

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Instructions: Insurector must submit committee l'armite '

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

whence or noncommune. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwisis4-31a.pdf. **Property information** Local tracking number: Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 30.029.20.13.0007 Reason for Inspection property sale Local regulatory authority info: Washington County Property address: 12621 16th St N West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082 Owner/representative: Paul Resler Owner's phone: 651-436-2018 Brief system description: Septic tank and a rock trench drainfield System status System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/30/23 ☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance* ■ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or (Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. guarantee future performance. Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) ☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) - Noncompliant - local ordinance applies Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, soil, pumping & inspection records on file at Washington County. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system. inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form. Certification number: 323 Business name: All State Septic Services LLC License number: 1568 Inspector signature: Tom Trooien (This document has been electronically signed) Phone: 612-594-4496 Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (mer., he affaches,) Operating Permit System/As-Built Locally required forms Tank Integrity Assessment Other information (list): Available in alternative formats Use your preferred relay service 651-296-6300 https://www.pca.state.mn.us Page 1 of 4

Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Acry types tanswer above incire to the system tis fining to protect groundwater. Attached supporting dod. Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance but License number of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assume (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to end to the system of the system	cumentation.
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Proprocess of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any ryes answer above indicates the system. Any ryes answer above indicates the system. Any ryes answer above indicates the system to be above observed. Any ryes answer above indicates the system is fining to posteet groundwate. Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. License names to define the results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. License names and results:	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any part answer always indicates the system causes indicates the system. The amount of the above observed. Describe verification methods and results: None of the above observed. Attached supporting does System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit. or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any type answer above indicates the system as failing to pastect grower/lwater. Any type answer above indicates the system as failing to pastect grower/lwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Let the system is the system in the part of the system in th	
### Properties answer above indicates the system is a system. It is a public threat to public noeith and sufets: Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting door system consists of a seepage pit, corother pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Attached supporting door system consists of a seepage pit, corother pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Any Tyes Answer above indicate the system as failing to protect groundwater. Any Tyes Answer above indicate the system (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp Tank is Noncompliant (put Other: Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Let	
nk integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Sary Tyes Answer above inclinating to pusicet groundwater Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Let the process of th	
nk integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit. or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Yes No Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance bu License number of maintenance: Existing tank integrity ass Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity ass Date of maintenance Csee form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp Tank is Noncompliant (pu Other: Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Let	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Aggreges answer above inclinations for its finding to produce of groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Let the separate of the second to	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: According to protect groundwater Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documents as No	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Attached supporting door System of Maintenance by License number of maintenance by License number of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity ass Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): See form instructions to or Minn. R. 7082.0700 subprocessor of Minn. R. 708	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Attached supporting door System of Maintenance by License number of maintenance by License number of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity ass Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): See form instructions to or Minn. R. 7082.0700 subprocessor of Minn. R. 708	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any types answer above indictive system is fraiting to protect growindwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Let the supporting document of the supp	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: According to protect groundwater Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Leading to ground the protect ground the protect ground to the protect grou	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Aggreges answer above indicate a true system is finiting to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Le	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Aggrayes answer above indicate a trace system is failing to prosteet groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Le	
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting does System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Yes No Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance but License number of maintenance but License number of maintenance: Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Yes No License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity ass Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to of Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp Tank is Noncompliant (put other: Describe verification methods and results: Other:	
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting does System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Yes No Empty tank(s) viewed by Name of maintenance but License number of maintenance but License number of maintenance: Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Yes No License number of maintenance: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity ass Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to of Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp Tank is Noncompliant (put other: Describe verification methods and results: Other:	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Aggrayes answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Le	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Aggrayes answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Le	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Sewage tank(s) leaks: If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Aggrayes answer above inclinations to the first failure by a sect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Let the series are pumped through the seri	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any yes answer above indicate the system is fining to posteet growing water. Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Le	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Sewage tank(s) leaks: If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any types answer above Indicate the line system is fining to pushed growing water. Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Let the leak in the property of the lank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Let	
Cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Active yes answer above inclinate the system of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): Capture of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to one of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): Capture of maintena	cumentation:
Cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? In the pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? In the path of maintenance in t	inspector
Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity ass Date of maintenance	•
Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity ass Date of maintenance	enance business: 1613
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): Activities Activit	8/30/2023
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Asy types answer above inclinate the system (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subposes failing to protect groundwater (Purpose	essment (Attach)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Asyr yes answer above inclinate the system (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subposes the protect groundwater (Purpose System) (See form instructions to Minn. R. 7082.0700 subpose (Other: Describe verification methods and results:	,
Accompliant above inclinate the system (See form instructions to a Minn. R. 7082.0700 subposition of the system of	/
Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pu ☐ Other: Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Le	(must be within three years)
Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Le	ensure assessment complies . 4 B (1))
Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Le	mping not necessary – explain b
Describe verification methods and results: The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Let	
The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Le	
The tank was at normal operating level, then was pumped through the maintenance hole. Le	
	owered a camera into the em

