

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit diction and seek in owner or it is deep final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 02.029.20.42.0001	Reason for Inspection property sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 16 Point Rd Bayport, MN 55003	
Owner/representative: Dave VanOrden, realtor	Owner's phone: 612-701-7557
Brief system description: 2 precast septic tanks and a gravity r	ock trench drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/3/2023	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applica	ble)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imm	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3)	- Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3)	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C	Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliano	e component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil and pumping records	s on file at Washington County.
Certification	
future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	d to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system.
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be truused for the purpose of processing this form.	ie and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	Certification number: 323
Inspector signature: Tom Troolen	License number 1568
(This document has been electronically si	gned) Phone: 612-594-4496
Necessary or locally required supporting do	ocumentation (much be cased as
	equired forms 🔲 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit

System discharges sewage to drain le or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into levelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above Indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: None of the above observed. Ink integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	System discharges sewage to drain Yes No Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes No Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes No Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes No Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes No Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes No Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes No Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes No Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage backup into Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage tank(s) leak back flushed supporting documentation: System causes sewage tank(s) leak back flushed supporting documentation: System causes sewage tank(s) leak Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage tank(s) leak Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage tank(s) leak Yes Not Not applicable System causes sewage tank(s) leak Yes Not Not applicable Segage tank(s) leak below their data through the maintenance holes. Lowered light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, fisers & maintenance hole covers ok.	Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documenta	ntion:
System causes sewage backup into Yes No No No No No No No N	System discharges sewage to drain title or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into divelling or establishment. Any "yas" answer above Indicator the system is an immunat threat to public health and safety. Describe verification methods and results: None of the above observed. Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system (stalling to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tanks were at normal operating level, then were pumped & back flushed through the maintenance holes. Lowered light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2" tank is missing - recommend repairing or replacing. Also		☐ Yes ☑ No		
welling or establishment. In y "yes" answer above indicates the system is an ammont threat to public health and safety. Pescribe verification methods and results: Idone of the above observed. Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an ammont threat years is falling to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an ammont threat years in the protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an ammont threat years is falling to protect groundwater.	welling or establishment. In y 'yos' answer above histicatos the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety. Pescribe verification methods and results: Ione of the above observed. Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, system consists of a seepage pit, responding to the pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their lessigned operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any 'yes' answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tanks were at normal operating level, then were pumped & back flushed through the maintenance holes. Lowered light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2nd tank is missing - recommend repairing or replacing. Also		☐ Yes ⊠ No		
Pescribe verification methods and results: Ione of the above observed. Describe verification methods and results:	Prescribe verification methods and results: Integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5		☐ Yes No		
Ank integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: Pinky s License number of maintenance business: 613 Date of maintenance: 8/3/2023 Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (muridd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is tailing to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is tailing to protect groundwater. Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explaint	Describe verification methods and results: None of the above observed. Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tanks were at normal operating level, then were pumped & back flushed through the maintenance holes. Lowered light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2 nd tank is missing - recommend repairing or replacing. Also				
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? □ Yes ☑ No ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes ☑ No ☐ License number of maintenance business: ☐ 613 Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain the system)	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tanks were at normal operating level, then were pumped & back flushed through the maintenance holes. Lowered light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2 nd tank is misssing - recommend repairing or replacing. Also	None of the above observed.			
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Name of maintenance business: Pinky's Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? □ Yes □ No License number of maintenance business: 1613 Date of maintenance: 8/3/2023 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) □ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to the pink is not necessary – explain to the pink is not necessary in the pink is necessary in the pink is not necessary in the pink is necessary in th	Cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tanks were at normal operating level, then were pumped & back flushed through the maintenance holes. Lowered light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2 nd tank is missing - recommend repairing or replacing. Also				
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Date of maintenance B/3/2023	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is tailing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: The tanks were at normal operating level, then were pumped & back flushed through the maintenance holes. Lowered light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2 nd tank is missing - recommend repairing or replacing. Also	Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documenta	
Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to the system)	Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: The tanks were at normal operating level, then were pumped & back flushed through the maintenance holes. Lowered light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2 nd tank is missing - recommend repairing or replacing. Also	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documenta	
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is falling to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to	Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years answer above indicates the system is tailing to protect groundwater. Comparison of the comparison of the control of the c	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes 🛛 No	Attached supporting documenta Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky s
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is tailing to protect groundwater. (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to the compliant in the explain to the compliant in the explaint in three years)	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is tailing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: The tanks were at normal operating level, then were pumped & back flushed through the maintenance holes. Lowered light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2 nd tank is missing - recommend repairing or replacing. Also	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes 🛛 No	Attached supporting documenta Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bu	Pinky's usiness: <u>1613</u>
Any "yes" answer above Indicates the system is tailing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to the system).	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is tailing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: The tanks were at normal operating level, then were pumped & back flushed through the maintenance holes. Lowered light & camera into the empty tanks – bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2 nd tank is missing – recommend repairing or replacing. Also	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes 🛛 No	Attached supporting documenta Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance but Date of maintenance:	Pinky's usiness: <u>1613</u> 8/3/2023
Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to	Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other: Describe verification methods and results: The tanks were at normal operating level, then were pumped & back flushed through the maintenance holes. Lowered light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2 nd tank is missing - recommend repairing or replacing. Also	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes 🛛 No	Attached supporting documenta Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bu Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance	Pinky's usiness: <u>1613</u> <u>8/3/2023</u> (Attach)
	The tanks were at normal operating level, then were pumped & back flushed through the maintenance holes. Lowered light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2 nd tank is missing - recommend repairing or replacing. Also	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above Indic	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documenta Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance butonese of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be (See form instructions to ensure as	Pinky's usiness: <u>613</u> <u>8/3/2023</u> (Attach) within three years)
	Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2 nd tank is missing - recommend repairing or replacing. Also	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is tailing to protect groundwat	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documenta ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bu Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be (See form instructions to ensure as Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not	Pinky's usiness: 1613 8/3/2023 (Attach) within three years) ssessment complies
The tanks were at normal operating level, then were pumped & back flushed through the maintenance holes. Lowered a	recommend extending the riser & cover to grade on the 1st tank.	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is tailing to protect groundwat. Describe verification methods and The tanks were at normal operating.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ates the syste. er, ☐ results:	Attached supporting documenta ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance butous pate of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be (See form instructions to ensure as Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not) ☐ Other:	Pinky s usiness: 1613 8/3/2023 (Attach) within three years) ssessment complies necessary – explain b
light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok.		Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is talling to protect groundwat. Describe verification methods and The tanks were at normal operating light & camera into the empty tanks	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No At each systo.	Attached supporting documenta Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance butoese of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure as Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not Other: Oumped & back flushed through the maintenance covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok.	Pinky's usiness: 2613 8/3/2023 (Attach) within three years) ssessment complies necessary – explain to
light & camera into the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. Although not a compliance criteria, the outlet baffle on the 2 nd tank is missing - recommend repairing or replacing. Also		Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is tailing to protect groundwat. Describe verification methods and The tanks were at normal operating light & camera into the empty tanks. Although not a compliance criteria, to	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Atos the syste. A results: Ievel, then were pottoms, walls, he outlet baffle of	Attached supporting documenta ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance butous pate of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be (See form instructions to ensure as Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not) ☐ Other: Dumped & back flushed through the maintenance covers, risers & maintenance hole covers ok. In the 2 nd tank is missing - recommend repairing	Pinky's usiness: 2613 8/3/2023 (Attach) within three years) ssessment complies necessary – explain b

