## **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Date:** November 7, 2023 **Time:** 1:15 PM **Owner:** Amherst H. Wilder Foundation

**Inspection Address:** PID #1403120310002 May Twp, MN 55082 – Farmhouse

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system. I contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This system (installation date unknown) consists of a pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. This system was not pumped at the time of inspection.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

**Brian Humpal** 

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

| Property information   | Local tracking  | number:   |
|--|---|---|
| Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: PID #1403120310002  | Reason for Inspection   | Property Transfer   |
| Local regulatory authority info: Washington County   |   |   |
| Property address: PID #1403120310002, May Twp, MN 55082  | ?-Farmhouse   |   |
| Owner/representative: Amherst H. Wilder Foundation/Kelly Uri   |   | Owner's phone: 612-240-7333   |
| Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift ta   |   |   |
| System status  |   |   |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/7/2023  |   |   |
| ☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*   | ⊠ Noncompliant – Noti   | co of noncompliance   |
|  |   | •   |
| (Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or   |   | ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.  |
| *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.  | upgraded, replaced, or its us   | health and safety (ITPHS) must be<br>se discontinued within ten months of receipt<br>ter period if required by local ordinance or<br>ivision 8.   |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab  | ole)  |   |
| ☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immin ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3)   | nent threat to public health a<br>ct groundwater<br>- Imminent threat to public h<br>- Failing to protect groundwa  | ealth and safety<br>ater  |
| <ul> <li>Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot</li> <li>□ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations</li> </ul> Certification   | =   | liant - local ordinance applies   |
| ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance  Comments or recommendations  Certification  | component #4) – Noncomp   |   |
| ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations  | component #4) – Noncomp  to determine the compliance s  | tatus of this system. No determination of   |
| ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance  Comments or recommendations  Certification  I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown.  | to determine the compliance so  | tatus of this system. No determination of<br>onstruction, possible abuse of the system,   |
| Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations  Certification  I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true  | to determine the compliance so  | tatus of this system. No determination of<br>onstruction, possible abuse of the system,   |
| Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations  Certification  I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowinadequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.                           | to determine the compliance so  | tatus of this system. No determination of onstruction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be  |
| Certification  I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.  Business name: Midwest Sewer Services  | to determine the compliance so<br>wn conditions during system co<br>and correct, to the best of my  | tatus of this system. No determination of onstruction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be  Certification number: 5342/9852   |
| Certification  Thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.  Business name: Midwest Sewer Services  Inspector signature:   | to determine the compliance so<br>wn conditions during system co<br>and correct, to the best of my  | tatus of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be  Certification number: 5342/9852  License number: L2896 Phone: 651-492-7550 |
| Certification  I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.  By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.  Business name: Midwest Sewer Services  Inspector signature:  (This document has been electronically signature) | to determine the compliance sown conditions during system conditions during system conditions to the best of my and correct, to the best of my med)  Cumentation (must be | tatus of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be  Certification number: 5342/9852  License number: L2896 Phone: 651-492-7550 |

| Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  □ License number of maintenance business: □ Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years of the system is failing to protect groundwater.  (See form instructions to ensure assessment compliance)  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))   | ty Address: PID #1403120310002, Mass Name: Midwest Sewer Services   | , ,   | Date: 11/7/2023  |
|--|---|---|--|
| System discharges sewage to the ground surface  System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  None of the above found.  Attached supporting documentation:    Other:     Not applicable  | nnact on public health – C  | ompliance com   | nonent #1 of 5   |
| ground surface   |   |   |  |
| System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  None of the above found.  Attached supporting documentation:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  System causes sewage to drain the yes. So no dwell and safety.  Sewage tank(s) leaks:  Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance (muridd/yyyy):  (must be within three year (must be within three year (see form instructions to ensure assessment complination).  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complination).   |   | ☐ Yes* ☒ No   | <del></del>  |
| Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  None of the above found.  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (must be within three year (See form instructions to ensure assessment compling failing to protect groundwater.  |   | ☐ Yes* ☒ No   |  |
| Describe verification methods and results:  None of the above found.    Compliance criteria:   |   | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No   |  |
| Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  □ License number of maintenance business: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years of the system is failing to protect groundwater.)  Attached supporting documentation: □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years of the system is failing to protect groundwater.)   | imminent threat to public health a Describe verification methods and  | nd safety.  |  |
| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.    System consists of a seepage pit, cess   No   Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector     Name of maintenance business:     License number of maintenance business:     Date of maintenance   Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)     Date of maintenance   (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years of the system is failing to protect groundwater.)    System consists of a seepage pit, cess   No     Date of maintenance   (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years of the system is failing to protect groundwater.)   |   |   |  |
| System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.    System consists of a seepage pit, cess No   Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector     Name of maintenance business:     License number of maintenance business:     Date of maintenance     (must be within three years of the system of the |   |   |  |
| cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complision of the pitch of the p  | <b>ink integrity</b> – Compliance   | e component #2  | of 5   |
| Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years is failing to protect groundwater.  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complishment. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))   |   | e component #2  |  |
| Date of maintenance:    Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)   Date of maintenance  | Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,  |   | Attached supporting documentation:  □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  |
| Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)    Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years   Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. (See form instructions to ensure assessment compliance (Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  | Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  | ☐ Yes* ☑ No   | Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:   |
| If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment compliments) (See form instructions to ensure assessment compliments) (Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  | Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their   | ☐ Yes* ☑ No   | Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  |
| If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years of the system is failing to protect groundwater.  (See form instructions to ensure assessment compliance of the system is failing to protect groundwater.   | Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their   | ☐ Yes* ☑ No   | Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  |
| is failing to protect groundwater.  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  | Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their   | ☐ Yes* ☑ No   | Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)   |
| ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain  | Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?   | ☐ Yes* ☑ No   | Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  |
| □ Other:   | Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.                                 | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No                             | Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies)   |
| Describe verification methods and results:   | Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.                                 | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No                             | Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain to  |
|  | Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicing is failing to protect groundward. | ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Cates the system ter.     | Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  |
| Drainfield was found non-compliant, therefore the tank was not pumped and inspected at the time of inspection  | Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicing is failing to protect groundward. | ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ A system ter.  d results: | Attached supporting documentation:    Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector   Name of maintenance business:   License number of maintenance business:   Date of maintenance:   Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)   Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)   (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))   Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain)   Other: |

| Pro | operty Address: PID #1403120310002, May Twp, MN 55082-Farmhouse                                       |                               |
|-----|---|-------------------------------|
|     | siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services   | Date: 11/7/2023               |
|     |   |                               |
| 3.  | Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5  |                               |
|     | 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns        | ecured?                       |
|     | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown   |                               |
|     | 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe | ty? ☐ Yes*      No ☐ Unknown  |
|     | *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.                          |                               |
|     | 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?         | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No                   |
|     | 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?                                       | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No                   |
|     | *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.  |                               |
|     | Describe verification methods and results:  |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     | Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
| 4.  | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of                                       | of 5 🛛 Not applicable         |
|     | Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   | If "yes", A below is required |
|     | Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes  No             | -                             |
|     | BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design                                      |                               |
|     | If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete                    | d.                            |
|     | Compliance criteria:  |                               |
|     | a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?   |                               |
|     | b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes  No                          |                               |
|     | Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.  |                               |
|     | Describe verification methods and results:  |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     |   |                               |
|     | Attached supporting documentation:  |                               |

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

| <b>Soil separation</b> – Compliance cor  | пропе    | 111 #5 0 | 1 3  |                               |
|--|----------|----------|--|-------------------------------|
| Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy)  | _ 🛛 Unkr | nown     |  |                               |
| Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?   | ⊠ Yes    | ☐ No     | Attached supporting documentation                | 1                             |
| beverage loughly :   |          |          | Soil observation logs completed for     ■        | the report                    |
| Compliance criteria (select one):  |          |          | ☐ Two previous verifications of require          | d vertical separati           |
| 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food.  |          | □ No*    | ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are          | ea)                           |
| beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.   |          |          |  |                               |
| 5b. Non-performance systems built  | ☐ Yes ⊠  | ⊠ No*    | Indicate depths or elevations                    |                               |
| April 1, 1996, or later or for non-<br>performance systems located in Shoreland<br>or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a   |          |          | A. Bottom of distribution media                  | See Attached<br>Boring Log(s) |
| food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  |          |          | B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock           |                               |
| Drainfield has a three-foot vertical   |          |          | C. System separation                             |                               |
| separation distance from periodically<br>saturated soil or bedrock.*   |          |          | D. Required compliance separation*               |                               |
|  |          |          | *May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance. | llowed by Local               |
| 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) | ☐ Yes    | □ No*    |  |                               |
| Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  |          |          |  |                               |

