Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: PID #2203120140001, May Twp, MN 55082 - Privy #8

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system. I contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This system (installation date unknown) consists of a privy. This system was not pumped at the time of inspection.

This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank. Privy is currently abandoned and empty and was not pumped at the time of the inspection.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils and because of the cesspool.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: PID #2203120140001	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer		
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	<u> </u>			
Property address: PID #2203120140001 May Twp, MN 55082	? - Privy #8			
Owner/representative: Amherst H. Wilder Foundation/Kelly Ur	•	Owner's phone: 612-240-7333		
Brief system description: This privy was of block construction si				
System status				
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/3/2023				
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	⊠ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and		ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance.		
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be			
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receip of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.			
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicat	ble)			
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	•	and safetv		
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	•	,		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	-	ealth and safety		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	•			
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C				
G dystem not abandoned according to willin. 14. 7000:2000 (O	ompliance component μo_{j}	r anning to proteot groundwater		
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) - Failing to pro				
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro ☐ Operating parality application plan requirements (Compliance	tect groundwater	liant local ordinance applies		
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	tect groundwater	liant - local ordinance applies		
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations	tect groundwater e component #4) – Noncomp			
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	tect groundwater e component #4) – Noncomp			
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank.	tect groundwater e component #4) – Noncomp			
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank. the time of the inspection.	tect groundwater e component #4) – Noncomp			
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank.	tect groundwater e component #4) – Noncomp			
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank. the time of the inspection. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno	tect groundwater c component #4) – Noncomp Privy is currently abandoned to determine the compliance s	d and empty and was not pumped at tatus of this system. No determination of		
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank. the time of the inspection. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered.	tect groundwater c component #4) – Noncomp Privy is currently abandoned to determine the compliance sown conditions during system co	d and empty and was not pumped at tatus of this system. No determination of onstruction, possible abuse of the system,		
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank. the time of the inspection. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true.	tect groundwater c component #4) – Noncomp Privy is currently abandoned to determine the compliance sown conditions during system co	d and empty and was not pumped at tatus of this system. No determination of onstruction, possible abuse of the system,		
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations) This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank, the time of the inspection. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: Midwest Sewer Services Inspector signature:	tect groundwater c component #4) – Noncomp Privy is currently abandoned to determine the compliance sown conditions during system code and correct, to the best of my	d and empty and was not pumped at tatus of this system. No determination of onstruction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be		
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations) This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank. the time of the inspection. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	tect groundwater c component #4) – Noncomp Privy is currently abandoned to determine the compliance sown conditions during system code and correct, to the best of my	tatus of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 5342/9852 License number: L2896		
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations) This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank, the time of the inspection. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: Midwest Sewer Services Inspector signature:	tect groundwater c component #4) – Noncomp Privy is currently abandoned to determine the compliance s own conditions during system co e and correct, to the best of my aned)	d and empty and was not pumped at tatus of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 5342/9852 License number: L2896 Phone: 651-492-7550		
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations) This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank. the time of the inspection. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknot inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: Midwest Sewer Services Inspector signature: This document has been electronically signature.	tect groundwater e component #4) – Noncomp Privy is currently abandoned to determine the compliance s win conditions during system co e and correct, to the best of my aned) pcumentation (must keep)	tatus of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 5342/9852 License number: L2896 Phone: 651-492-7550		

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain ile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates mminent threat to public health ai		
•	•	
Describe verification methods and	results:	
nk integrity – Compliance	e component #2	of 5
	e component #2	
Compliance criteria:	· ·	Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	e component #2 ⊠ Yes* □ No	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· ·	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	· ·	Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· ·	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	⊠ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	⊠ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	⊠ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	✓ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	No No No No All Tanks	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	No No Yes* □ No No All Tanks Cates the system	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	No No Yes* □ No No All Tanks Cates the system	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment com Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	No No Yes* □ No No All Tanks Cates the system	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment com Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – exp
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	No No Yes* □ No No All Tanks Cates the system	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment com Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

800-657-3864

Pro	operty Address: _ PID #2203120140001 May Twp, MN 55082 - Privy #8	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 11/3/2023
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

S	oil separation	Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 of	f 5		
C	Pate of installation	(mm/dd/yyyy)	_⊠ Unkr	nown			
	horeland/Wellhead everage lodging?	protection/Food	⊠ Yes	□No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Soil observation logs completed for		
c	Compliance criteria (select one):				☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation		
_	-	rior to April 1, 1996, and eland or Wellhead not serving a food,	☐ Yes	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment a	-	
	Drainfield has at lease separation distance saturated soil or be						
5	b. <i>Non-performance</i> s		☐ Yes	⊠ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
	April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)		
		od, beverage, or lodging establishment: ainfield has a three-foot vertical paration distance from periodically			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
					C. System separation		
	saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*			
-				*May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance.	allowed by Local		
5	systems built under Type IV or V syster Rules 7080. 2350 o (Intermediate Inspe 2,500 gallons per o	ns built under 2008	☐ Yes	□ No*			
	Drainfield meets the separation distance saturated soil or be	e designed vertical e from periodically					

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

This information will be used for the purpose of conduc	ting an MFCA Comphance inspection.			
Date of Inspection: November 3, 2023	Time: 11:15 AM			
Property Address: PID #2203120140001, May Twp,				
Property Owner: Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	Phone:			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatm □ Septic □ Fiberglass □ Rock treatm □ Aerobic □ Plastic □ Gravelles □ Lift □ Metal □ Chamber □ Holding □ Concrete □ Seepage □ Other: □ Block □ Mound □ Other □ At-grade	Alternative system ss trench			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Yes performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance the ground surface to facilitate access and proper main	ce hole covers should be made accessible to			
Year house built: Unknown Year septic installed: Unknown	nknown Tank size (gals.): Unknown			
	umber of residents in home? N/A			
Number of bedrooms? N/A Are all floors d	rained by gravity? Y			
Garbage disposal? N/A Whir	lpool bath? N/A			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N				
Are there any additional systems on this property servi	ng other buildings? Y			
Location of septic system on lot? Southeast Side of Ma	nintenance Shop			
Location of water well on lot? Unknown	Is the well a deep well? Unknown			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:				
	ame of pumper: Unknown			
How often pumped in previous years? Unknown				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y				
Do you have any additional information that should be	given to the new owner?			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing				
Owner/Occupant:	Date:			

Soil Observations Log

			Pid #22031201400		Twp, M	N 55082 - Pi	ivy #8
Observations Made By: N		Midwest Sewer Ser	vices		Date:		
C	Classific	ation System:	USDA				
Soil Observation:		ST-1	Soil Observation		bservation:		
Elevat	Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as privy		Elevat	face tion of vation			
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered		Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-6 6-12		10YR 4/4	2/2 Silt Loam Silt Loam With & 10YR 6/2 Redox				
6"	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
Same					Elevatio	n Of Observat	ion Relative To System
-54" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media						Distribution Media	
=0"	Of Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	ration	
End	Of Cail (Observation At-	10"	End 04	Cail Ob	comuntion At. I	
		Observation At:	12" 6"			servation At:	
		Conditions At:	_			onditions At:	
Standing Water Present At: None			Stallul	ng wate	r Present At:		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 54 Inches			
Signature:	Chan la		



DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.