3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or un	ety? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unkno ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ If "yes", A below is required in "yes", E below is required.
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unly solver issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or satives to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and actives. 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? **Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater* Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	ety? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unkno ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ If "yes", A below is required in "yes", E below is required.
Yes	ety? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unkno ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ If "yes", A below is required in "yes", E below is required.
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and sol, if 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater Describe verification methods and results: **Describe verification methods and results:* **Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* − Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Yes ☒ No Of 5 ☒ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? "Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Not applicable ☐ Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ Not BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ Not BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the system design of the system design in the system	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? West on Not applicable West on Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? West on Not applicable West on Not applic	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be the operating permit requirements been met? Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit requirements been met? □ Yes □ Not permit required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ Not permit and nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Some the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No.	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Some the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No.	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Some the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No.	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Some the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No.	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Some the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No.	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is require If "yes", E below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	If "yes", A below is requirently if "yes", E below is requirently
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	If "yes", A below is requirently if "yes", E below is requirently
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed in the operating permit requirements been met? a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	If "yes", A below is requirently if "yes", E below is requirently
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be comple Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	lf "yes", E below is requi
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	ted.
Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	tea.
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Description Desc	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	
Ametrial commer indirates compossibilitates	
ALLY 1942 CHOVER MINICALLY CONTROL OF THE CONTROL O	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Describe verification methods and results.	
Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

Date of installation 6/13/2000	Unknown		
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?	M les Millo	Soil observation logs completed for the	ne report
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required	•
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, ar	nd ☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	a)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built	☑ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shorelan	nd	A. Bottom of distribution media	1.8
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a	7	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	4.9
food, beverage, or lodging establishment. Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	3.1
separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules: Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required 2.500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day.	≤ or		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, however, and local ordinance that is more and local ordinance that is more strict.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us