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

# Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

| This information will be used for the purpose of c   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Date of Inspection: November 7, 2023   | Time: 1:15 PM  |  |  |
| Property Address: PID #1403120310002, Farmho   |  |  |  |
| Property Owner: Amherst H. Wilder Foundation   | n Phone:   |  |  |
| Septic 1 ☐ Fiberglass ☐ Roc   ☐ Aerobic ☐ Plastic ☐ Gra   ☐ Lift ☐ Metal ☐ Cha   | deatment System Other   lk trench Alternative system   velless trench Experimental system   lmber trench Cesspool system   page bed Other system |  |  |
| Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \( \subseteq \text{Y} \) performed through the maintenance holes. Mainte the ground surface to facilitate access and proper r  | nance hole covers should be made accessible to naintenance of the system.  |  |  |
| Year house built: Unknown Year septic installed  | d: Unknown Tank size (gals.): Unknown  |  |  |
| How long has seller owned the property?<br>Unknown   | Number of residents in home? Unknown   |  |  |
|  | ors drained by gravity? Unknown  |  |  |
| $\mathcal{E}$ 1  | Whirlpool bath? Unknown  |  |  |
| More than one system (laundry, etc.)? Unknown  |  |  |  |
| Does this property have any footing drain tiles con  | nected to the septic system? Unknown   |  |  |
| Are any buildings on this property such as garages   |  |  |  |
| Are there any additional systems on this property s  | serving other buildings? Y   |  |  |
| Location of septic system on lot? East Side  |  |  |  |
| Location of water well on lot? Unknown   | Is the well a deep well? Unknown   |  |  |
| Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system?  If yes, explain:  |  |  |  |
| When was the system last pumped? Unknown   | Name of pumper: Unknown  |  |  |
| How often pumped in previous years? Unknown  Is system on a monitoring plan?   |  |  |  |
| Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?   |  |  |  |
| Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y   |  |  |  |
| Do you have any additional information that shoul  | d be given to the new owner?   |  |  |
| I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing | inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the n completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in                              |  |  |
| Owner/Occupant:  | Date:  |  |  |

# **Soil Observations Log**

|                            | Location of Project: PID #1403120310002, May Twp, MN 55082-Farmhouse            |                                       |   |                           |             |                    |                         |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Ol                         | Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser   |                                       |   |                           | Date:       | 11/7/2023          |                         |
|                            | Classific   | ation System:                         | USDA  |                           |             |                    |                         |
|                            | Soil Observation: ST-1  |                                       |   | Soil C                    | bservation: |                    |                         |
| Elevat                     | Surface Elevation of Observation  Same ground surface as last drainfield trench |                                       |   | face<br>tion of<br>vation |             |                    |                         |
| Depth In<br>Inches         | Rock %  | Soils E                               | ncountered  | Depth In<br>Inches        | Rock %      | Soils              | Encountered             |
| 0-28<br>28-33              |   | Sma<br>10YR 3/4                       | Silt Loam With<br>all Cobbles<br>Silt Loam With<br>& 10YR 6/2 Redox |                           |             |                    |                         |
| 28"                        | Depth T   | o End Of Soil O                       | bservation Or Redox   |                           | Depth T     | o End Of Soil      | Observation Or Redox    |
|                            |   | ion Of Observation Relative To System |   |                           |             |                    | tion Relative To System |
| -48"                       |   |                                       |   |                           |             | Distribution Media |                         |
| =0"                        | Of Sepa   | ration                                |   |                           | Of Sepa     | ration             |                         |
| Fnd                        | Of Soil (   | Observation At:                       | 33"   | Fnd ∩f                    | Soil Oh     | servation At:      |                         |
|                            |   | Conditions At:                        | 28"   |                           |             | onditions At:      |                         |
|                            |   | iter Present At:                      | None  |                           |             | r Present At:      |                         |
| Standing water Fresent At. |   |                                       |   |                           |             |                    |                         |

| Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 48 Inches |          |  |  |
|---|----------|--|--|
|   |          |  |  |
| Signature:                                  | Color Va |  |  |



## **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.