

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

https://www.pca.state.mn.us

wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

## Compliance inspection report form

## Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Page 1 of 4

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:								
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 0602620430022	Reason for Inspection	Sale of property							
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County									
Property address: 12639 POINT DOUGLAS DR S, TOWN OF	DENMARK								
Owner/representative: Jessy Durant		Owner's phone: 651-402-0663							
Brief system description: Two 1000 gallon septic tanks, 1000 p	ump tank to at grade system								
System status									
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/9/2023									
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance							
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	use discontinued within the t	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance. health and safety (ITPHS) must be							
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	upgraded, replaced, or its us	se discontinued within ten months of receipt							
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shown under section 145A.04 subd	rter period if required by local ordinance or							
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applica	ble)								
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1	1) – Imminent threat to public	health and safety							
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) - Failing									
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor									
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor									
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080	.2500 (Compliance compone	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater							
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) - Faili	ng to protect groundwater								
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co	mpliance component #4) - A	Ioncompliant - local ordinance applies							
Comments or recommendations									
Certification									
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	own conditions during system c	onstruction, possible abuse of the system,							
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	ie and correct, to the best of my								
Business name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.		Certification number: 9917							
Inspector signature:		License number: 4137							
This document has been electronically si	igned)	Phone: 651-343-9117							
Necessary or locally required supporting de	ocumentation (must	be attached)							
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally ☐ Other information (list):									
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-38	864 • Use your preferred rel	ay service • Available in alternative formats							

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* 図 No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar	the system is an and safety.		
Describe verification methods and	results:		17
and integrity Compliance	component #2	of 5	
ank integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5  Attached supporting documentatio	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2  □ Yes* ⊠ No		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentatio	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Schlomkas
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* 図 No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:	Schlomkas
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* 図 No	Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busin	Schlomkas ess: 10/9/2023
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busin  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached supporting documentation)  Date of maintenance	Schlomkas ess:  10/9/2023 tach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No  cates the system	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busin  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached support of maintenance)  Date of maintenance	Schlomkas ess:  10/9/2023 tach) hin three years)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No  cates the system	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines bus	Schlomkas ess:  10/9/2023 tach) hin three years) ssment complies
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No  cates the system	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Schlomkas ess:  10/9/2023 tach) hin three years) ssment complies
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No  cates the system ster.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Schlomkas ess:  10/9/2023 tach) hin three years) ssment complies

800-657-3864


3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?    Yes*   No   Unknown	P	roperty Address: 12639 POINT DOUGLAS DR S, TOWN OF DENMARK	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
3e. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?    Yes'   No   Unknown   Unknown   Unknown   Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.   3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?   Yes'   No     3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?   Yes to 3a or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.   Describe verification methods and results:  Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	В	usiness Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.	Date: 10/9/2023
3e. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?    Yes'   No   Unknown   Unknown   Unknown   Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.   3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?   Yes'   No     3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?   Yes to 3a or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.   Describe verification methods and results:  Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable			
Yes'	3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety?			ecured?
"Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an Imminent threat to public health and safety."  3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?			LOCIV-+ MAIL CILIPLE
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?   Yes*   No   3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?   Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:  Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable     4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5   Not applicable    Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Yes   No   If "yes", A below is required is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes   No   If "yes", B below is required BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no"; this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No   Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:			ty! LI Yes" MINO LI OIKIIOWII
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?  "Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:  4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 ⋈ Not applicable  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?			Presenting
"Yes to 3c or 3d - System is falling to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:  4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5  Not applicable  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?			
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable		3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
Attached supporting documentation: \  \text{Not applicable} \  \  \  \  \  \text{Operating permit and nitrogen BMP*} - Compliance component #4 of 5 \  \text{Not applicable} \  \  \  \text{Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?} \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable      4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5   Not applicable    Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Yes   No   If "yes", A below is required is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes   No   If "yes", B below is required    BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design   If the answer to both questions is "no"; this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:   A. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No    b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No    Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:		Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable      4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5   Not applicable    Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Yes   No   If "yes", A below is required is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes   No   If "yes", B below is required    BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design   If the answer to both questions is "no"; this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:   A. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No    b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No    Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:			
Attached supporting documentation: \  Not applicable \  \  4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 \  Not applicable \  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? \  \  \text{Yes} \  \  \text{No} \  If "yes", A below is required \  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \  \  \text{Yes} \  \  \text{No} \  If "yes", B below is required \  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no"; this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \  \  \  \text{Yes} \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \			
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable   4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Yes   No If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes   No If "yes", B below is required BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no"; this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:			
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable   4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?			
Attached supporting documentation:  Not applicable    4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5  Not applicable  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?			
Attached supporting documentation: \  Not applicable \   4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* - Compliance component #4 of 5 \  Not applicable \   Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? \  Yes \  No \  If "yes", A below is required to the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \  Yes \  No \  If "yes", B below is required \   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design the answer to both questions is "no"; this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \  Yes \  No \  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \  Yes \  No \   Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	£ 72		
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Yes   No   If "yes", A below is required is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes   No   If "yes", B below is required BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no"; this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No   b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No    Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:			
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?			
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?		Attached supporting documentation:  Not applicable	
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?			
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no"; this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Yes No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* - Compliance component #4	of 5 Not applicable
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?  Yes No If "yes", B below is required BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no"; this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Yes No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	AND THE PERSON NAMED IN	Le No en exeted under en Operating Permit?	If "ves". A below is required
## the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:		to the ofotoni operates and an animal and	
If the answer to both questions is "no"; this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   Yes   No  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:	5		
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:			ed
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?			
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?  Yes No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:			
Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  Describe verification methods and results:			
Describe verification methods and results:	.53		
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)		Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation:			
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)			
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)			
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)			
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)			
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)			
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)			
Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)			
Attached supporting documentation:			
		Attached aumantation. [] Operating normit (Attach)	