• 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats

beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

	0	
	400	
	38	- 20

Soil Observation Log

v 03.15.2023 Flooding/Run-On potential: 16 Point Rd Bayport, MN 55003 Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark: Limiting Layer Elevation: Organic Matter Disturbed/Fill Till Alluvium Bedrock Location / Address: Project ID: Slope shape: Outwash Lacustrine Loess Soil survey map units: Slope %: Dave VanOrden Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply) Landscape Position: Vegetation:

											6			
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	B-1)	Observation Type:	on Type:		au	auger	
	-	Rock		_	1000	1000	(2) pag/ / 20pa 0		1+000(0)		Structure	acture		
Depth (in)	l exture	Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)		Mottle Color(s)	lor(s)	Redox Nind(s)	ᅦ	IIIIICALOI (S)	Shape	Grade		Consistence	
7 + 0	baca macol	и	10YR 3/2											
	(Ualliy saik	,												
	fine	0.0	10YR 4/3											
14-30	sand /rocks	30												
0.0	7	3.5	10YR 4/4											
30-59	med sand	C 7												
												:		
											P-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1			
Comments:														
l hereby certi	fy that I have c	completed	this work in ac	cordance	with all	applicabl	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws	rules and	laws.					
	Tom Troxien		Wall the process		Топ	Tom Troole:		79422		:::08			8/3/23	
Optional Verif	(Designer/Inspector) Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal size.	r) by certify that bodrock at t	at this soil observe proposed soi	ervation w il treatmer	(Si as verified at and dis	(Signature) ified accordin dispersal sil	g to Minn. R. 708	32.0500 su	bp. 3 A. 1	(Lic नाफ्रe #) he sinnature b	elow represents	an infield	(Designer/Inspector) Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. The simuture below represents an infield verification of the periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal similar.	
	THE PROPERTY OF THE CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY O	MINERAL PROGRAMO CO. TARRESTERIO.	n the Chole size	A STATE OF THE PERSON WAS TO SELECT THE PERSON OF THE PERS	(5)	(Signature	TREETH CONTRACTOR FOR STEEL ST	contain for.	Š	ercenes avec.	ı	(186 p. 1577W	te	A A A LOND TO THE PARTY OF THE
The second secon	COLUMN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE	Carion and Manager Street, Section 1975	Company of the Control of the Contro	AND STREET, N. CONTROL OF STREET,	CONTRACT PROPERTY OF TRACES	Constitution of the last	Europe described and the second described and	And the second supplementary and the second	Name of the Principle o	STREET, STREET	same de la companya	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER		AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

		2: 2: 4.	52
	50		S

Soil Observation Log

)	Project ID:			v 03.15.2023	
ient:	Q	Dave VanOrden	nep.			oŋ	Location / Address:		16 Point Rd Bayport, MN 55003	port, MN 55003	
oil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	ck all that a	(Apdr)	Outv	Outwash 🔲	Lacustrine [Loess TIII] Alluvium	Bedrock	Organic Matter	Disturbed/Fill	
Indscape Position:				Slope %:		Slope shape:			Flooding/Rui	Flooding/Run-On potential:	
Vegetation:			Soil su	Soil survey map units:	units:			Surface E	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	to benchmark:	
									Limiting La	Limiting Layer Elevation:	
Observation #/Location:	B-2	2					Observa	Observation Type:		auger	
L	Rock			111	- 0	-) [1	\vdash		Structure	ure	
Depth (in) Texture	Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	olor(s)	Mottle	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	
		10YR	3/2								
U-12 toamy sand	Ω										
fine		10YR	4/3								
12-32 sand /rocks	Os										
		7.5YR 4/4	4/4								
32-43 med sand	67	- Additional Control of the Control									
								Y -11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11			
Comments: Obstruction at 43"	t 43"										
hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws	completed t	this work in	n accorda	nce with	all applica	ble ordinances,	rules and laws.				
Tom Trooien				}	Tom Troolen	(1)		1568		8/3/23	* ***
(Designer/Inspector)	H)	•			(Signature)			(Licemse #)		(Date)	
<mark>optional Verification:</mark> Thereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. eriodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	by certify tha bedrock at th	it this soil ne proposed	observatio Isoil treat	n was veri ment and	fied accord dispersal s	ing to Minn. R. 70. ite.	32.0500 subp. 3 A.	The signature b	elow represents an	The signature below represents an infield verification of the	
(LGU/Designer/Inspector)	dentition of the contradiction		CECASE COLORADORONO FRONDESCENDIVAMENTE ESCADA	ormani od o C. kindam, sve odni dagovani	(Signature)	LES COORDOTS SETTIMATES VICTORIANS ROY, EL JONES ANTES JONES ANTES JONES ANTES JONES ANTES JONES ANTES JONES A	*** 1 PAC 1.50	(CELL II)	u	Emercy, the direction are administrated and the second second and the $(Date)$	Manage of the control