

Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 3002821440006	Reason for Inspection	Sale of property
Local regulatory authority info: WASHINGTON COUNTY		
Property address: 4864 CROWN LN, CITY OF WOODBURY		
Owner/representative: HAUGE RUSSELL & ELIZABETH M		Owner's phone: 651-214-8044
Brief system description: One septic tank going to five drain fie	ld lines	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/27/2023		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect gro	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public	health and safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its us	se discontinued within ten months of receip rter period if required by local ordinance or
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applical	ble)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1	•	health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing		The same same same same same same same sam
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon		public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon	ent #3) – Failing to protect gi	roundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.	2500 (Compliance compone	nt #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failir	ng to protect groundwater	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con	mpliance component #4) - N	oncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	to determine the compliance st wn conditions during system co	atus of this system. No determination of onstruction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.		Certification number: 9917
nspector signature:		License number: 4137
This document has been electronically sig	ned)	Phone: 651-343-9117
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally re☐ Other information (list):	equireα forms ∐ Tank Integ	grity Assessment
		(

npact on public health — Co Compliance criteria:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Attached supporting documentation	on:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	☐ Other:	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes*	⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes*	⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar	the system that the system of the safety.	em is an		
Describe verification methods and	results:			
nk integrity – Compliance	compo	onent #2		
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	compo		of 5 Attached supporting documentatio ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	n:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentatio ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Schlomka
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	1	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentatio Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Schlomka
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance:	Schlomka ess:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes*	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Schlomka ess: 7/27/2023 ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☐ Yes*	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Schlomka ess: 7/27/2023 ach) nin three years

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

,	Property Address: _4864 CROWN LN, CITY OF WOODBURY	
E	Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.	Date: 7/27/2023
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	cured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	ourcu:
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	/? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	A44 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
	Attached supporting documentation:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
1.		5 🛭 Not applicable
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No If	"yes", A below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria:	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
7.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required

usiness Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.		Date: 7/27/2023
Soil separation – Compliance con	nponent #5 c	f 5
Date of installation 1/15/1988 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the report
Compliance criteria (select one):	T	☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separa
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		
5b.Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media 2'
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 5'
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		C. System separation 3'
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation* 3'
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Describe verification methods and results:

14.				3
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA	ONSITE	SEWAGE	TREATMENT	PROGRAM

Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 03.15.2023

Client.			OpieM vil	721								
			F14 118	2			Locar	Location / Address:		4864 Crown Lane - Woodbury	- Woodbury	
Soil parent i	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	Check all t	hat apply	Outwash	twash	Lacustrine	Lacustrine 🛂 Loess 🔲 Till 🔲 Alluvium 📋 Bedrock 📋 Organic Matter	Alluvium B	edrock 🗌 Organ	ic Matter Disturbed/Fill	bed/Fill	
Landscape Position:	osition:	Shoulder		entractorioriori prandefinanti anticata prandefina	Slope %:		Slope shape:	Convex	Convex, Convex	Flooding/Run-On potential:	On potential:	T
Vegetation:	eliteksi ananomentenstudisinjenimisen epinemisia ananomen.	Lawn		Soil sı	Soil survey map units:	o units:	49B & 49C	49C	Surface Ele	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	benchmark:	T
Date/Time o	Date/Time of Day/Weather Conditions:	er Condition	ons:	H TOH	HOT Humid sun		8:30 AM			Limiting Layer Elevation:	r Elevation:	T
Observatio	Observation #/Location:		See Map					Observat	Observation Type:	emieroskoj kas telenostoj en la -grania mije da urzinakanja maja proposala mana	Auger	T
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock		Matrix Color(s)	Mottle	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)		I Structure	Guerrandero	
	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	ridg. %	-					(2)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	T
0-31"	Silt Loam	0	10YR 3/3	3/3					Blocky	Weak	Loose	T
32" - 5'	Medium	2	7.5YR 3/4	3/4					Granular			
1	Loamy Sand			Delivered real SA All personnel control de department					כו מוומימו		Loose	
				PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH					and the same and t			1
							AND THE REPORT OF THE BUTCH CONTINUES OF THE PARTY OF THE					
							defende activiscies producer contravers se exploration de services					
												A PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF
										(COMPANION ACTION)		
Comments:												
I hereby certi	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with	completed	this work	in accept	dance with	9	all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	rules and laws				
She	Shelley Schlomka	<i>e</i>		1	1	H	2		4137		8/3/2023	-
(Designational Verificates)	(Designer/Inspector) Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to the periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	or) eby certify t il or bedrock	that this so k at the pn	il observa oposed so	(Si Ition was ve il treatmen	fignature) erified acc nt and disp	ording to Minn. R. ersal site.	7082.0500 subp.	(License #) 3 A. The signar	= ture below represer	(Designer/Inspector) Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. The signature below represents an infield verification of the periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	Control of the Contro
			'									
(LGU/De	(LGU/Designer/Inspector)	ctor)			S)	(Signature)			(Cert #)		(Date)	
									NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY O			

GARAGE

Dist , = Septic × Well

SS Septic Solutions, LLC additional terms and information.

- SS Septic Solutions, LLC has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period beyond the inspection date. Due to numerous factors (usage, maintenance, tank pumping, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system. The report shall not be construed as a warranty that the system will properly function for any period.
- 2. Minimum compliance inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the septic system has a watertight septic tank(s) and lift tank, the required separation from the bottom of the drain field/mound distribution medium and saturated soils, no backup of sewage into the dwelling and no discharge of sewage onto the ground surface or surface water. SS Septic Solutions, LLC does not inspect basement sewage ejector pumps or exterior lift pumps as they are a maintenance item. Sewage backup verification is limited to the information supplied by the last occupants/owner if available. I cannot guarantee that the information given to me is accurate. Some people may attempt to hide or conceal signs of previous backups.
- 3. Certification of this system does not warranty any future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system, new or old, can be hydraulically overloaded because of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying it, improper maintenance, heavy usage, tree roots, freezing conditions, or surface drainage problems. The system could simply stop working due to age.
- 4. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test of the longevity of the septic system. The inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the septic is polluting the environment at the date and time the inspection is performed. The inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or local unit of government code requirements.
- 5. Winter Work Client understands that inspections conducted in winter weather conditions are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and frost. Septic system components like tanks, tank covers, drop boxes and soil treatment areas are more difficult to locate in these conditions. Soil borings and drain field locations are also more difficult to perform due to ground frost. The client needs to understand that due to the weather conditions, the same level of standards may not be possible compared to an inspection during the spring/summer/fall months.
- 6. If hired to perform the compliance inspection, the client hereby agrees that SS Septic Solutions, LLC will not be responsible for any monetary damages, claims or causes of action including attorney fees arising from the performance of this inspection.
- 7. Nothing other than gray water (laundry, showers, etc.) human waste and toilet tissue should be disposed of into the septic tanks. Garbage disposals are not recommended. Smaller amounts of laundry, soaps, dish soap, cleaning agents, etc. are better for the system. Antibacterial soaps and chlorine agents may kill the bacteria needed to treat effluent properly. Additives are not recommended and may be harmful to your system. Recommend to pump and clean your tanks by a certified pumper every other year if you have 1 tank and every 2-3 years if you have a 2-tank system to ensure proper maintenance.