### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Date:** November 3, 2023 **Time:** 12:00 PM **Owner:** Amherst H. Wilder Foundation

**Inspection Address:** PID #2303120230002, May Twp, MN 55082 - Privy #9

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system. I contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This system (installation date unknown) consists of a privy. This system was not pumped at the time of inspection.

This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank. Privy is currently abandoned and empty and was not pumped at the time of the inspection.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils and because of the cesspool.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking	number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: PID #2303120230002	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: PID #2203120140001 May Twp, MN 55082	- Privy #9		
Owner/representative: Amherst H. Wilder Foundation/Kelly Uri	Owner's phone: 612-240-7333		
Brief system description: This privy was of block construction significantly and the system description in the system description in the system description.	milar to a cesspool tank.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/3/2023		_	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	⊠ Noncompliant – Notic	ce of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)		health and safety (ITPHS) must be	
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immil	nent threat to public health a	nd safety	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ct groundwater		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Imminent threat to public he	ealth and safety	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Failing to protect groundwa	ter	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) -	Failing to protect groundwater	
⊠ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot	tect groundwater		
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) - Noncomp	liant - local ordinance applies	
Comments or recommendations			
This privy was of block construction similar to a cesspool tank. the time of the inspection.	Privy is currently abandoned	d and empty and was not pumped at	
Certification	As also have in a thing a small in a said	katan afabia ayatan Na datamain tiga af	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be	
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852	
Inspector signature: Brian Thempal Man 1		License number: L2896	
(This document has been electronically sign		Phone: 651-492-7550	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)	
<ul><li>☑ Soil observation logs</li><li>☑ System/As-Built</li><li>☑ Locally red</li><li>☑ Other information (list):</li><li>Report Summary, Property Information</li></ul>	· -	ity Assessment	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

npact on public health – Co	ompliance comp	onent #1 of 5
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health at		
Describe verification methods and	d results:	
<b>ank integrity</b> – Compliance	e component #2	of 5
	component #2	
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit,	e component #2	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	⊠ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation:  □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	⊠ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation:  □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	⊠ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation:  □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	⊠ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	⊠ Yes* □ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?		Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indices.		Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complement of the complement
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indices.		Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complement R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indices.		Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complement of the complement
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indices.		Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complement R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Pro	perty Address: PID #2203120140001 May Twp, MN 55082 - Privy #9	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 11/3/2023
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
_		( <b>.</b>
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

<b>Soil separation</b> – Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 o	15		
Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🛛 Unkr	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes	□No	Attached supporting documentation	:	
beverage lodging?			oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of require	d vertical separation	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	ea)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		Yes ⊠ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			C. System separation		
			D. Required compliance separation*		
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance.	llowed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

# Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for all the second of the s

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.					
Date of Inspection: November 3, 2023	Time: 12:00 PM				
Property Address: PID #2303120230002, May Twp, Privy #9	Zip: 55082				
Property Owner: Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	Phone:				
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic       Fiberglass       Rock trench         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       At-grade	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system _ Other system Privy (Type II)				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ☐ Yes ☐ No *If r					
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cove	ers should be made accessible to				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the	he system.				
Year house built: Unknown Year septic installed: Unknown					
How long has seller owned the property?  Unknown  Number of res	sidents in home? N/A				
Number of bedrooms? N/A Are all floors drained by gr	ravity? Y				
Garbage disposal? N/A Whirlpool bath?	N/A				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N					
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N					
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other but	ildings? Y				
Location of septic system on lot? East Side of Campground/Shower					
Location of water well on lot? Unknown Is the	well a deep well? Unknown				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; to the system?  If yes, explain:	; or have any repairs been made				
	per: Unknown				
How often pumped in previous years? Unknown  Is system on a monitoring plan?					
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y					
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I als this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all wor by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in				

Date:

Owner/Occupant:

# **Soil Observations Log**

		Pid #23031202300		/ Twp, <b>I</b>	MN 55082 - I	Privy #9
		Midwest Sewer Ser	vices		Date:	
Classi	fication System:	USDA				
9	Soil Observation:	ST-1		Soil O	bservation:	
Surface Elevation o Observation	Juine groun	Same ground surface as privy		face ion of vation		
Depth In Inches Rock	% Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-8 8-24 24-30	10YR 3/4 F 7.5YR 4/4 F Refu Based On Dept Observations	Fine Sandy Loam Fine Sandy Loam Fine Sandy Loam Fine Sandy Loam  Isal At 30"  The Art Solication of the Sand Solication of System and Solication of Sandy Location of Sandy Lo				
Dent	<u> </u>	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	L o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
		n Relative To System				tion Relative To System
	,					Distribution Media
	eparation	Scribation Media		Of Sepa		Distribution Media
	•					
End Of Sc	oil Observation At:	30"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	
Limiting S	Soil Conditions At:	None	Limitin	g Soil C	onditions At:	
Standing	Water Present At:	None	Standi	ng Wate	r Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 94 Inches		
Signature:	Color Ola	



## **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.