Property Address: 12621 16 th St N West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082 Business Name: All State Septic Services LLC	Da	ate: 8/30/23
. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 o ⁻	f 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, e		1?
☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unknown		
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public he. You to be or 3b - System is we exprise if threat to public health and means.	alth or safety? □	Yes 🛮 No 🗌 Unkno
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by ins	spector?	Yes No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?		Yes 🛮 No
'Yes to 3c or 3c. Tystem is failing to protect greendwater		
Describe verification methods and results:		
Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □		
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable		
	ent #4 of 5	Not applicable ■
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance compon		☑ Not applicable s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance compon	es □ No If "ye	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance componed is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	es □ No If "ye	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Y Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Y	es No If "ye	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	es No If "ye	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Y Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Y BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria:	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes □ No	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes □ No	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes □ No	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance componed	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	es No If "ye es No If "ye completed.	s", A below is requi

usiness Name: <u>All Stat</u>	te Septic Services LLC			Date:	8/30/23
Soil separation	– Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation	8/21/1989 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unkr	nown		
Shoreland/Wellhead	protection/Food	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?				$oxed{oxed}$ Soil observation logs completed for t	he report
Compliance criteria	a (select one):		·····	☐ Two previous verifications of require	d vertical separatio
			☐ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	a)
not located in Shor Protection Area or beverage or lodgin	not serving a food.				
Drainfield has at lease separation distance saturated soil or be		777			
5b. Non-performance s		☐ Yes	□No	Indicate depths or elevations	
	ms located in Shoreland			A. Bottom of distribution media	3.3
	tion Areas or serving a lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	6.1
Drainfield has a thr	• •			C. System separation	2.8
separation distance	from periodically			D. Required compliance separation*	2.0
saturated soil or be	drock."			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	owed by Local
systems built under Type IV or V syster Rules 7080. 2350 c (Intermediate Inspe 2.500 gallons per d	ns built under 2008	☐ Yes	□ No ^x		
Drainfield meets the separation distance saturated soil or be	from periodically				

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded. replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food. beverage. and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 03.15.2023

lient:			Paul Resler	ler			Locat	Location / Address:	12621	12621 16th St N West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082	and Twp, MN 55082
Jil parent ma	oil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	sk all that	apply)	Out	Outwash 🔲 La	Lacustrine	Loess Till	Till Alluvium Bec	Bedrock Organ	Organic Matter Disturbed/Fill	ed/Fill
andscape Position:	ition:				Slope %:		Slope shape:			Flooding/Run-On potential:	n potential:
Vegetation:				Soil s	Soil survey map units:	units:			Surface El	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	enchmark:
ate/Time of	ate/Time of Day/Weather Conditions:	onditions:								Limiting Layer Elevation:	Elevation:
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	B.	B-1					Observation Type:	ion Type:		Auger
	und and	Rock			7 - 111 - 11	1 = 1 = 1 = 1	(a) book // cobo C	ladicator(r)		I Structure	
Depth (in)	l exture	Frag. %	Matrix	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(S)	olor(s)	Redox NIIId(s)	IIIGICALOI (S)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
0-14	sandy loam	<35	10YR	3/2							
14-40	loamy fine sand	<35	10YR	4/3							
()		ü	10YR	4/4							
40-57	toainy sand	CC >									
63.73		75,	7.5YR	4/4							
57-70	toalny saiku	CC/									
							11.0				
Comments:											
hereby cert	ify that I have c	completed	this work i	in accord	ance with a	II applicat	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws	es and laws.			
	Tom Trooien		1		Tc	Tom Trooien			1568		8/30/23
(Der Optional Veril Deriodically sa	(Designer/Inspector) <u>Optional Verification:</u> I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	r) by certify th bedrock at t	at this soil the propose	observatic d soil trea) on was verifi tment and d	(Signature) fied accordii dispersal sii	(Designer/Inspector) <u>Optional Verification:</u> I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.		(License#) The signature bo	elow represents an inf	(Licerse #) The signature below represents an infield verification of the
		,	1					•	# #*** J1		(0+50)
1/N9T)	(LGU/Designer/Inspector)	tor)			_	(Signature)			(Cert #)		(Date)

Soil (

	(2	()	
	(5		
•	•	_	3		
	(1	;		
-	(_)		
١			j		

Project ID:

v 03.15.2023

Client:			Paul Resler	iler			Loc	Location / Address:	12621	16th St N West Lake	12621 16th St N West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082
Soil parent ma	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	ck all that a	(ylddı	Out]Outwash	Lacustrine] Loess [Till [Till [] Alluvium [] Be	Bedrock Organi	Organic Matter Disturbed/Fill	ed/Fill
Landscape Position:	sition:				Slope %:		Slope shape:			Flooding/Run-On potential:	Jn potential:
Vegetation:				Soil s	Soil survey map units:	units:			Surface Ele	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	benchmark:
Date/Time of	Date/Time of Day/Weather Conditions:	onditions:								Limiting Layer Elevation:	r Elevation:
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	B-2	2					Observat	Observation Type:		Auger
		Rock				-	(+) [-2/1-1] G	(-) (-)		Structure	
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrix	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	200	7.25	10YR	3/2							
71-0	Salidy toain	Ç¢,									
		Ļ	10YR	4/3							
12-3/	loamy sand	C\$>									
	-	L	10YR	3/4							
3/-56	med sand	<35									
	-	L	7.5YR	5/4							
ç/-9ç	med sand	<35									

Comments:											
I hereby cert	ify that I have c	completed	this work	in accord	ance with a	ıll applicat	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws	ules and laws.			
	Tom Trooien				Ĕ	Tom Trooien		-	1568		8/30/23
Θ()	(Designer/Inspector)	r)				(Signature)		I	(License #)		(Date)
Optional Veri	Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified	by certify tha	at this soil	lobservatie	on was verif	ied accordi	ng to Minn. R. 708	2.0500 subp. 3 A.	The signature be	low represents an in	according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. The signature below represents an infield verification of the
periodically sa	periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	bedrock at ti	he propose	ed soil trea	itment and i	dispersal si	te.				

(Date)

(Cert #)

(Signature)

(LGU/Designer/Inspector)

Garage hisch. Hastice Drop 130 x c 5

Prop 130 x c 5

7/2 Tev 1250 Gal Tank B-18/30/23