

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## **Compliance Inspection Form**

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

	·
<b>Inspection results</b> based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system ow within 15 days	rner
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 9/10/2016	
•	compliant – Notice of Noncompliance pgrade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to p	ublic health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Immir	
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) — Failing to protect grou	
<ul> <li>☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing</li> <li>☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect group</li> </ul>	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Compliance Compl	•
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Tw	/p/Range: _33.031.21.44.0003
	eason for inspection: Property sale
Property owner: Peter & Constance Koehn Ov	wner's phone: 651-278-6749
or	
Owner's representative:	epresentative phone:
Local regulatory authority: Washington County Re	egulatory authority phone: 651-430-6673
Brief system description: 2 septic tanks, pump tank and gravity drainfield.	
Comments or recommendations:	
Cautification	
Certification	. It was the second to be a fitted as a fi
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to a possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
Inspector name: Tom Trooien C	ertification number: 323
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	License number: 1568
Inspector signature: 7007000	Phone number: 612-594-4496
Nacconnection I continue the manufacture of Afficiency	
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
	ms per local ordinance
Other information (list):	

Cor	npliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
Syst	tem discharges sewage to the und surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☒ Searched for surface outlet ☒ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home		
Syst	tem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	<ul><li>Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li><li>Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li></ul>		
	tem causes sewage backup into illing or establishment.	☐ Yes 🖾 No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping		
sys	y "yes" answer above indi stem is an imminent threat alth and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	nk Integrity - Compliance	component #2 of 5	Verification method(s):		
	mpliance criteria:				
	stem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes   No	<ul><li>☒ Probed tank(s) bottom</li><li>☒ Examined construction records</li></ul>		
See con	apage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be npliant if allowed in local ordinance.		<ul> <li>Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> </ul>		
Set	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.	☐ Yes   No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)		
	sidited obetaution debut	l	Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"		
des If y	ves, which sewage tank(s) leaks:				
If y			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
If y Ar	res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above ind		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
If y  Ar	res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above industem is failing to protect g		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
If y  Ar	res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above industem is failing to protect g		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
If y Ar sy Co	res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above industem is failing to protect go  mments/Explanation:	roundwater.	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation		
des If y Ar Sy Co	res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above industem is failing to protect go  mments/Explanation:  ther Compliance Condition	ns – Compliance co	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  mponent #3 of 5		
If y Ar sy Co	res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above industem is failing to protect gomments/Explanation:  ther Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dam  Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	ens – Compliance con naged, cracked, unsecu	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  Imponent #3 of 5  Imponent #3 of 5		
If y Ar Sy Co	res, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  ny "yes" answer above industem is failing to protect gomments/Explanation:  ther Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dame	ens – Compliance con naged, cracked, unsecu	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  Imponent #3 of 5  Imponent #3 of 5		
If y Ar sy Co	ther Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dam  Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.  *System is an imminent threat:  Explain:	ens – Compliance conaged, cracked, unsecu	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Uniteresty impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Uniteresty.		
desilf y Arr sy Co	ther Compliance Condition  Maintenance hole covers are dam  Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.  *System is an imminent threat:  Explain:	ens – Compliance conaged, cracked, unsecuto public health and secuted water for other conditions.	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)  mponent #3 of 5  red, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unitersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unitersely.		

Property address: 12180 Upper Heather Lane, Hugo MN 55038

Inspector initials/Date: TT | 9/10/2016

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats

Page 2 of 3

te of installation: 10/26/1990	Unknown	Verifica	tion method(s):		
(mm/dd/yyyy) poreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage dging? ompliance criteria:	☐ Yes ☑ No	observati unless si	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.		
····	☐ Yes ☐ No	Cond     Con	☑ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)		
or systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and ot located in Shoreland or Wellhead	I rea I 140		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)		
Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Not a	☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		
everage or lodging establishment:			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)		
Orainfield has at least a two-foot vertical eparation distance from periodically attrated soil or bedrock.		Other			
Non-performance systems built April 1,	⊠ Yes □ No	Comme	Comments/Explanation:		
1996, or later or for non-performance		0-6 loan	0-6 loam topsoil 10 YR 3/2		
systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,		6-37 sil	6-37 silt loam10 YR 4/3		
beverage, or lodging establishment:		37-56 fi	37-56 fine sand7.5 YR 5/3		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		56-82 sa	andy clay Ioam10 YR	: 5/4	
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicat	Indicate depths or elevations		
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector			om of distribution media	30-36"	
License required)	C. Syst		dically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical			em separation	46"	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			uired compliance separation*	24"	
Any "no" answer above indicates failing to protect groundwater.  5. Operating Permit and Nitroge		Ordina	:	T if allowed by Local	
Is the system operated under an Operating			If "yes", A below is red	quired	
Is the system required to employ a Nitrog		Yes 🛛 No			
BMP = Best Management Practice(s			•		
			and to be completed		
If the answer to both questions is	"no", this section	goes not n	leed to be combionor.		
Compliance criteria					
a. Operating Permit number: n/a			☐ Yes ☐ No		
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?		☐ Les ☐ 140		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in pla		tioning?	☐ Yes ☐ No		

Inspector initials/Date: TT | 9/10/2016

TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 www.pca.state.mn.us • Page 3 of 3 wa-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14

Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas,