operty Address: 12639 POINT DOUGLAS DR Siness Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.			Date: 1	0/9/2023					
Soil separation – Compliance con	npone	nt #5 o	f 5						
Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy)	⊠ Unkn	own							
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:						
beverage lodging?			Soil observation logs completed for th	e report					
Compliance criteria (select one):	1		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation						
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and		□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	1)					
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:									
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.									
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes	□ №	Indicate depths or elevations						
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland			A. Bottom of distribution media	1'					
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	4'					
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			C. System separation	3'					
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically			D. Required compliance separation*	3'					
saturated soil or bedrock.*			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local					
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)		□ No*							
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.									
*Any "no" answer above indicates the stailing to protect groundwater.	system	İS							
Describe verification methods and results:									

Replacement system. Three large tanks and at grade system.

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

15.2023	h - Denmark Twp		ootential:	nchmark:	Elevation:	uger		Consistence	Loose		Loose		Loose								10/9/2023	(Date) s an infield verification of		(Date)	
. 03	t. Douglas Drive South	ic Matter Disturbed/	Flooding/Run-On p	vation-Relative to ber	Limiting Layer El	AL	Structure	Grade	Moderate		Moderate		Moderate									nature below represent			
	12639 Pt	edrock Organic	Linear	Surface Elev		ion Type:		Shape	Blocky		Blocky		Granular	- 29						/S.	4137	(License #) p. 3 A. The sign		(Cert #)	, [
Project ID:	on / Address:	Alluvium Be	Linear,	Δ		Observati	704000	FIGICALOI (S)												s, rules and law		. 7082.0500 subp			
	Locati	Joess Till	Stope shape:	529	- sun		1/3.7. J	(edox kind(s)												ble ordinances		rding to Minn. R	rsal site.		
		Lacustrine	s:	ap units:	000	See Map		e Color(S) R												with all applica		(Signature)	ment and dispe	(Signafilra)	(2) SHQ (4) C)
Serva		utwash	Slope %	survey ma	/9/2023			) Mottl												ordance \		ervation	d soil treat		
90 110 S	Jessy Durant	apply)	Slope	Soil	10			Matrix Color(s	7.5YR 3/3		7.5YR 4/4		10YR 5/4							this work in ag		that this soil obs	at the propose		
	Je	(Check all that	ide	-awn	Conditions:		Rock	<b>%</b>		>		<b></b>		)						completed t		/ certify	Lor bedrock		(101)
		material(s): (Che	j.		f Day/Weather	#/1		Texture		ر	Medium	Loamy Sand	A Carrier Carrier	-						y that I have	Shelley Schlomka	(Designer/Inspector) Verification: I hereby	lly saturated soil	455	(LGU/Designer/Inspector)
	Client:	rent	dscape		Date/Time of	9		Depth (in)		<b>47-</b> 2		. 24	07	i O					Comments:	I hereby certif		(Desi Optional Veri	-		(LGO/L



12639 Pt. Douglas DR.S.

## SS Septic Solutions, LLC additional terms and information.

- 1. SS Septic Solutions, LLC has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period beyond the inspection date. Due to numerous factors (usage, maintenance, tank pumping, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system. The report shall not be construed as a warranty that the system will properly function for any period.
- 2. Minimum compliance inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the septic system has a watertight septic tank(s) and lift tank, the required separation from the bottom of the drain field/mound distribution medium and saturated soils, no backup of sewage into the dwelling and no discharge of sewage onto the ground surface or surface water. SS Septic Solutions, LLC does not inspect basement sewage ejector pumps or exterior lift pumps as they are a maintenance item. Sewage backup verification is limited to the information supplied by the last occupants/owner if available. I cannot guarantee that the information given to me is accurate. Some people may attempt to hide or conceal signs of previous backups.
- 3. Certification of this system does not warranty any future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system, new or old, can be hydraulically overloaded because of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying it, improper maintenance, heavy usage, tree roots, freezing conditions, or surface drainage problems. The system could simply stop working due to age.
- 4. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test of the longevity of the septic system. The inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the septic is polluting the environment at the date and time the inspection is performed. The inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or local unit of government code requirements.
- 5. Winter Work Client understands that inspections conducted in winter weather conditions are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and frost. Septic system components like tanks, tank covers, drop boxes and soil treatment areas are more difficult to locate in these conditions. Soil borings and drain field locations are also more difficult to perform due to ground frost. The client needs to understand that due to the weather conditions, the same level of standards may not be possible compared to an inspection during the spring/summer/fall months.
- 6. If hired to perform the compliance inspection, the client hereby agrees that SS Septic Solutions, LLC will not be responsible for any monetary damages, claims or causes of action including attorney fees arising from the performance of this inspection.
- 7. Nothing other than gray water (laundry, showers, etc.) human waste and toilet tissue should be disposed of into the septic tanks. Garbage disposals are not recommended. Smaller amounts of laundry, soaps, dish soap, cleaning agents, etc. are better for the system. Antibacterial soaps and chlorine agents may kill the bacteria needed to treat effluent properly. Additives are not recommended and may be harmful to your system. Recommend to pump and clean your tanks by a certified pumper every other year if you have 1 tank and every 2-3 years if you have a 2-tank system to ensure proper maintenance.