Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: March 11, 2024 Time: 2:00 PM Owner: Sharon Witt

Inspection Address: 86 Quamwell Ave S, Lakeland, MN 55043

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2005 & 1998, which were on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 1992) consists of a pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a gravelless trench drainfield. Meyer Sewer Service pumped the tanks on March 11, 2024.

Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that gravelless pipe is no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and we have had experience with this product having significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy. We cannot guarantee the performance of this system beyond the compliance date (3/11/2024). In addition, it should be noted that there excessive root infiltration into the drainfield. To what effect this may have on the system's performance is unknown.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 86 Quamwell Ave S, Lakeland, MN 55043	
Owner/representative: Sharon Witt	Owner's phone: 612-644-0716
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift ta	
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/11/2024	
	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
guarantee future performance. Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	nia)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	•
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	·
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	-
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	-
Comments or recommendations	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Although not a compliance criteria, it should be noted that grave Minnesota and we have had experience with this product having cannot guarantee the performance of this system beyond the countries there excessive root infiltration into the drainfield. To what effective	g significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy. We ompliance date (3/11/2024). In addition, it should be noted that
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Man	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
Soil observation logs	quired forms
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

pact on public health – C	omphance comp		
Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Other:	on:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	L res Z No	☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a			
Describe verification methods and	d results:		
Minnesota and we have had experie We cannot guarantee the performan that there excessive root infiltration i unknown.	ce of this system beyo	nd the compliance date (3/11/2024). In addition	
We cannot guarantee the performan that there excessive root infiltration i unknown.	ce of this system beyonto the drainfield. To v	vhat effect this may have on the system's perf	
We cannot guarantee the performant that there excessive root infiltration is unknown. nk integrity — Compliance	ce of this system beyonto the drainfield. To v	of 5	ormance is
We cannot guarantee the performant that there excessive root infiltration is unknown. nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria:	ce of this system beyonto the drainfield. To v	of 5 Attached supporting documentation	ormance is
We cannot guarantee the performant that there excessive root infiltration is unknown. nk integrity — Compliance	e component #2	of 5	ormance is
we cannot guarantee the performant that there excessive root infiltration is unknown. **The compliance compliance compliance compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	e component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	ormance is Meyer S Service
we cannot guarantee the performant that there excessive root infiltration is unknown. where the excessive root infiltration is unknown. Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	e component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	ormance is On: Meyer S Service
we cannot guarantee the performant that there excessive root infiltration is unknown. **The compliance compliance compliance compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	e component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Meyer S Service ness: L915 3/11/20
we cannot guarantee the performant that there excessive root infiltration is unknown. **The compliance compliance compliance compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	e component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business attached maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached supporting documentation)	Meyer S Service ness: L915 3/11/20
we cannot guarantee the performant that there excessive root infiltration is unknown. which integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	e component #2 Yes* No Yes* No	of 5 Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business attached maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached supporting documentation)	Meyer S Service ness: L915 3/11/202

https://www.pca.state.mn.us 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats

Pro	perty Address: 86 Quamwell Ave S, Lakeland, MN 55043	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 3/11/2024
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 c	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
		If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	yoo , 2 xololi lo loquilou
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	- -
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Describe verification methods and results.	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: 3/11/2024		
Soil separation – Compliance con	nponent #5	of 5		
Date of installation 1992 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?		oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food.		□ Reviewed previous comliance inspection from 2005.		
beverage or lodging establishment:		Reviewed previous comliance inspection from 1998.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Reviewed design and permit records.		
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		A. Bottom of distribution media See Attached Boring Log(s)		
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*		
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021


Describe verification methods and results:

<u>Midwest Śewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: March 11, 2024	Time: 2:00 PM				
Property Address: 86 Quamwell Ave S, Lakeland, MN	Zip: 55043				
Property Owner: Sharon Witt	Phone: 612-644-0716				
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes □ No *If	no, proper maintenance must be				
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cov					
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of	the system.				
Year house built: 1970 Year septic installed: 1992	Tank size (gals.): 1250				
How long has seller owned the property? Number of re	esidents in home?				
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by g	ravity?				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	?				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?					
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	eptic system?				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	,				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	uildings?				
Location of septic system on lot? Tanks - South Side, Drainfield -	West Side				
Location of water well on lot? City Water Is the	e well a deep well? N/A				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc to the system? If yes, explain:	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	nper: Meyer Sewer Service				
	n on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerni	ng this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N					
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	ne new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I all this part of the Life of the	law submit a copy of this report to the				

this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:	Date:



 $N \longrightarrow NO SCALE$

86 Quamwell Ave S, Lakeland, MN 55043

Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 86 Quamwell Ave S, Lakeland, MN 55043							
	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser					Date:	3/11/2024
Classification System: USDA							
	Soil Observation: ST-1			Soil O	bservation:		
Surface Elevation of Observation		_	round surface as last rainfield trench		face tion of vation		
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-18 18-29 29-60	<50	7.5YR 3/4 Loa 7.5YR 3/4 Me Wit	Loamy Fine Sand amy Very Fine Sand edium Coarse Sand th Gravel usal At 60"				
60"	Denth T	o End Of Soil O	hservation Or Redox		Denth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
		To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox on Of Observation Relative To System		Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Elevation Of Observation Relative To System			
			stribution Media		Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media		
-	Of Sepa				Of Sepa		
F . 1	06.0 21.4	2h	CO"	F., 1.00	C-11 O1		
		Observation At:	60"			servation At:	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: None				_	onditions At: r Present At:		
Standing Water Present At: None				Standi	ng wate	i Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 32 Inches			
Signature:	Color Va		

	Losatio	on or Project 810 QUANU	vell A	rve S.	
		made by PBI		Date9/20/99	<u> </u>
		fication System: AASHO; US			
	, voget c	sed (check two): Hand, or P	ower; Fl	light, or Bucket X: o	ther
	Depth,	Boring number B-1	Depth,	Boring number	
	in feet	Surface elevation	in feet	Surface elevation	
	Ò		1101		
	U ————	10TR 3/2-V. dk gravish br	0;-		
	·1 —	SILT LOAM, to organi		•	
	2 —	10 YR 5/4- yellowish br.			
	;	Loamy fine sand			
	3 —		3 —	;	
	4 —	10YR4/4- dKyellowish.	4		
		brown	1.1		
	5 —	F/c sand	5 —		
	6 —	w/gravel	6 —		
	6.0	E. O. B@ 6. Oft	4 •		
	7 —	E. 0.15@ 6.07 C	7 -		
			0		
	.				
	End of bo	oring at feet.	End of bo	oring at feet.	
		water table: NO	l' .	water table:	
	Present a	feet of depth,	Present a	feet of depth,	
		hours after boring.		hours after boring.	
	Not prese	ent in boring hole,	Not prese	ent in boring Hole	
	Mottled s	1011: NO	Mottled s	soil:	
	Observed at feet of depth.			at feet of depth.	:
		ent in boring hole $\frac{X}{X}$.	· · · · · ·	ent in boring hole	•
~ -		ons and comments:		ions and comments:	
OP	OF D	RAINFIELD AT .	1,0	FEET OR	INTHES
30 TI	TOM O	F DRAINFIELD AT.	2.0		INCHES
REM	MARKS	,		<u> </u>	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.