ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Allison Donnelly 932 NW 2nd St Forest Lake, MN 55025

12/20/2023

Dear Allison Donnelly,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your septic system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 0503221420003	Reason for Inspection Sale		
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 932 NW 2 nd St Forest Lake, MN 55025			
Owner/representative: Allison Donnelly	Owner's phone:		
Brief system description: 1500 gallon septic tank, 1000 gallon li	ft tank, mound dispersal system		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 12/20/2023			
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or		
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	•		
Impact on public health (Compliance component #1	,		
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	•		
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone	,		
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater		
	mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies		
Comments or recommendations	mpilance component (#4) – Noncompilant - local oralization applies		
	vers should be checked regularly to ensure that they are secure.		
During the view 12/0/2020 to vote were in good thape an	a note seems and pumping.		
Certification			
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,		
,	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be		
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594		
Inspector signature: Benjamin Zierke	License number: 119		
(This document has been electronically sig	ned) Phone: 651-249-1346		
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)		
	equired forms		
☐ Other information (list):			

pact on public health – Co	ompliance comp	oonent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentat	ion:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an			
Describe verification methods and	results:		
None of the above observed.			
nk intogrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
nk integrity – Compliance	component #2		ion:
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentat	ion:
<u> </u>	component #2		ion: Olson's Se
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentat ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Olson's Se
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	∵ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentat ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Olson's Se
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	∵ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentat ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus	Olson's Se siness: 216 12/6/2023
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	∵ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Olson's Se siness: 216 12/6/2023
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Olson's Seriness: 216 12/6/2023 Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business: License number of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the form instructions to ensure assistance)	Olson's Section Sectin Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentat ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): ☐ (must be with the form of the following of the follo	Olson's Sectioness: 216 12/6/2023 Attach) within three years) essment complied ecessary – explain to
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ ates the system er.	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the complete of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the complete of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the complete of maintenance ass Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary)	Olson's Sectioness: 216 12/6/2023 Attach) within three years) essment complied ecessary – explain to

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Property Address: 932 NW 2 nd St Forest Lake, MN 55025	
Business Name: Zierke Soil Testing	Date: 12/20/2023
3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or un	secured?
☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or saf	fety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	•
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes*
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	 □ Yes* ⊠ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached currenting decumentation Not applicable	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 🛭 Not applicable
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No	If "yes", A below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 800-657-3864

usiness Name: Zierke Soil Testing		Date: _^	12/20/2023
Soil separation – Compliance cor	mponent #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 9/10/1992 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Soil observation logs completed for the report	
beverage loughing:			
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separati	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	Yes No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area☐	a)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b.Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	102.2'
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	98.5'
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	3.7'
separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0'
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Describe verification methods and results:

See attached boring log and elevations.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864



Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 932 NW 2nd St Forest Lake, MN 55025

Borings Made by Ben Zierke Date: 12/6/2023

Hand bucket auger used for borings; $\ensuremath{\mathsf{USDA}}$ - $\ensuremath{\mathsf{SCS}}$ Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0	10YR 3/2 sandy loam	0	
14-18"	10YR 4/3 loamy sand		
18-24"	10YR 5/4 loamy sand, 7.5YR 5/8 and 10YR 6/2 redox		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring oresent in hole 1.5 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring oresent in hole feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
0		0	
End of boring at	feet	End of boring at	feet