

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions:

Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution

Control Agency (MPCA) website at		
Property information	Local tracking	a number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 1402820210090	Reason for Inspection	Sale of property
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: 2045 QUEBEC AVE S, CITY OF LAKE ST	CROIX BEACH	
Owner/representative: RAVEN CHARLES A & LEONA A		Owner's phone: 651-231-6599
Brief system description: Replace 11/10/1993 - Two 1000 gallo	n tanke with three 21' drain t	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/2/2023		
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Noti	ice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect grause discontinued within the t	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public	health and safety (ITPHS) must be se discontinued within ten months of receip
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a show under section 145A.04 subd	rter period if required by local ordinance or
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)		health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater	Hould Salety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone		nublic hoalth and cafety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone		
System not abandoned according to Minn P. 7090	2500 (Camplianae annaea	TOUTIOWALET
Soil separation (Compliance company #5) Failing	2000 (Compilance compone	nt #3) – Falling to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con	npliance component #4) – N	oncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
	Art see	
	59	
Certification		
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown nadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	to determine the compliance st vn conditions during system co	tatus of this system. No determination of onstruction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my i	knowledge, and that this information can be
Susiness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.		Certification number: 9917
rspector signature: Shoon, Shoom Man		License number: 4137
nspector signature: Allow Comment has been electronically sign		Phone: 651-343-9117
lecessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation	
		grity Assessment

Compliance criteria:			Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
Describe verification methods and	results:		
nk integrity – Compliance	comp	onent #2	of 5
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	comp	onent #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	comp	onent #2 ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,			Attached supporting documentation: Manage M
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: Schlomka
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes	No No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes	No No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain

Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. Date: 111/2/2023 3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 3. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured? Yes	34-10 115 (311-1
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured? Yes	8-2-2000 20 H10 H10
Yes	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by Inspector?	
Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Not applicable ☐ Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 ☑ Not applicable ☐ Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is require Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", B below is require BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	nwc
Attached supporting documentation: \Bigc Not applicable \Bigc Attached supporting documentation: \Bigc Not applicable \Bigc Attached supporting documentation: \Bigc Not applicable \Bigc Not applicable \Bigc Solution \Bigc Not So	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable 1. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is require is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If "yes", B below is require BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	-60
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	
1. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No If "yes", A below is require Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ No If "yes", B below is require BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? □ Yes □ No	
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	
1. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No If "yes", A below is require Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ No If "yes", B below is require BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? □ Yes □ No	
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No	red
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	red
Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	2
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

usiness Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.			Date: 1	1/2/2023
Soil separation – Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 11/10/1993 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unkr	nown		•
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one):	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Soil observation logs completed for the ☐ Two previous verifications of required	
5a.For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area ☐	3.5X
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□ No	A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	42" 66"
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			C. System separation D. Required compliance separation*	24"
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	Yes	□ No	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	vvca by Local

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


soil Observation Log

v 03.15.2023

Project ID:

Client:			Charles Ra	ven			Locatio	ion / Address:		2045 Quebec Ave	- Lakeland	
parent mater	ial(s):	(Check all th	that apply)	Jour Outh	Outwash 1	acustrine	TIII Seess	Alluvium	Bedrock Organic	Matter	Disturbed/Fill	1
andscape Pos	Position:	Shoulder			Stope %:		Stope shape:	Convex	, Convex	Flooding/Run-C	On potential:	
/egetation:		-awn		Soil su	Irvey map	units:	828	C	Surface Ele	vation-Relative to	benchmark:	
Date/Time of	Day/Weather	r Conditions:	ns:	Cool pa	art sun		11:30 AM	-		Limiting Layer	· Elevation:	1
vation ;	#/Location:	See	Мар					Observat	vation Type:		Auger	1
(in)	Texture	Rock Erag %	Matrix C	Color(s)	Mottle	Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)		1 (
S	Medium Sandy Loam	1 ag. %	7.5YR	3/3					Granular	ם מכת	Loose	
38"	Loamy oarse Sand	0	7.5YR	3/4					Granular		Loose	
S	Coarse Sand	2	5YR.	4/4					Granular		Loose	
omments:												
hereby certify Shelle	at I have Schlomkö	completed	this work	in age of	dance with	all appl	icable ordinances	rules and law	s. 4137		11/2/2023	
ional Verification: periodically satura	ation: I hereby (saturated soil or	certify	that this sock at the pro	il observa	tion was vill treatme	signature) rerified acc nt and disp	cording to Minn. R persal site.	7082.0500 subp	(License #) 5. 3 A. The sign	ature below represe	nts an infield verification of	
(LGU/Designer	igner/Inspector)	tor)				(Signature			(Cert #)		(Date)	

26



City WATER

SS Septic Solutions, LLC additional terms and information.

- 1. SS Septic Solutions, LLC has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period beyond the inspection date. Due to numerous factors (usage, maintenance, tank pumping, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system. The report shall not be construed as a warranty that the system will properly function for any period.
- 2. Minimum compliance inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the septic system has a watertight septic tank(s) and lift tank, the required separation from the bottom of the drain field/mound distribution medium and saturated soils, no backup of sewage into the dwelling and no discharge of sewage onto the ground surface or surface water. SS Septic Solutions, LLC does not inspect basement sewage ejector pumps or exterior lift pumps as they are a maintenance item. Sewage backup verification is limited to the information supplied by the last occupants/owner if available. I cannot guarantee that the information given to me is accurate. Some people may attempt to hide or conceal signs of previous backups.
- 3. Certification of this system does not warranty any future use beyond the date of inspection.

 Any system, new or old, can be hydraulically overloaded because of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying it, improper maintenance, heavy usage, tree roots, freezing conditions, or surface drainage problems. The system could simply stop working due to age.
- 4. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test of the longevity of the septic system. The inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the septic is polluting the environment at the date and time the inspection is performed. The inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or local unit of government code requirements.
- 5. Winter Work Client understands that inspections conducted in winter weather conditions are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and frost. Septic system components like tanks, tank covers, drop boxes and soil treatment areas are more difficult to locate in these conditions. Soil borings and drain field locations are also more difficult to perform due to ground frost. The client needs to understand that due to the weather conditions, the same level of standards may not be possible compared to an inspection during the spring/summer/fall months.
- 6. If hired to perform the compliance inspection, the client hereby agrees that SS Septic Solutions, LLC will not be responsible for any monetary damages, claims or causes of action including attorney fees arising from the performance of this inspection.
- 7. Nothing other than gray water (laundry, showers, etc.) human waste and toilet tissue should be disposed of into the septic tanks. Garbage disposals are not recommended. Smaller amounts of laundry, soaps, dish soap, cleaning agents, etc. are better for the system. Antibacterial soaps and chlorine agents may kill the bacteria needed to treat effluent properly. Additives are not recommended and may be harmful to your system. Recommend to pump and clean your tanks by a certified pumper every other year if you have 1 tank and every 2-3 years if you have a 2-tank system to ensure proper maintenance.