

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## **Compliance Inspection Form**

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system within 15 days					
System Status					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/29/2016					
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)    Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety   Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety   Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater   Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater   Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater   Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant					
Property address: 14658 197 <sup>th</sup> St Ct N Marine on St Croix, MN 55047  Property owner: John and Margaret Kloos  or  Owner's representative:	Twp/Range:  Reason for inspection: Sale  Owner's phone:  Representative phone:  Regulatory authority phone:651-430-6000  It station, mound dispersal system				
Business name: Zierke Seil Testing Inspector signature:  Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	o unknown conditions during system construction,				
Other information (list):					

1.	Impact on Public Health – C	ompliance componen	t #1 of 5			
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	<ul> <li>Searched for surface outlet</li> <li>Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li> </ul>			
114	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	<ul> <li>☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>			
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation:					
	Homeowner did not report any issues	with the system.				
2.	Tank Integrity - Compliance	component #2 of 5				
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom ☐ Examined construction records			
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)			
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
10-	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		<ul> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>			
	Comments/Explanation:					
	Tanks pumped and OK'ed by Smilies 8 place.	3/25/2016. During site visit	8/9/2016, operating levels looked normal and baffles were in			
3.	Other Compliance Condition	ns – Compliance compor	nent #3 of 5			
	a. Maintenance hole covers are dama	aged, cracked, unsecured, o	r appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown			
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.					
	Explain:					
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.					
	Explain:					

Inspector initials/Date:

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5						
Date of installation: 7/24/2002	Unknown	Verifi	cation method(s):			
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.				
Compliance criteria:						
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)				
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)				
beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)				
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Oth	ner (See Comments/Explanation)			
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No	Comn	nents/Explanation:			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indica	ate depths or elevations			
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bot	tom of distribution media	100.9		
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector	,					
License required)		B. Per	iodically saturated soil/bedrock	98.0		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. Sys	stem separation	2.9		
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*		3.0 (2.55 with allowance)		
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.						
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable						
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	☐ No	If "yes", A below is requir	red		
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	n BMP?	☐ No	If "yes", B below is requir	red		
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design						
If the answer to both questions is "r	o", this section doe	s not r	need to be completed.			
Compliance criteria						
a. Operating Permit number:						
Have the Operating Permit requirement	ents been met?		Yes No			
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly functioning	g?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc						

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

## **Logs of Soil Borings**

Location of Project:

14658 197th St Ct Marine on St Croix, MN 55047

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

8/9/2016

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-8"	Reddish loamy fill	0-6"	10YR 3/3 sandy loam
8-22"	10YR 3/3 sandy loam	6-18"	7.5YR 4/4 silt loam, redox below 14"
22-28"	7.5YR 4/4 silt loam, redox present below 24"		
End of boring at Standing water tabl Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole   2 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water table Present at Standing water not pr Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not prese Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole  1.2 feet of depth
Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches O	Boring Number 4
End of boring at	feet	End of boring at	feet
Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring  feet of depth Feet of depth	Standing water tabl Present at Standing water not pr Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not prese Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole feet of depth

Benchmark: 100.7 B1: 100.0, redox 98.0 B2: 98.2, redox 97.1 Relative Elevations B1 Separation: 2.9 Bottom of rock: 100.9 Height of instrument: 105.3 (top of manhole cover on lift) B2 Separation: 3.8 © 2016 Google Imagery Date: 3/11/2016 45°14'12.76" N 92°48'29.48" W elev 990 ft eye alt 1240 ft Tanks