Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 383 Hugo, MN 55038

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 8715 136th St Ct N, Hugo, MN 55038

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2013, which were on file at Washington County. It should be noted that the septic tanks are currently due for maintenance pumping and should be pumped when possible.

It should be noted that we previously performed a compliance inspection on this system on June 12, 2013. At that time, we identified a standing water table within three feet of the bottom of the system and were required to classify the system as non-complaint. There were no other limiting soil conditions identified at that time. Subsequently, Washington County indicated that no corrective action would be required. During this inspection, there was no standing water table identified, nor were any limiting soil conditions identified, and the system is therefore complaint. Please note that it is impossible for me to guarantee that there will be no future changes relative to water tables or limiting soil conditions.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humpal



Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:		
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days			
System Status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):8/31/2016			
	mpliant – Notice of Noncompliance grade Requirements on page 3)		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent the Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwa Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwa Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	reat to public health and safety ter otect groundwater vater		
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Ran	de.		
	for inspection: Property Sale		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Owner's phone: 651-341-3542		
or			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Representative phone: 651-481-6740		
	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-4052		
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and rock tre	ench drainfield.		
Comments or recommendations:			
It should be noted that the septic tanks are currently due for maintenance pumping and It should be noted that we previously performed a compliance inspection on this system identified a standing water table within three feet of the bottom of the system and were complaint. There were no other limiting soil conditions identified at that time. Subsequence corrective action would be required. During this inspection, there was no standing water conditions identified, and the system is therefore complaint. Please note that it is impossible to the system is the soil conditions.	m on June 12, 2013. At that time, we required to classify the system as non- uently, Washington County indicated that no er table identified, nor were any limiting soil		
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
Inspector name: Brian Humpal Certification	tion number: _L5342		
	nse number: L2896		
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Pho	one number: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments			

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12

Soil boring logs

☐ Forms per local ordinance

☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, License						
Prop	Property address: 8715 136th Street Ct N, Hugo, MN 55038 Inspector initials/Date: 8/31/2016					
1.	Impact on Public Health – Cor	mpliance compone	nt #1 of 5			
<u>''</u>	impact on rubite fleatin – con	inpliance compone	11 #1 01 5			
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☑ Searched for surface outlet☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home			
	System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxesHomeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)			
	System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 "Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test 			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation: None of the above found.					
<u>2.</u>	Tank Integrity – Compliance cor	nponent #2 of 5				
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☐ No	 ☑ Examined construction records 			
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)			
	compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth			
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	Any "yes" answer above indicate	etas tha	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
	system is Failing to Protect Gr		☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation: Lowered underwater camera into tanks - baffles and tank walls OK. Lift pump and alarm were operational at the time of the inspection. It should be noted that the septic tanks are currently due for maintenance pumping and should be pumped when possible.					
<u>3.</u>	Other Compliance Conditions	S – Compliance cor	nponent #3 of 5			
	a. Maintenance hole covers are damage	d, cracked, unsecured	d, or appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown			
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety					
	Explain:					
	c. System is non-protective of ground wa *System is failing to protect ground Explain:		s as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No			

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 Page 3Toff/9651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

1.	Soil Separation – Compliance compor	nent #4 of 5				
	Date of installation: 1995	Unknown	V	/erification method(s):		
	Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	☐ Yes ☐ No		Soil observation does not expire. Pr		
	Compliance criteria:			observations by two independent parties are sufficient unless site conditions have been altered or local		
	For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No	_	requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach borin Two previous verifications (Attach boring log Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		
	Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Σ	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes ☐ No	C	Comments/Explanation:		
	1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead		R	Reviewed previous compliance insp	ection from 2013.	
Protection Areas or serving	Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		R	Reviewed design and permit record	S.	
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*					
sys or \ 235	"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes ☐ No	No I	ndicate depths of elevations		
			A	. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical		В	. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
	separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	aniadia allu		. System separation		
	saturated son of bedrock.		D	. Required compliance separation*		
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.						
5.	Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5					
	Is the system operated under an Operating Per	mit? 🔲 Ye	es 🛛 No	If "yes", A below is required		
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?						
	BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design					
	If the answer to both questions is "no",	this section d	oes not l	need to be completed.		
	Compliance criteria					
	a. Operating Permit number:			☐ Yes ☐ No		
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?					
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and		ning?	Yes No		
	Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.					

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3


Property address: 8715 136th Street Ct N, Hugo, MN 55038

Inspector initials/Date: 8/31/2016

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: August 31, 2016	Time: 2:30 PM			
Property Address: 8715 136th Street Ct N, Hugo, MN	Zip: 55038			
Property Owner: Danielle Geraci	Phone: 651-341-3542			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 □ Fiberglass □ Rock trench □ Aerobic □ Plastic □ Gravelless trench □ Lift □ Metal □ Chamber trench □ Holding □ Concrete □ Seepage bed □ Other: □ Block □ Mound □ Other □ At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \subseteq \text{No} *If no, proper maintenance must be performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.				
Year house built: 1995 Year septic installed: 1995	Γank size (gals.): 2-1500			
	sidents in home? 2-5			
Number of bedrooms? 5 Are all floors drained by gr				
Garbage disposal? Y Whirlpool bath?				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system? N			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? Sink in garage				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings? N				
Location of septic system on lot? South Side				
,	well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 2011 Name of pumper: Pinky's Sewer Service				
How often pumped in previous years? Due For Pump Is system on a monitoring plan? N				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.				
Owner/Occupant	Date:			



Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 8715 136th Street Ct N, Hugo, MN 55038					
Bori		Inspect Minnesota		Date:	<u> </u>
	Auger Used:	Hand/Bucket	Classification System:		USDA
Во	ring Number:	1		Boring Number:	2
Surface Elevation of Boring	_	ind surface as last ofield trench	Surface Elevation Boring	on of Same ground surface as I	
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered
0-24 24-65		Sandy Clay Loam	0-13 13-48 48-63	7.5YR 4/ 7.5YR 4/4 S	/2 Silt Loam /3 Clay Loam andy Loam With Clay Loam Pieces
65" De	pth To End Of B	oring Or Redox	63"	Depth To End Of B	oring Or Redox
Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System		Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System			
-26" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥39" Of Separation		-26" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥37" Of Separation			
En	d Of Boring At:	65"		End Of Boring At:	63"
	dox Present At:	None		Redox Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None		Standing	Water Present At:		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 26 Inches

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Sulbsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable



License # L2896

Maintainer License Expires: Installer License Expires: Date of Issuance:

Adv Inspector License Expires:

Oct 28, 2015 Dec 22, 2016 Dec 22, 2016 Dec 22, 2016 Dec 22, 2016

Adv Designer License Expires:

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

ertified	CI)
0	8
Designated	Individual

Brian L. Humpal

Brian L. Humpal

Advanced Designer (Certified) Advanced Inspector (Certified)

Maintainer (Certified)

Certification Type

Brian L. Humpal

Brian L. Humpal

Christopher R. Uebe Brian L. Humpal

Christopher R. Uebe

Service Provider (Certified) Designer (Certified)

Installer (Certified)

Inspector (Certified)

10/15/2017 10/15/2017 10/15/2017

Certification

Expires

10/15/2017

10/15/2017

03/04/2018

03/04/2018

Steven Giddings Manager Environmental Business Assistance Section

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194