ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Jerold and Becky Lingl 20820 Juno Ct N Forest Lake, MN 55025

10/4/2023

Dear Jerold and Becky Lingl,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your septic system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjanien Zieske

Benjamin Zierke MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346 EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 2403221210048	Reason for Inspection	Sale
Local regulatory authority info: <u>Washington County</u>		
Property address: 20820 Juno Ct N Forest Lake, MN 55025		
Owner/representative: Jerold and Becky Lingl		Owner's phone: <u>651-261-8990</u>
Brief system description: 1250 gallon septic tank, gravity rock tren	ch drainfield	

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/4/2023

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

□ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) - Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) - Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – *Failing to protect groundwater*

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

Comments or recommendations

Homeowners reported no past issues with the system. System was functioning normally during site visit 10/3/2023.

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594					
Inspector signature: Benjamin Zierke	License number: 119					
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 651-249-1346					
Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)						

Soil observation logs System/As-Built Locally required forms Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit Other information (list):

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
ystem discharges sewage to the round surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	☐ Other: ⊠ Not applicable
em discharges sewage to drain r surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
em causes sewage backup into lling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
y "yes" answer above indicates ninent threat to public health an	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Describe verification methods and results:

None of the above observed.

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed Name of maintenance		Olson's
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of maintenance business: 216		
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:		10/3/2023
		Existing tank integrity a	assessment (Att	ach)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be wit	hin three years)
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))		
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)		
		Other:		
Describe verification methods and	d results:			

Present for pumping by Olson's Sewer Service 10/3/2023. Tanks water tight and baffles in place.

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	Describe verification methods and results:					
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.					
3d.	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes*	🖾 No			
3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	🗌 Yes*	🖾 No			
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.					
3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety?	🗌 Yes*	🛛 No 🔲 Unknown			
	□ Yes* 🖾 No 🔲 Unknown					
За.	a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?					

Attached supporting documentation:
Not applicable

4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 🛛 Not applicable

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If "yes", A below is required
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If "yes", B below is required
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design			
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to	be co	mplete	ed.

☐ Yes ☐ No

Compliance criteria:

a.	Have the	operating	permit	requirements	s been met?	
----	----------	-----------	--------	--------------	-------------	--

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?

Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation		_ 🗌 Unkn	own		
 beverage lodging? Compliance criteri 5a. For systems built p not located in Sho Protection Area or beverage or lodgin Drainfield has at le separation distance saturated soil or be 5b. Non-performance April 1, 1996, or la performance syste or Wellhead Protect food, beverage, or Drainfield has a th 	 Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: 		⊠ No*	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the Two previous verifications of required Not applicable (No soil treatment area Imdicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation*	vertical separation a) 97.2' 92.7'+ 4.5'+ 2.0'
systems built under Type IV or V syste Rules 7080. 2350 (Intermediate Insp 2,500 gallons per of License required > Drainfield meets th	ems built under 2008 or 7080.2400 ector License required ≤ day; Advanced Inspector ≥ 2,500 gallons per day) ne designed vertical e from periodically	Yes	□ No*	Ordinance.	

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.


Describe verification methods and results:

See attached boring log and elevations.

Upgrade requirements: (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Relative Elevations in Decimal Feet:

B1: 100.0 B1 Redox: 92.7+ Bottom of rock: 97.2 B1 Separation: 4.5'+ Benchmark: 99.7 (cover on septic tank)



Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:20820 Juno Ct N Forest Lake, MN 55025Borings Made by Ben ZierkeDate:Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

10/3/2023

Depth, in Depth, in **Boring Number 1 Boring Number 2** Inches Inches 0-----0--0-4" 10YR 3/3 medium sand 4-63" 10YR 4/4 medim sand, 10% rock 63-88" 10YR 6/4 medium sand, 5% rock *no restriction observed End of boring at End of boring at Standing water table: Standing water table: feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth Hours after boring Present at Present at Х Standing water not present in hole Standing water not present in hole Mottled Soil: Mottled Soil: feet of depth feet of depth Observed at Observed at Mottled soil not present in bore hole х Mottled soil not present in bore hole Comments: Comments: Depth, in Depth, in **Boring Number 3 Boring Number 4** Inches Inches 0-----0-feet End of boring at feet End of boring at Standing water table: Standing water table: feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth Hours after boring Present at Present at Standing water not present in hole Standing water not present in hole Mottled Soil: Mottled Soil: feet of depth feet of depth Observed at Observed at Mottled soil not present in bore hole Mottled soil not present in bore hole Comments: Comments: