Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 11050 14th St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at the City of Lake Elmo. This system (installed in 2010?) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. Schlomka's Septic Service pumped the septic tanks on September 28, 2023.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the drainfield is located off of the property on the adjacent outlot.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

| Property information | Local tracking number: |
|---|--|
| Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: | Reason for Inspection Property Transfer |
| Local regulatory authority info: Washington County | |
| Property address: 11050 14 th St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042 | |
| Owner/representative: Nancy Johnson | Owner's phone: 651-270-8150 |
| Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock t | rench drainfield. |
| System status | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 9/28/2023 | |
| □ Compliant - Certificate of compliance* | ☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance |
| (Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and | Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. |
| abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. | An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or |
| R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance. | under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab | ole) |
| Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) − Immin Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) − Failing to prote Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance Component #5) − Failing to prote Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that the discontinuous | ct groundwater - Imminent threat to public health and safety - Failing to protect groundwater - Imminent make the protect groundwater - Imminent threat to public health and safety - Failing to protect groundwater - Imminent groun |
| Certification | |
| | to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, |
| By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. | and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be |
| Business name: Midwest Sewer Services | Certification number: 5342/9852 |
| Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Hum | License number: L2896 |
| (This document has been electronically sign | ned) Phone: 651-492-7550 |
| Necessary or locally required supporting do | cumentation (must be attached) |
| Soil observation logs | quired forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit |
| ☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information | tion, Disclaimer |

| Compliance criteria: | | Attached supporting documentati | on: |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| System discharges sewage to the ground surface | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | ☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable | |
| System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | | |
| System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. | ☐ Yes* ☐ No | | |
| Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a | | | |
| Describe verification methods and | d results: | | |
| | | | |
| ank integrity – Compliance | e component #2 | of 5 | |
| ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: | component #2 | of 5 Attached supporting documentati | on: |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, | e component #2 | | on: |
| Compliance criteria: | · | Attached supporting documentati | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | · | Attached supporting documentati ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector | Schlomk |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? | ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentati ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: | Schlomk ness: <u>L2989</u> |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentati ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business | Schlomk ness: <u>L2989</u> 9/28/202 |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance | Schlomk iness: <u>L2989</u> <u>9/28/202</u> ttach) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance | Schlomk iness: <u>L2989</u> <u>9/28/202</u> ttach) ithin three year |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates. | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be we (See form instructions to ensure assessment) | Schlomk ness: L2989 9/28/202 ttach) ithin three years |

| Pro | operty Address: 11050 14 th St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042 | |
|-----|---|-------------------------------|
| | siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services | Date: 9/28/2023 |
| | | |
| 3. | Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 | |
| | 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso | ecured? |
| | ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown | |
| | 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe | ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown |
| | *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. | |
| | 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? | ☐ Yes* ☒ No |
| | 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? | ☐ Yes* ☒ No |
| | *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. | |
| | Describe verification methods and results: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable | |
| 4. | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 c | of 5 🛭 Not applicable |
| | Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No | If "yes", A below is required |
| | Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No | - |
| | BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design | |
| | If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete | d. |
| | Compliance criteria: | |
| | a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? | |
| | b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | |
| | Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | |
| | Describe verification methods and results: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach) | |

https://www.pca.state.mn.us 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

| Soil separation – Compliance of | compone | nt #5 o | f 5 | | |
|--|------------|---------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Date of installation 2010 (mm/dd/yyyy) | Unkn | iown | | | |
| Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? | ⊠ Yes | □ No | Attached supporting documentation ☑ Soil observation logs completed for | | |
| Compliance criteria (select one): | | | ☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation | | |
| 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, a not located in Shoreland or Wellhead | nd | □ No* | ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment a | · | |
| Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: | | | Reviewed design and permit record | ds. | |
| Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | | Wellhead protection area. | | |
| 5b. Non-performance systems built | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No* | Indicate depths or elevations | | |
| April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a | | | A. Bottom of distribution media | See Attached Boring Log(s) | |
| food, beverage, or lodging establishmen | | | B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock | | |
| Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically | | | C. System separation | | |
| saturated soil or bedrock.* | | | D. Required compliance separation* | | |
| | | | *May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance. | allowed by Local | |
| 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspective License required > 2,500 gallons per day | l ≤ tor | □ No* | | | |
| Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | | | | |


Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

| This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA | Compliance Inspection. |
|--|---|
| Date of Inspection: 9/27/2023 & 9/28/2023 | Time: 9:30 AM |
| Property Address: 11050 14 th St N, Lake Elmo, MN | Zip: 55042 |
| Property Owner: Nancy Johnson | Phone: 651-270-8150 |
| Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade | Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system |
| Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes No *If r performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the second surface access access and proper maintenance of the second surface access access and proper maintenance of the second surface access access and proper maintenance access a | ers should be made accessible to |
| | Tank size (gals.): 2-1000 |
| | sidents in home? |
| Number of bedrooms? 5 Are all floors drained by gr | 3 |
| Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath? | Y |
| More than one system (laundry, etc.)? Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the set | ntia avatam? |
| | |
| Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings | - |
| Are there any additional systems on this property serving other but | ildings? |
| Location of septic system on lot? Northwest | |
| | well a deep well? Y |
| Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: | |
| surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; to the system? If yes, explain: | |
| y 1 1 | per: Schlomka's |
| | on a monitoring plan? |
| Have you received notices from any government agency concerning | ng this system? |
| Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N | |
| Do you have any additional information that should be given to the | e new owner? |
| I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I als this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all worby Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing | law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in |

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

| Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 9/27/2023 Classification System: USDA Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation Pepth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered Trace Of Gravel Rock 9/27/2023 10YR 3/3 Clay Loam 10YR 3/4 Clay Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Medium Sand With Trace Of Gravel Rock 9/27/2023 30-70 ≈20-30 7.5YR 4/4 Very Medium Coarse Sand With Gravel | | Locati | ion of Project: | 11050 14th St N, L | ake Eln | no, MN | 55042 | |
|---|---|-----------|--|---|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation: Surface Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered 0-8 10YR 3/3 Clay Loam 18-30 7.5YR 4/4 Medium Sand With Trace Of Gravel 30-70 ≈20-30 7.5YR 4/4 Very Medium Coarse Sand | Ol | | | | | | | 9/27/2023 |
| Surface Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches 0-8 8-18 18-30 30-70 ≈20-30 Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Surface Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches 1 OPER 3/3 Clay Loam 1 OYR 3/3 Clay Loam 1 OYR 3/4 Clay Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Medium Sand With Trace Of Gravel 30-70 ≈20-30 Surface Elevation of Observation Soils Encountered 1 OPER 3/3 Clay Loam 1 OYR 3/4 Clay Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Wedium Sand With Trace Of Gravel 30-70 ≈20-30 Surface Elevation of Observation Observation Soils Encountered 1 OPER 3/3 Clay Loam 1 OYR 3/4 Clay Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Medium Sand With Trace Of Gravel 30-70 ≈20-30 7.5YR 4/4 Very Medium Coarse Sand | C | Classific | ation System: | USDA | | | | |
| Elevation of Observation Depth In Inches | Soil Observation: ST-1 | | | | Soil O | bservation: | | |
| Solls Encountered Solls Encountered Solls Encountered Solls Encountered | Elevat | tion of | _ | | Elevat | ion of | | |
| 8-18 | | Rock % | Soils E | ncountered | | Rock % | Soils | Encountered |
| | 8-18 18-30 | ≈20-30 | 10YR 3 7.5YR 4/4 M Trace 7.5YR 4/4 Very | /4 Clay Loam Medium Sand With the Of Gravel Medium Coarse Sand | | | | |
| 70" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Red | 70" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox | | | | Depth T | o End Of Soil | Observation Or Redox | |
| Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Elevation Of Observation Relative To System | | | | | Elevatio | n Of Observat | tion Relative To System | |
| -36" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media | | | | | Distribution Media | | | |
| ≥34" Of Separation Of Separation | ≥34" Of Separation | | | | Of Sepa | iration | | |
| End Of Soil Observation At: 70" End Of Soil Observation At: | End | Of Soil (| Observation At: | 70" | End Of | Soil Oh | servation At: | |
| Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At: | | | | | | | | |
| Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At: | | | | | | | | |

| Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 36 Inches | | | | |
|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| Signature: | Color Ole | | | |

DATE 12-22-96

BOREHOLE DIAMETER 4"-36" HAND BUGER

| EPTH EET | HOLE #1 | HOLE #2 | HOLE #3 | HOLE #4 | HOLE #5 | HOLE #6 |
|----------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | - TOP SOIL - | TOP SOIL | 70P SOIL - | TOP SOIL | | - |
| 2 | -BROWN LOAM - | BROWN LOAM - WITH SAND LAYERS | BROWN LOAM WITH SAND LAYERS | BROWN, MEDIUM - | - - - - | |
| - | - BROWN, MEDIUM - SAND- | BRANNI SO IOV | BROWN, SANDY LOAM | HEAUY ROCKS | | _ |
| 3 | HEAUY ROCKS - | BROWN, SANDY LOAM BROWN, MEDIUM - | BROWN, MEDIUM - | | | Ξ. |
| 4 | - - | HEAUY ROCKS | HEAUY ROLKS | | | _ |
| | - - - | | - | - - | | - - |
| 5 | BH CAVING STOP | OBSTRUCTION STOP | | BROWN, COARSE | | - - |
| 5 — | | | BH CAUING STOP | BH CAUING STOP | | <u>-</u> |
| / - | - | | _ | - 3/8/ | | |
| _ | - | | OKAY 6'+ | OKAY 6'+ | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| = | - | | | | | |
|) — — | | + - | - | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| 0 — | | | | | | |
| - | - | - - | + | <u>+</u> | | <u> </u> |

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.