

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

	Local tracking number:				
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 3102821130002	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer			
ocal regulatory authority info: Washington County					
roperty address: 6530 Glen Rd. Woodbury, Mn. 55129					
wner/representative: Sheila Dowling		Owner's phone: 612-558-5226			
rief system description: Septic tank to gravity drainfield. This is	s an older system so lifetime	is unknown.			
ystem status					
ystem status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/20/2023					
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance			
Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an animmment threat to public health or safety requiring removal and		ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance.			
batement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.				
shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)					
Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.  7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not					
uarantee future performance.	under Section 145A.04 Subd	IVISION 6.			
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)				
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)	) – Imminent threat to public	health and safety			
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) - Failing	to protect groundwater				
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) - Imminent threat to	public health and safety			
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)					
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.					
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) - Failin					
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Cor		loncompliant - local ordinance applies			
Comments or recommendations	,				
Comments of recommendations					
ertification					
ertification					
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno	to determine the compliance s wn conditions during system c	status of this system. No determination of onstruction, possible abuse of the system,			
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno nadequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true	wn conditions during system c	onstruction, possible abuse of the system,			
thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered ature system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow adequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true sed for the purpose of processing this form.	wn conditions during system c	onstruction, possible abuse of the system,			
thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered atture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknot adequate maintenance, or future water usage.  If typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true sed for the purpose of processing this form.  Usiness name: David R Brown	wn conditions during system c	onstruction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be			
thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered ature system performance has been nor can be made due to unknot hadequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.  Business name: David R Brown	ewn conditions during system c	onstruction, possible abuse of the system,  knowledge, and that this information can be  Certification number: 9370			
thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered ature system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.  Business name: David R Brown  Business name: DRB  Chis document has been electronically signature.	ewn conditions during system c and correct, to the best of my	onstruction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9370 License number: 3649 Phone: 651-788-3296			
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.  Business name: David R Brown Inspector signature: DRB Inspector signature: DRB Inspector or locally required supporting do	e and correct, to the best of my gned)  cumentation (must	onstruction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9370 License number: 3649 Phone: 651-788-3296			
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.  Business name: David R Brown Inspector signature: DRB (This document has been electronically signature)  Wecessary or locally required supporting document in the state of the purpose of processing this form.  Solid observation logs System/As-Built Locally recommendations and the purpose of processing this form.	ewn conditions during system c and correct, to the best of my	onstruction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9370 License number: 3649 Phone: 651-788-3296			
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.  Business name: David R Brown Inspector signature: DRB Inspector signature: DRB Inspector or locally required supporting do	e and correct, to the best of my gned)  cumentation (must	onstruction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9370 License number: 3649 Phone: 651-788-3296			
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.  Business name: David R Brown Inspector signature: DRB (This document has been electronically signature)  Checessary or locally required supporting document in the state of the purpose of processing this form.  Solid observation logs System/As-Built Locally required supporting document in the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my gned)  cumentation (must	onstruction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9370 License number: 3649 Phone: 651-788-3296			
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.  Business name: David R Brown Inspector signature: DRB (This document has been electronically signature)  Necessary or locally required supporting document in the supporting document in th	e and correct, to the best of my  gned)  cumentation (must required forms   Tank Inter	construction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9370 License number: 3649 Phone: 651-788-3296 be attached) egrity Assessment			

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:			
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	e ☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable			
System discharges sewage to dr tile or surface waters.	ain ☐ Yes* ☒ No				
System causes sewage backup i dwelling or establishment.	nto ☐ Yes* ☒ No				
Any "yes" answer above indica imminent threat to public healt					
Describe verification methods	and results.				
ank integrity — Complian  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage p		2 of 5  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector			
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business: Meyer's			
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	License number of maintenance business: <u>L915</u> Date of maintenance: <u>10/20/2023</u>			
		☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) lea		(mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) lead Any "yes" answer above it is failing to protect ground	ndicates the system	(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belo			
Any "yes" answer above it	ndicates the system Iwater.	(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with			
Any "yes" answer above in is failing to protect ground	ndicates the system Iwater.	(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below			
Any "yes" answer above in is failing to protect ground	ndicates the system Iwater.	(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belo			
Any "yes" answer above in is failing to protect ground	ndicates the system Iwater.	(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain belo			

Property Address: 6530 G en Rd. Woodbury, Mn. 55129

pperty Address: 6530 Glen Rd. Woodbury, Mn. 55129 siness Name: David R Brown	Date: 10/20/2023
Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.),	or unsecured?
☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health extract to public health and safety.	or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowr
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	tor2
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspec	
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.  Describe verification methods and results:	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Not applicable ☐	
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
	t #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance components the system operated under an Operating Permit?	⊠ No If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes	⊠ No If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance components the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes	⊠ No If "yes", A below is require ⊠ No If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes  BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be contained.	⊠ No If "yes", A below is require ⊠ No If "yes", B below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria:	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  Description:  Yes No  No  No	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes   No  Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required

Date of installation 10/19/1982 (mm/dd/yyyy)		known		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Foodbeverage lodging?		es 🗌 No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Soil observation logs completed for the supporting documentation: ☐ Two previous verifications of required.	the report
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 19 not located in Shoreland or Wellhea Protection Area or not serving a foo beverage or lodging establishment:	96, and 🛛 Ye	s 🗌 No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot ve separation distance from periodical saturated soil or bedrock.	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF			
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Ye	s No*	Indicate depths or elevations	0011
			A. Bottom of distribution media	32"
			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	70"
			C. System separation	38"
	У		D. Required compliance separation*	36"
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Perform systems built under pre-2008 Rules Type IV or V systems built under 20 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License red 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Ir License required > 2,500 gallons per	s; 008 guired ≤ aspector	s No*		
Drainfield meets the designed verti separation distance from periodical saturated soil or bedrock.				

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

6530 GLEN RD. WOODBYRY, MN. 55129 @WELL EXISTING HOUSE SERIC TANK EXISTING ELD DRIVEWAY •(BI) SOIL BOLING LOG (BI) 0"-16" = 104R3/3 Sandyckylan 16"-23" = 104R3/4 Sandyckylan 23" 34" = 104R3/4 Sandyckylan 34"-46" = 104R3/6 SANDY LOAM 46"-70" = 104R3/6 MEDIUM SAMD GLEN ROAD

JB 11/8/82 Permit Fee..... WOODBURY, MINNESOTA State Surcharge.\$ Tel. 739-5972 Penalty.....\$ TOTAL FEE.....\$ PERMIT NUMBER.... SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM PERMIT TEL. NO. 4 7- 3/2 HM. CONTRACTOR: DELA. COMPANIA MINIMUM SYSTEM REQUIRED: 4 BEDROOMS, PERCOLATION RATE: SEPTIC TANK: GAL.LIQUID CAPACITY DEPTH OF TRENCHES: 32" DISTRIBUTION BOX: Concrete drap bus with 124 bable ABSORPTION TRENCH: SQUARE FEET SOC LINEAL FEET 767 DEPTH OF ROCK BELOW TILE LINES:\_ 12 INCHES ABOVE TILE: 2 INCHES MINIMUM COVER: INCHES MAXIMUM COVER: OUT- 111 6" MINIMUM LENGTH OF LINES: 47 FEET MINIMUM NUMBER OF LINES: Period To Anna Berry Com MAXIMUM LENGTH, OF INDIVIDUAL LINE: 100 the barking or or only FT. C TO C of the second secon MINIMUM SPACING OF LINES: Inspection of installation must be accomplished by this office before any portion of system

is covered, unless prior approval by inspector is obtained.

ADDRESS:

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Trenches he within pore Test orea.

DATE SYSTEM INSPECTED: INSTALLATION APPROVED (inspectors signature):

CONTRAVERSY WAS INSTAILED

PERMIT: Permission is hereby granted to the above-named applicant to perform the work described in the application, to the specifications shown under minimum system required. This permit is granted upon express condition that the person to whom it is granted, and his agents, employees and workmen shall conform in all respects to ordinances of Woodbury, Minnesota. This permit may be revoked at any time upon violation of any said ordinance, and permit shall be void if work is not commenced within six (6) months.

APPROVED: (inspectors signature) 10 8 10 18 a DATE: 10-1983 White copy - office, Yellow copy - inspector, Pink copy - applicant



## Tri-City / William Lloyd Analytical Laboratory

9300 Poplar Bridge Road • Bloomington, MN 55437 • (952) 563-4904

Dave Brown

4787 Radio Dr.

Woodbury, MN 55129

Sample Results Report

Report Date: 10/18/2023 07:33

Received By:

Received Date / Time:

Deb Weltzin

17-Oct-2023 12:05

Sample Condition Upon Receipt:

Y Acceptable

Temperature

16.1 °C

Y

Y On ice

Sample ID: 23

2310101-01

6530 Glen Rd Woodbury, MN 55129

Sample Collector: Dave Brown

Collection Date/Time: 10/17/2023 11:26:00AM

Analyte	Result	Units	MCL*		Date Analyzed	Analyst Initials	Method
Nitrate as N	3,16	3.16 mg/L	10	PASS 10/17/2023 14:01	MCD	EPA 353.2 Rev. 2.0	
P/A total coliform	Absent	MPN/100 mL	Absent	PASS	10/18/2023 07:26	ERS	SM 9223 B (Colilert-18® P/A)

\*MCL (maximum contaminant level) set by the EPA

PASS - The analyte(s) reported, for the sample(s) listed above, meet standards set by the Minnesota Department of Health and U. S. Environmental Protection Agency for safe drinking water.

Approved By:

Elizabeth Stahl

Efabeth Stoll

Lab Analyst

Laboratory Identification Number: 027-053-355

The results in this report apply to the above listed sample(s). All routine quality assurance procedures were followed, unless otherwise noted. This analytical report must be reported in its entirety. All methods are certified by the Minnesota Department of Health, unless otherwise noted. The test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the aboratory.

The lab does not hold a Minnesota Department of Health accreditation for this parameter.

Page 1 of 1