## **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 8414 22<sup>nd</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at the City of Lake Elmo. This system (installed 1999) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. Ron's Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks on February 13, 2024. This house is presently vacant.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 8414 22 <sup>nd</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042	
Owner/representative: Emily Bliss Trust/Gerry Bliss (Son)	Owner's phone: 612-280-7684
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock t	rench drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/13/2024	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	Noncompliant − Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)
<ul> <li>□ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote</li> <li>□ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –</li> <li>□ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –</li> <li>□ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Cotal Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prote</li> <li>□ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations</li> </ul>	- Imminent threat to public health and safety - Failing to protect groundwater ompliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater tect groundwater
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Home	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
Soil observation logs	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	tion, Disclaimer

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

npact on public health – C	ompliance comp		
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a			
Describe verification methods and	d results:		
None of the above found.			
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
ank integrity – Compliance	e component #2	of 5	
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	e component #2	of 5  Attached supporting documentation	on:
Compliance criteria:	· ·	Attached supporting documentation	on:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit,	e component #2		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· ·	Attached supporting documentation  ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Ron's Se
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit,	· ·	Attached supporting documentation	
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	· ·	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:	Ron's Se Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busin	Ron's Se Service ess: <u>L</u> 4007
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business busines	Ron's Se <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L4007</u> <u>2/13/202</u>
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Ron's Se <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L4007</u> <u>2/13/202</u>
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Ron's Se <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L4007</u> <u>2/13/202</u> (ach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Ron's Se <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L4007</u> <u>2/13/202</u> (ach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be with	Ron's Se Service less: <u>L4007</u> <u>2/13/202</u> (ach) hin three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the minus of the maintenance)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Ron's Se Service less: <u>L4007</u> <u>2/13/202</u> (ach) hin three year
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Ron's Se Service  ess: L4007 2/13/202  each)  hin three year  esment compli
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the minus of the maintenance)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Ron's Se Service  ess: L4007 2/13/202  each)  hin three year  esment compli
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Ron's Se Service  ess: L4007 2/13/202  each)  hin three year  esment compli
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicing is failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Seates the system ter.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Ron's Se Service ess: L4007 2/13/202 (ach) hin three years
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Seates the system ter.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Ron's Se Service ess: L4007 2/13/202 (ach) hin three years
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicing is failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Seates the system ter.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Ron's Se Service ess: L4007 2/13/202 (ach) hin three years
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicing is failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Seates the system ter.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Ron's Se Service ess: L4007 2/13/202 (ach) hin three years
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicing is failing to protect groundward.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Seates the system ter.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necession)	Ron's Se Service ess: L4007 2/13/202 (ach) hin three years

Pro	operty Address: 8414 22 <sup>nd</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 2/13/2024
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	_	
	Attached supporting documentation: \( \square\) Operating permit (Attach) \( \square\)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: <u>2/1</u>	Date: <u>2/13/2024</u>		
Soil separation – Compliance con	nponent #5	of 5			
Date of installation 1999 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown				
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes   ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:			
Compliance suitonic (coloct one).		☑ Soil observation logs completed for th	•		
Compliance criteria (select one):	□ V □ N-*	<ul><li>☐ Two previous verifications of required</li><li>☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area</li></ul>	·		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No son treatment area	)		
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		Ш			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ⊠ No*	Indicate depths or elevations			
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)		
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*			
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*				
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


Describe verification methods and results:

# <u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: February 13, 2024	Time: 12:30 PM			
Property Address: 8414 22 <sup>nd</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, M	N Zip: 55042			
Property Owner: Emily Bliss Trust	Phone:			
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Tr            □ Septic 2       □ Fiberglass       □ Roc         □ Aerobic       □ Plastic       □ Grav         □ Lift       □ Metal       □ Cha	eatment System k trench velless trench mber trench Dage bed Other  Cesspool system Other  Description  Other  Alternative system  Experimental system  Other  Other  Other  Other  Other  Other system  Other  Other			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Ye	es \( \subseteq \text{No} \text{ *If no, proper maintenance must be} \)			
performed through the maintenance holes. Mainten				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper n	naintenance of the system.			
Year house built: 1999 Year septic installed	l: 1999 Tank size (gals.): 2-1000			
How long has seller owned the property?	Number of residents in home?			
	rs drained by gravity? Y			
Garbage disposal?	Vhirlpool bath?			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles con-	nected to the septic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages	or out-buildings connected to this system?			
Are there any additional systems on this property s	erving other buildings?			
Location of septic system on lot? Northeast Side				
Location of water well on lot? City Water	Is the well a deep well? N/A			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system?  If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 2/13/2024	Name of pumper: Ron's Sewer Service			
How often pumped in previous years?	Is system on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in				

this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:	Date:



## **Soil Observations Log**

Observations Made By: Classification System:  Soil Observation:  Surface Elevation of Observation of Observatio	Location of Project: 8414 22nd St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042							
Soil Observation: ST-1  Surface Elevation of Observation Of Obser	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser					12/13/2024		
Surface Elevation of Observation    Same ground surface as last drainfield trench   Soils Encountered   Depth In Inches   Rock %   Soils Encountered   Depth In Inches   Rock %   Soils Encountered   Depth Inches   Rock %   Rock %								
Same ground surface as last drainfield trench   Soils Encountered   Depth In Inches		Soil	Observation:	ST-1		Soil O	bservation:	
10YR 2/2 Silt Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam 10YR 5/4 Fine Sandy Loam 10YR 5/5 Fine Sandy Loam 10YR 5/6 Fine Sandy Loam 10YR 5	Elevation of Same ground surface as last		Elevat	ion of				
3-7 7-11 1-20 1-20 1-20 20-29 29-44 10YR 3/4 Fine Sandy Loam 10YR 4/4 Fine Sandy Loam 10YR 5/4 Fine Sandy Loam 10YR 5/4 Fine Sandy Loam (Moist) With Trace Of Gravel 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Clay Loam (Moist) With 7.5YR 5/8 Redox Refusal At 53"  44" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System -31" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =13" Of Separation  End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: Limiting Soil Conditions At:  10YR 3/3 Silt Loam 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam 10YR 4/4 Fine Sandy Loam 10YR 4/4 Fine Sandy Loam 10YR 5/4 Fine Sandy Loam 10YR 4/4 Fine Sandy Loam 10YR 5/4 Fine Sandy Loam		Rock %	Soils E	ncountered		Rock %	Soils Encountered	
Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System  -31" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =13" Of Separation  End Of Soil Observation At: 53" End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: 44" Limiting Soil Conditions At:	3-7 7-11 11-20 20-29 29-44		10YR 3 7.5YR 4/ 10YR 3/4 F 10YR 4/4 F 10YR 5/4 Fine With Tr 7.5YR 4/4 Sand With 7.5	3/3 Silt Loam 4 Sandy Loam 5 Ine Sandy Loam 6 Ine Sandy Loam 6 Sandy Loam 6 Sandy Loam 7 Sandy Loam 8 Sandy Loam 8 Coam 8				
-31" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =13" Of Separation  End Of Soil Observation At:  Limiting Soil Conditions At:  44"  Limiting Soil Conditions At:	44"	Depth T	epth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox			Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
=13" Of Separation  End Of Soil Observation At: 53" End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: 44" Limiting Soil Conditions At:	Same	e Elevation Of Observation Relative To System			Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System	
End Of Soil Observation At: 53" End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: Limiting Soil Conditions At:						Distribution Media		
Limiting Soil Conditions At: 44" Limiting Soil Conditions At:	=13"	Of Sepa	ration			Of Sepa	iration	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: 44" Limiting Soil Conditions At:	End	Of Soil (	Observation At:	53"	End Of	Soil Oh	servation At:	
Enthance on Contactons Act								
		Enthering Son Contarenation / ter						

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 31 Inches		
Signature:	Chan la	

### **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.