

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Sale of home
Local regulatory authority info: <u>Washington County</u>		
Property address: 11960 July Avenue, Hugo MN 55038		
Owner/representative: Leanne Bryant		Owner's phone: <u>651-248-7678 nate</u>
Brief system description: 1250 main tank to an inground gravity	fed trench system	

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 1/29/2024

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

□ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) - Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

Comments or recommendations

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: MJL	Contracting	Certification number: 8110
Inspector signature:	Danielle Lang	License number: 2449
	(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 763-244-9070

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs	System/As-Built	☑ Locally required forms	Tank Integrity Assessment	Operating Permit
Other information (list):				

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗆 Yes* 🛛 No	Other: Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		

Describe verification methods and results:

Spoke with homeowner and visual inspection of drainfield outside no surfacing

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached s	supporting d	locumentation:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	🗆 Yes* 🖾 No		License number of maintenance business:	
		Existing t	ank integrity a	ssessment (Attach)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of m (mm/dd/yy	naintenance yy):	(must be within three years)
Any "yes" answer above indica is failing to protect groundwate			n instructions t 7082.0700 sul	to ensure assessment complies with bp. 4 B (1))
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain b		pumping not necessary – explain below)
		Other:	winter mor	nk bottom, system failed and in hths no need to pump due to failure anks will need to be installed
Describe verification methods and	results:			

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 Page 3 of 5

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

;	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?				
	🗌 Yes* 🖾 No 🔲 Unknown				
:	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? 🗌 Yes* 🛛 No 🗌 Unknown			
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.				
:	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No			
:	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No			
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.				
	Describe verification methods and results:				
	Visual				
	Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable				
	Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable				
4. 0		of 5 🖂 Not applicable			
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o				
ls	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or sthe system operated under an Operating Permit? S the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required			
ls	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or s the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No s the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ No	If "yes", A below is required			
s	Dperating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of s the system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes Image: No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Image: Yes Image: No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Image: Yes Image: No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required			
s s 1	Dperating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of states states of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes Image: No s the system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes Image: No s the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Image: Yes Image: No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Image: Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Image: Management Practice(s) specified in the system design f the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Image: Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required			
s s 1	Dperating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of sthe system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes No Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Image: Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Image: Heat State S	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required			
s s 1	Dperating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of states states of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes Image: No s the system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes Image: No s the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Image: Yes Image: No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Image: Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Image: Management Practice(s) specified in the system design f the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Image: Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required			
s s 1	Dperating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of sthe system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes No Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Image: Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Image: Heat State S	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required			
s s 1	Decrating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 descent in the system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes No Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Image: Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Image: Heat Amagement Practice(s) specified in the system design Image: Heat Amagement Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Image: Yes Image: No Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Image: Yes No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required			
s s 1	Decrating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 descent in the system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes No Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Image: Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design Image: Heat Amagement Practice(s) specified in the system design Image: Heat Amagement Practice(s) specified in the system design f the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete Image: Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Image: Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Image: Yes No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required			
s s 1	Decrating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 description Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Image: Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Image: Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Image: Yes Is the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Image: Yes b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Image: Yes Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required			

Attached supporting documentation:
Operating permit (Attach)

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one):	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	Attached supporting documentation:	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical	″ □ Yes □ No*	 Not applicable (No soil treatment area) <u>1998 installation</u> 	
 separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* 	☐ Yes ⊠ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if alloced	30" 12" 0" 36"
 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Ordinance.	

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (*ITPHS*) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.