Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: February 29, 2024 **Time:** 9:45 AM **Owner:** Allison Johnson

Inspection Address: 10365 Otchipwe Ave N, Stillwater Twp, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 2003) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks on February 29, 2024.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: 10365 Otchiopwe Ave N, Stillwater Twp, N	MN 55082	
Owner/representative: Allison Johnson		Owner's phone: 651-592-6210
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock	k trench drainfield.	
System status		_
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _2/29/2024		<u> </u>
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	use discontinued within the ti	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public	health and safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.		e discontinued within ten months of receipt ter period if required by local ordinance or ivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applications	able)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imp	•	and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to pro	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public he	ealth and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwa	iter
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 ((Compliance component #3) –	Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pa	rotect groundwater	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliane	ce component #4) – Noncompi	liant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathere future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkr inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be trused for the purpose of processing this form.	rue and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Municipal	V/L	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically s	signed)	Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting d	locumentation (must b	e attached)
Soil observation logs	required forms 🛛 Tank Integr	rity Assessment
☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Inform	· ·	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

ty Address: 10365 Otchiopwe Ave N. ss Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: <u>_2</u>	/29/2024
npact on public health — Co	ompliance comp	ponent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentatio	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
None of the above found.			
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
	component #2		
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentatio	n:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2		n:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentatio	n: Pinky's Se
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	· 	Attached supporting documentatio	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentatio ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's Se Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentatio ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Se Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentatio Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business. Date of maintenance:	Pinky's Se <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L1673</u> 2/29/2024
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Pinky's Se <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L1673</u> 2/29/2024
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's Se Service ess: <u>L1673</u> <u>2/29/2024</u> ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's Se Service ess: <u>L1673</u> <u>2/29/2024</u> ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	Pinky's Se Service ess: L1673 2/29/2024 ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the company of th	Pinky's Se Service ess: L1673 2/29/2024 ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	Pinky's Se Service ess: L1673 2/29/2024 ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the company of th	Pinky's Se Service ess: L1673 2/29/2024 ach) nin three years sment complie
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached June of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Pinky's Se Service ess: L1673 2/29/2024 ach) nin three years sment complie
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busined business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Mind Mind Mind Mind Mind Mind Mind Min	Pinky's Se Service ess: L1673 2/29/2024 ach) nin three years
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ eates the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached June of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Pinky's Se Service ess: L1673 2/29/2024 ach) nin three years
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ eates the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached June of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Pinky's Se Service ess: L1673 2/29/2024 ach) nin three years
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ eates the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached June of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Pinky's Se Service ess: L1673 2/29/2024 ach) nin three years
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ eates the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached June of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Pinky's Se Service ess: L1673 2/29/2024 ach) nin three years
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ eates the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached June of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Pinky's Se Service ess: L1673 2/29/2024 ach) nin three years

Pro	operty Address: 10365 Otchiopwe Ave N, Stillwater Twp, MN 55082	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 2/29/2024
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
		•
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us 651-296-6300 wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Soil separation – Compliance of	component #	of 5		
Date of installation 2003 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes ⊠	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?		Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):		_ Two previous verifications of required vertica	ıl separati	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, a	and Yes	□ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		⊠ Reviewed design and permit records.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shorela or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving		7 t. Bottom of distribution modia	Attached g Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishmen		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*		
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Ordinance.	y Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspecticense required > 2,500 gallons per day	1 ≤ tor			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		_		

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.					
Date of Inspection: February 29, 2024	Time: 9:45 AM				
Property Address: 10365 Otchipwe Ave N, Stillwater	Twp, MN Zip: 55082				
Property Owner: Allison Johnson	Phone: 651-592-6210				
Septic 2 ☐Fiberglass ☐Rock tree	Experimental system r trench Cesspool system				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes	☐ No *If no, proper maintenance must be				
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenan					
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper main	tenance of the system.				
Year house built: 2003 Year septic installed: 20	003 Tank size (gals.): 1500, 1000				
	umber of residents in home?				
	rained by gravity? Y				
Garbage disposal? Whi	rlpool bath?				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?					
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connec	ted to the septic system?				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?					
Are there any additional systems on this property serv	ing other buildings?				
Location of septic system on lot? South Side					
Location of water well on lot? West Side	Is the well a deep well? Y				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:					
When was the system last pumped? 2/29/2024 N	ame of pumper: Pinky's Sewer Service				
How often pumped in previous years?	Is system on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N					
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?					
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in					

this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	
Owner/Occupant:	Date:

Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 10365 Otchipwe Ave N, Stillwater Twp, MN 55082							
Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser				Date:	2/29/2024		
Cla	assific	ation System:	USDA				
	Soil Observation: ST-1			Soil O	bservation:	ST-2	
Surface Elevation of Observation Observation Same ground surface as last drainfield trench			face tion of vation	_	und surface as last infield trench		
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered		Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-4 4-13 13-25 25-70		10YR 3/4 Sand 10YR 3/4	y Loam (Mixed Soils) y Loam (Mixed Soils) I Medium Sand I Medium Sand	0-7		10YR : 10YR 3 7.5YR 4/	2/2 Sandy Loam 3/4 Sandy Loam 8/4 Medium Sand 4 Loamy Fine Sand 8/4 Medium Sand
70" D	Depth T	o End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox	70"	Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
		ation Of Observation Relative To System					
-36" D			-36"			Distribution Media	
≥34" C	Of Sepa	ration		≥34"	Of Sepa	ration	
Fnd ∩	of Soil (Observation At:	70"	Fnd ∩f	Soil Ob	servation At:	70"
		Conditions At:	None			onditions At:	None
		iter Present At:	None			r Present At:	None
Standing Water Freschit At. None Sta				<u> </u>			

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 36 Inches		
Signature:	Offer 1/2	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.