ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Bryan Oehlke 8680 Jamaca Ave N Stillwater, MN 55082

February 28th, 2024

Dear Bryan Oehlke,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your system is <u>non-compliant</u> due to a lack of vertical separation between the bottom of your drain field and indicators of seasonally wet soil (redoximorphic features) and two block style bottomless septic tanks. This system is considered "failing to protect groundwater" and <u>is not considered an imminent threat to public health</u>. I am required to provide copies of this report to you and to Washington County. You should contact them as to the next steps that will be required to bring the system into compliance.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

Benjamin Zieske

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346 EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 2103021140010	Reason for Inspection Sale		
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 8680 Jamaca Ave N Stillwater, MN 55082			
Owner/representative: Bryan Oehlke	Owner's phone:		
Brief system description: Two 750 gallon block tanks with gravit	y drainfield		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/28/2024			
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	Noncompliant − Notice of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)) – Imminent threat to public health and safety		
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	,		
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	•		
-	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater		
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin			
	npliance component #4) – <i>Noncompliant - local ordinance applies</i>		
Comments or recommendations			
after reviewing permit file (empty) and discussing pumpin	ord soil boring. No sign of system failure - tank determination made g history with the homeowner and maintainer (Smilies). Did not pump ant tanks and will need to be replaced at installation of new system.		
Certification	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of		
	wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be		
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594		
Inspector signature: Benjamin Zierke	License number: 119		
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-249-1346		
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)		
☑ Soil observation logs ☑ System/As-Built ