

Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194 **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner which its data intal determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

roperty information	Local tracking	number:
arcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 29.029.20.22.0007	Reason for Inspection	property sale
ocal regulatory authority info: Washington County		
operty address: 1890 Newberry Ave N West Lakeland Twp,	MN 55082	
wner/representative: Charlie Anderson		Owner's phone: 612-987-9302
rief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and pump tank	lifting to a gravity rock trenct	h drainfield.
ystem status		
ystem status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/12/2023		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	Noncompliant − Noti	ce of noncompliance
lalid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an nminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and batement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	use discontinued within the	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance. health and safety (ITPHS) must be
shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	uparaded, replaced, or its us	se discontinued within ten months of receip.
Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a sho under section 145A.04 subd	rter period if required by local ordinance or
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applical	ble)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1		health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor		
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor		
Uther Compliance Conditions (Compliance Compo	nent #3) – Falling to protect (grounawater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080	nent #3) – <i>Falling to protect g</i> .2500 (Compliance compone	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080	.2500 (Compliance compone	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Faili	.2500 (Compliance compone ng to protect groundwater	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance) 	.2500 (Compliance compone ng to protect groundwater	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations 	.2500 (Compliance componency of to protect groundwater ompliance component #4) – I	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance) 	.2500 (Compliance componency of to protect groundwater ompliance component #4) – I	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co Comments or recommendations 	.2500 (Compliance componency of to protect groundwater ompliance component #4) – I	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co Comments or recommendations 	.2500 (Compliance componency of to protect groundwater ompliance component #4) – I	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations 	.2500 (Compliance componency of to protect groundwater ompliance component #4) – I	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations 	.2500 (Compliance componency of to protect groundwater ompliance component #4) – I	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection & pumping recommendations 	.2500 (Compliance componency of to protect groundwater ompliance component #4) – I	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
□ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection & pumping recommendations Thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowing description maintenance, or future water usage.	.2500 (Compliance component groundwater ampliance component #4) – from the cords on file at Washington Code to determine the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance of the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance of the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance of the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance of	ent #3) — Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies County. Status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system.
□ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection & pumping recommendations hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true.	.2500 (Compliance component groundwater ampliance component #4) – from the cords on file at Washington Code to determine the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance of the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance of the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance of the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance of	ent #3) — Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies County. Status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system. y knowledge, and that this information can be
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations) Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection & pumping recommendations hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be trusted for the purpose of processing this form.	.2500 (Compliance component groundwater ampliance component #4) – from the cords on file at Washington Code to determine the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance of the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance of the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance of the compliance own conditions during system of the compliance of	ent #3) — Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies County. Status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system. y knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 323
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Cocomments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection & pumping recommendations hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered auture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown and a system performance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be truested for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: Tom Trooien	.2500 (Compliance component to protect groundwater simpliance component #4) – fictorial of the determine the compliance own conditions during system of the and correct, to the best of many conditions to the best of many conditions.	ent #3) — Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies County. Status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system. y knowledge, and that this information can be constructed. Certification number: 323 License number: 1568
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Cocomments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection & pumping recommendations hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered uture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	.2500 (Compliance component to protect groundwater simpliance component #4) – fictorial of the determine the compliance own conditions during system of the and correct, to the best of many conditions to the best of many conditions.	ent #3) — Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies County. Status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system. y knowledge, and that this information can be constructed. Certification number: 323 License number: 1568
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection & pumping recommendations Thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown and the pumping my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: Tom Trooien (This document has been electronically some companies of processing the services of the purpose of processing this form. Necessary or locally required supporting designations.	2500 (Compliance component to protect groundwater ampliance component #4) – from the cords on file at Washington Counciliance cown conditions during system of the and correct, to the best of management of the counciliance counciliance and correct, to the best of management of the counciliance counciliance counciliance counciliance counciliance counciliance counciliance counciliance compliance counciliance counciliance component counciliance component counciliance component counciliance component council c	ent #3) — Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies County. Status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system. y knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 323 License number: 1568 Phone: 612-594-449
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations) Reviewed design, permit, soil, inspection & pumping recommendations I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowing the maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: Tom Trooien	2500 (Compliance component to protect groundwater ampliance component #4) – from the cords on file at Washington Counciliance cown conditions during system of the and correct, to the best of management of the counciliance counciliance and correct, to the best of management of the counciliance counciliance counciliance counciliance counciliance counciliance counciliance counciliance compliance counciliance counciliance component counciliance component counciliance component counciliance component council c	ent #3) — Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies County. Status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system. y knowledge, and that this information can be constructed in the construction number: 323 License number: 1568 Phone: 612-594-449

npact on public health — Co	mpliance comp	onent #1 of 5
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes' ☑ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	_ ,,
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes′ 🏻 No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above observed.		
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
	1	
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Name of maintenance business: Pinky's
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Name of maintenance business: Pinky's License number of maintenance business: 1613
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business: Pinky's License number of maintenance business: 1613 Date of maintenance: 11/12/2023
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their		Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: 11/12/2023 Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?		Name of maintenance business: Pinky's License number of maintenance business: 1613 Date of maintenance: 11/12/2023
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes ☑ No	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes ☑ No	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwat	☐ Yes ☑ No	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain by
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods an	□ Yes ☑ No tates the system ter. d results:	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain but other:
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwat. Describe verification methods an The tanks were at normal operating the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, co.	☐ Yes ☑ No Pates the system fer. d results: level, then were pumpovers, baffles & mainte	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be other:
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwate. Describe verification methods an	☐ Yes ☑ No Pates the system fer. d results: level, then were pumpovers, baffles & mainte	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be other:
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwat. Describe verification methods an The tanks were at normal operating the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, co.	☐ Yes ☑ No Pates the system fer. d results: level, then were pumpovers, baffles & mainte	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be other:
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwat. Describe verification methods an The tanks were at normal operating the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, co.	☐ Yes ☑ No Pates the system fer. d results: level, then were pumpovers, baffles & mainte	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be other:
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwat. Describe verification methods an The tanks were at normal operating the empty tanks - bottoms, walls, co.	☐ Yes ☑ No Pates the system fer. d results: level, then were pumpovers, baffles & mainte	Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain be other:

roperty Address:1890 Newberry Ave N West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082 usiness Name:All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 11/12/2023
Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	ecured?
☐ Yes® ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet	y? ☐ Yes´ ☒ No ☐ Unknow
"Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes [®] ☒ No
'Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	-
	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 c	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No	If "yes", A below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete.	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Some the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Some the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is requirently for the second of the sec

Date of installation 1986 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkn	own		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Soil observation logs completed for the	e report
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separation
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area☐)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes	☐ No³	Indicate depths or elevations	<u> </u>
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland			A. Bottom of distribution media	2.8
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	1.2
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation	0
separation distance from periodically			D. Required compliance separation*	2.0
saturated soil or bedrock.*			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)		□ No [*]		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
"Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater.	system	is		
Describe verification methods and results:				

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law. and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law. and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

1890 NEWBERRY AVEN W.LAKELAND TUP, MN × ELL 11-12-23 S in S HOUSE SEPTIC TANK ORAINFIELD 0 PUMP TANK \$ T



Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 03.15.2023

											1 1 11111	[474 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0003
ent:		ָב	Charlie Anderson	erson				Location / Address:		U Newberry A	ve N west Lo	1890 Newberry Ave N West Laketand Twp, Min 33002	70000
_ parent mat	parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that a	apply)	Oppo]Outwash	Lacustrine	Trill [Till Alluvium B	Bedrock	Organic Matter	Disturbed/Fill	ed/Fill	
dscape Position:	tion:				Slope %:		Slope shape:			Flo	oding/Run-0	Flooding/Run-On potential:	
egetation:				Soil st	Soil survey map units:	units:			Surf	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	Relative to b	benchmark:	
e/Time of L	e/Time of Day/Weather Conditions:	onditions:									Limiting Layer Elevation:	r Elevation:	
Observation	Observation #/Location:	B-1	-					Observa	Observation Type:			Auger	
		Rock				- 0		()			Structure		
epth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrix	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape		Grade	Consistence	nce
0-12	Silt Loam	<35	10YR	3/2									
12-18	Silt Loam	<35	10YR 4/3	4/3									
18-30	Silt Loam	<35	10YR	4/4	7.5YR	2/8	Concentrations	\$2					
												i i	
	, T. C.												
omments:	Redox at 18"	ompleted	this work	in accords	ance with	all applica	omments: Redox at 18". معروبات Redox at 18" accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.	ules and laws.					
ieleby celti	iy ulat - ilave						S		1568	α		11/12/23	23
(Des brional Verif	Tom Troolen (Designer/Inspector) Signature) Stional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according	r) by certify th bedrock at t	= lat this soil the propose	l observativ ed soil trea	on was veri trent and	Signature) Tified according	Tom Troolen (Designer/Inspector) Stignature) Stignature according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. Stignaturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	 32.0500 subp. 3 A	•	e #) ture below rep	oresents an in	(Licerse #) The signature below represents an infield verification of the) f the
<u>(160</u> / <u>(1</u>	(LGU/Designer/Inspector)	tor)				(Signature)	(3)		(Cert #)	#		(Date)	



Soil Observation Log

v 03.15.2023 Project ID:

Client.		2	Charlie Anderson	erson			Local	Location / Address:	1890 New	berry Ave N	West Lakel	1890 Newberry Ave N West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082	
Soil parent ma	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that a	tpply)	Outv	Outwash	Lacustrine	Loess Till] Alluvium	Bedrock Organi	Organic Matter	Disturbed/Fill		
Landscape Position:	ition:				Slope %:		Slope shape:			Flooding	Flooding/Run-On potential:	otential:	
Veoetation:				Soil su	Soil survey map units:	units:			Surface Ele	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	tive to benc	chmark:	
Date/Time of	Date/Time of Day/Weather Conditions:	onditions:								Limitir	Limiting Layer Elevation:	evation:	
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	B-2	2					Observation Type:	on Type:		AL	Auger	
		Avva								I St	Structure]	
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Color(s)	Mottle	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade		Consistence	
0.4	Cil+ Loam	<35	10YR 3/2	3/2							···		
<u> </u>	JIIL LOAIII	66,											
	(4) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	735	10YR 4/3	4/3									
1 1-71	SIIL LUAIII	?											
			10YR	4/4	7.5YR 7/8	8//	Concentrations	52					
14-20	Silt Loam	<\$>			10YR	5/1	Depletions	52				***************************************	
Comments:	Redox at 14"												
I hereby cert	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all ap	sompleted	this work i	in accorda	ance with	all applica	plicable ordinances, rules and laws	les and laws.					
•					ţ	Tom Trooien	2		1568			11/12/23	
(De	Tom Iroolen (Designer/Inspector)	r) vy certify th	at this soil	observatic	n was veri	(Signature)	Tom Troolen (Signature) (Designer/Inspector) (Designer/Inspector) (Signature) (Signature) (Signature) (Signature)	– .0500 subp. 3 A.	(License#) The signature be	alow represen	its an infield	(License #) The signature below represents an infield verification of the	
periodically sa	periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	oedrock at t	the propose	d soil trea	tment and	dispersal s	ite.						
/1191/	(1 GTT/Designer/Inspector)	tori	ı			(Signature)	(4		(Cert #)			(Date)	