## **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Date:** November 3, 2023 **Time:** 3:00 PM **Owner:** Amherst H. Wilder Foundation

**Inspection Address:** PID #2303120220002, May Twp, MN 55082 - Privy #5

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system. I contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This system (installation date unknown) consists of a privy. This system was not pumped at the time of inspection.

Privy is currently abandoned and I was not able to access at the time of the inspection. The privy is likely the same construction as the other privies and does not have a solid bottom.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking	number:			
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: PID #2303120220002  Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer			
Property address: PID #2303120220002, May Twp, MN 55082	2 - Privv #5				
Owner/representative: Amherst H. Wilder Foundation/Kelly Ur Brief system description: Privy	•	Owner's phone: 612-240-7333			
System status					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _ 11/3/2023					
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	Noncompliant − Noti	ce of noncompliance			
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.				
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)  *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its us	health and safety (ITPHS) must be se discontinued within ten months of receipt rter period if required by local ordinance or ivision 8.			
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)				
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	nent threat to public health a	and safety			
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ect groundwater				
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public h	ealth and safety			
$\hfill \Box$ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	<ul> <li>Failing to protect groundwa</li> </ul>	ater			
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C	ompliance component #3) -	Failing to protect groundwater			
⊠ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro	tect groundwater				
$\hfill \square$ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) - Noncomp	liant - local ordinance applies			
Comments or recommendations					
Privy is currently abandoned and I was not able to access at the	e time of the inspection.				
The privy is likely the same construction as the other privies and	d does not have a solid botto	om			
Coulification					
Certification					
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.					
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be			
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852			
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (Africa)	<u></u>	License number: L2896			
(This document has been electronically sig	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550			
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	oe attached)			
<ul> <li>Soil observation logs</li> <li>System/As-Built</li> <li>Locally red</li> <li>Other information (list):</li> <li>Report Summary, Property Information</li> </ul>	•	rity Assessment			
Es Carer anomiation (list). Teport Guillinary, Froperty illionna	aion, Discialinei				

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

ss Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: 11/3/2023
npact on public health – Co	ompliance comp	ponent #1 of 5
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		
Describe verification methods and	results:	
ankintanitu. Canadianaa	20 man a m a m t #2	of C
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5
	component #2	
Compliance criteria:	•	Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	component #2  □ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	•	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ All Tanks  ates the system	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ All Tanks  ates the system	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ All Tanks  ates the system	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ All Tanks  ates the system er.	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ All Tanks  ates the systemer.  d results:	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain)  Other:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.  Describe verification methods and Privy is currently abandoned and I were supported to the series of the series	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ All Tanks  ates the system er.  d results: as not able to access	Attached supporting documentation:    Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector   Name of maintenance business:   License number of maintenance business:   Date of maintenance:   Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)   Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))   Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain   Other:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ All Tanks  ates the system er.  d results: as not able to access	Attached supporting documentation:    Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector   Name of maintenance business:   License number of maintenance business:   Date of maintenance:   Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)   Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))   Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain   Other:

Pro	pperty Address: PID #2303120220002, May Twp, MN 55082 - Privy #5	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 11/3/2023
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
	Out of the second state of	. f
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us 651-296-6300 wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Soil separation – Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 o	Date: <u>11</u>		
Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy)	_⊠ Unkr	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes	☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?			$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separati	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	☐ Yes	☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)	
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:					
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		s 🗵 No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*		
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Use your preferred relay service

# Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the number of condition of the property of the property

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: November 3, 2023	Time: 3:00 PM			
Property Address: PID #2303120220002, May Twp, Privy #5	Zip: 55082			
Property Owner: Amherst H. Wilder Foundation	Phone:			
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic       Fiberglass       Rock trench         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       At-grade	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system Privy (Type II)			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ☐ Yes ☐ No *If	no, proper maintenance must be			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cov	ers should be made accessible to			
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of				
	Tank size (gals.): Unknown			
How long has seller owned the property?  Unknown  Number of residents in home? N/A				
Number of bedrooms? N/A Are all floors drained by g	ravity? Y			
Garbage disposal? N/A Whirlpool bath?	N/A			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	s connected to this system? N			
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other but	ildings? Y			
Location of septic system on lot? South				
Location of water well on lot? Unknown  Is the well a deep well? Unknown				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as				
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made				
to the system? If yes, explain:				
	nper: Unknown			
How often pumped in previous years? Unknown				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?			
hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing				

Date:

Owner/Occupant:

7 of 10
Privy #5
ST-1

NO SCALE PID #2303120220002, May Twp, MN 55082-Privy #5



# **Soil Observations Log**

	Locati	on of Project:	PID #23031202200	002, Ma	y Twp,	MN 55082-P	rivy #5
Ol	servati	ons Made By:	Midwest Sewer Ser	vices		Date:	11/3/2023
C	Classific	ation System:	USDA				
	Soil	Observation:	ST-1		Soil O	bservation:	
Surface Elevation of Observation Same ground surface as privy		Surf Elevat Obser	ion of				
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered		Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Encountered
0-8 8-14 14-25	≈25	10YR 4 7.5YR 4/4 L Refu  Based On Depti Observations	2/2 Silt Loam 2/4 Silt Loam 2-oam With Gravel 2-isal At 25"  The Of System and Soil At Other Locations, lot Have Seperation				
25"	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System					ion Relative To System		
-54-94" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media			Depth T	o Bottom Of I	Distribution Media		
=0"	Of Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	ration	
ات جا	Of Call (	Observation At-	25"	End 06	Cail OF	comunica Aril	
		Observation At:				servation At:	
		Conditions At:	None			onditions At:	
Standing Water Present At: None		Standi	ng wate	r Present At:			

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: ≈54-94" Per Other Privies				
Signature:	Office the			

## **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.