certification number: 5342/9852

Inspector signature:

License number: L2896

Phone: 651-492-7550

#### Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs System/As-Built Locally required forms Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer

Property Address:	8120 Ingberg Ct N, Grant, MN 55082

Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 7/24/2023

#### **1. Impact on public health** – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an	•	
Describe verification methods and	results:	

None of the above found.

## 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting	documentatio	n:
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Empty tank(s) viewed	by inspector	
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance	business:	Pinky's Sewer Service
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of ma	intenance busine	ess: <u>L1673</u>
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:		7/24/2023
		Existing tank integrity	assessment (Atta	ach)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be with	in three years)
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions Minn. R. 7082.0700 su		sment complies with
		Tank is Noncompliant	(pumping not nece	ssary – explain below)
		Other:		
Describe verification methods an	d results:			

4 of 11

Property Address:	8120 Ingberg Ct N, Grant, MN 55082
Business Name:	Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 7/24/2023

#### 3. Other compliance conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

	ls th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?	□Yes □No If	"ves". A	below is required
4.	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance comp	onent #4 of	5 🛛 N	lot applicable
		Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable			
		Describe verification methods and results:			
	0.1	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.			
		System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?		□ Yes*	—
	30	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safe System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined b	-	□ Yes*	X No
	30.	Other issues <i>(electrical hazards, etc.)</i> to immediately and adversely impact public to 20 or 2b. System is an imminant threat to public health and as for		r 🗋 Yes"	
	01	□ Yes* ⊠ No □ Unknown			
	За.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracke	ed, etc.), or unsec	ured?	
	~		1 1 1	10	

Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No If "yes", B below is required BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

#### If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

#### Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No

#### Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

50111	5	of	1	1
-------	---	----	---	---

Property Address:	8120 Ingberg Ct N, Grant, MN 55082
Business Name:	Midwest Sewer Services

#### 5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1989 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one):	☐ Yes ☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:	•
<ul> <li>5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:</li> <li>Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No*	<ul> <li>Not applicable (No soil treatment area)</li> <li>Reviewed previous compliance inspectio Reviewed design and permit records.</li> <li>Wellhead protection area.</li> </ul>	n from 2006.
<ul> <li>5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:</li> <li>Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*</li> </ul>	Yes I No*		Gee Attached Boring Log(s)
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No*		

\*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

**Upgrade requirements:** (*Minn. Stat. §* 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (*ITPHS*) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


## <u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

This information will be used for the purpose of conduction			
Date of Inspection: July 24, 2023	Time: 1:45 PM		
Property Address: 8120 Ingberg Ct N, Grant, MN	Zip: 55082		
Property Owner: Jennifer & Aaron Pederson	Phone: 612-710-8867		
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatme         Septic 1       Fiberglass       Rock trend         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless         XLift       Metal       Chamber to         Holding       Concrete       Seepage b         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       Other       At-grade	ch (In Fill)       Alternative system         s trench       Experimental system         rench       Cesspool system		
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? $\square$ Yes $\square$	No *If no, proper maintenance must be		
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance			
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper mainte	enance of the system.		
Year house built: 1989 Year septic installed: 198	20 Tople size (cale ): 1200		
	39Tank size (gals.): 1200mber of residents in home?		
	ained by gravity? Lower Pumped		
	pool bath? N		
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N			
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N			
Are there any additional systems on this property servin	g other buildings? N		
	g const containings i t		
Location of septic system on lot? Tanks-West Side, Dra	infield-Northeast Side		
Location of water well on lot? North Side	Is the well a deep well? Y		
Have you ever experienced any problems with the syste	4		
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made			
to the system? N If yes, explain:			
When was the system last pumped? 7/24/2023 Nat	ne of pumper: Pinky's Sewer Service		
How often pumped in previous years?	Is system on a monitoring plan? N		
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? N			
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N			
Do you have any additional information that should be g	given to the new owner?		

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:



## Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 8120 Ingberg Ct N, Grant, MN 55082									
	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser					Date:	7/24/2023		
C	Classification System: USDA								
	Soil Observation: ST-1			Soil Observation:					
Surface Elevation of Observation		64" below the top of the system on the original contour		Surface Elevation of Observation					
Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils E</u>	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered				
0-6 6-12		10YR 2/2	2/2 Loam Clay Loam With & 10YR 6/2 Redox						
6"	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox		
+64"	-64" Elevation Of Observation Relative To System				Elevation Of Observation Relative To System				
-31"	-31" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media				Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media				
=39" Of Separation				Of Separation					
			4.0."			1			
End Of Soil Observation At: 12"				End Of Soil Observation At:					
Limiting Soil Conditions At: 6"				Limiting Soil Conditions At:					
Stan	Standing Water Present At: None				Standing Water Present At:				

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 31 Inches

Signature:

Afren Va

	made by Inspect MN		Date 2-22-06			
lassiř	ication System: AASHO; USDA-	SCS X ; Unified ; other				
uger u	sed (check two): Hand $\times$ , or Powe	er; 71	ight, or Bucket <u>X</u> ; other			
epth,	Boring number <u>B-1</u>	Depth,	Boring number			
in Set	Surface elevation <u>64" Beloui</u> TOP Of mained on original Contour	in feet	Surface elevation			
	0"-6" 7,542 3/4 loam	0				
-	6"-24" 7.5 YR 4/6 Llay	1				
<b></b>	mothed 7.5 TR 612	2	алан Алан Алан Алан Алан Алан Алан Алан			
·. 	- 36" Below Top of mound. - 36" Buttom of Orainfield 28" Separation	3 —	•			
-	+ 6" ro mother soil 34" Of Separation	4				
·	repairition	5 —				
		6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
-		7 —				
-	····· ···	8 -				
nd of Boring at: <u>24</u> Inches		End of Boring at: Inches				
	Soil Present: Yes NO Soil at: <u> </u>	Mottled Soil Present: Yes NO Mottled Soil at: Inches				
tanding Water Present: Yes NO tanding Water Present at: Inches		Standing Water Present: Yes NO Standing Water Present at: Inches				
	OF DISTRIBUTION MEDIUM AT: TOM OF DISTRIBUTION MEDIUM AT IARKS:	·····	INCHES 36″ INCHES			

When performing the soil boring (s) relative to this septic system inspection, site evaluation or design, the depth to distinct redoximorphic features (commonly know as "mottled soils") were determined by using the definition for "distinct" as defined in MPCA rules 7080.0020 Subp. 13a. adopted through September 2002: "Distinct" means a soil color that varies from another color by one or more hues, more than two units of value, or more than one unit of chroma.

(Alil has been advised through training and conversations with the MPCA that the above procedure for determining redoximorphic features (mottled soils) must be used in all cases; no other definitions will be allowed. The only exceptions would be when the difference in soil colors are attributed to other soil features such as lamellae banding, chelation from tannic acids, calcium carbonates, etc.

#### 10 of 11

•

# LOG OF SOIL BORINGS

	ring NO.		ING NO. 2	BOR	ING NO.	BORI	NG NO.
OEPTH IN PETT	DE SCRIPTION	DEPTH IN PEET	BOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN PEET	SOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN PEET	BOIL DESCRIPTION
0	D.C. BROWL		SA. BR.	0		0	
	F- Souny		F. SAUDY				
4	loom		icam				
	<b>1</b>	5'					1
	RED BROWL		LT. KED BRown				
	F. roamy Saws		K-52-0 (10.000 y)				ł
	ARCCES		Rocks				I
	(cony Fierd)		(cray in many				1
31/1	,	22.					1
37	·	<u> </u>	LT.ACO BR.	<b>├───</b> ┨	ļ		
	LTAED BR.	}{	Floomy		ł		
	5 mmy		SALIS NRCCRS			{	
	Jrecords		(ciry ring)		ľ		
	(comprised)		Erens: nine)				
	( I reisinia)	35	(+T. +1+ 7160)		L L		
j{	(Me 7120)		17 1820		L L		1
			13 decival		Ļ		
			F-reamy SANO		ļ.		
			VROFES		Ļ		
			(Mr TILO)				
		4 Z ·			-4		
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	EO Brewal				
			E. IOSMY		H		1
			כני ואת צי די די די אי				
					┝		
		(	NEAVY METTIED)				
	-		-		<b>_</b>		
	ŀ				┢		
	ł		F		┢		ł
	ł		- F				
	F		F		<b>⊢</b>		1
	F				- t-		{
	ŀ		F		F		
	F				F		1
64"	ŀ	64"	F				1

## **DISCLAIMER**

#### Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.