# **ZIERKE SOIL TESTING**

Timothy Kuhl 18233 Elmcrest Ave N Forest Lake, MN 55025

10/13/2023

Dear Timothy Kuhl,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your septic system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjanier Zieske

Benjamin Zierke MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346 EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

# **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 3103221320004	Reason for Inspection	Sale
Local regulatory authority info: <u>Washington County</u>		
Property address: 18233 Elmcrest Ave N Forest Lake, MN 55025		
Owner/representative: Timothy Kuhl		_ Owner's phone: <u>320-237-3514</u>
Brief system description: Pre-cast septic tank, pre-cast lift tank, mo	ound dispersal system	

### System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/23/2023

### Compliant – Certificate of compliance\*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

\*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

### Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- □ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) Failing to protect groundwater
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil separation (Compliance component #5) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) Noncompliant local ordinance applies

### Comments or recommendations

Mound is installed off contour with fill soils present on each end of the mound making soil separation determination complicated. There is a dead patch of grass on the top of the mound system - no leakage or saturation was observed during site visit. Homeowner has shared (via his agent) that the grass has always been that way and he's never noted any sewage odors or leaking in the back yard.

# Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system. inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594			
Inspector signature: Binjanin Zierke	License number: <u>119</u>			
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 651-249-1346			
Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)				

Soil observation logs	🛛 System/As-Built	Locally required forms	Tank Integrity Assessment	Operating Permit
Other information (list):				

# 1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

ompliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🖾 No	☐ Other: Not applicable
ystem discharges sewage to drain e or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
ystem causes sewage backup into welling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_
ny "yes" answer above indicates nminent threat to public health an	-	

#### Describe verification methods and results:

None of the above observed.

# 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	:		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	🗌 Yes* 🖾 No	Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Hassle Free		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of maintenance business: 3287			
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	10/16/2023		
		Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be withir	three years)		
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	ment complies with		
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess	sary – explain below)		
		☐ Other:			
	1				

# Describe verification methods and results:

Present for pumping by Hassle Free Septic 10/16/23. Tanks water tight and baffles in place.

### 3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	Describe verification methods and results:		
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.		
3d.	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes*	🖾 No
3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	🗌 Yes*	🖾 No
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		
3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety?	🗌 Yes*	🛛 No 🔲 Unknown
	□ Yes*		
За.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecu	red?	

Attached supporting documentation: 
Not applicable

# **4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP\*** – Compliance component #4 of 5 🛛 Not applicable

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If "yes", A below is required
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	' □ Yes	🗌 No	If "yes", B below is required
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design			

☐ Yes ☐ No

### If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

#### Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?

### Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

### **5.** Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5


Date of installation	7/20/1990 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknow	/n		
not located in Sho Protection Area or beverage or lodgin Drainfield has at le separation distanc saturated soil or be 5b. Non-performance April 1, 1996, or la performance syste or Wellhead Protect	a (select one): prior to April 1, 1996, and reland or Wellhead not serving a food, ag establishment: east a two-foot vertical e from periodically edrock. systems built ter or for non- ems located in Shoreland ction Areas or serving a lodging establishment: ree-foot vertical e from periodically	☐ Yes		Attached supporting documentation:         Soil observation logs completed for th         Two previous verifications of required         Not applicable (No soil treatment area         Indicate depths or elevations         A. Bottom of distribution media         B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock         C. System separation         D. Required compliance separation*         *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	vertical separation 104.1' 100.0-100.7' 3.4-4.1' 2.0'
systems built unde Type IV or V syste Rules 7080. 2350 (Intermediate Insp 2,500 gallons per o License required >	ms built under 2008 or 7080.2400 ector License required ≤ day; Advanced Inspector • 2,500 gallons per day) ne designed vertical e from periodically	Yes	] No*		

\*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

#### Describe verification methods and results:

See attached boring log and elevations.

**Upgrade requirements:** (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



# Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 18233 Elmcrest Ave N Forest Lake, MN 55025 Borings Made by Ben Zierke Date:

10/16/2023

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 2
0-8"	10YR 3/2 sandy loam	0-18"	10YR 3/2 sandy loam, 10YR 6/4 medium sand (mound sand)
8-16"	10YR 4/3 clay loam fill, 7.5YR 5/8 iron stains	18-54"	10YR 5/3 clay loam mixed with 10YR 3/3 sandy loam fill
	*zero separation credit taken	Stop	
End of boring at Standing water table Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not press Comments:	teet of depth Hours atter boring resent in hole <b>X</b> 0 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not <b>Mottled Soil:</b> Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
0		0	
End of boring at <b>Standing water tabl</b> Present at <b>Standing water not p</b> <b>Mottled Soil:</b> Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth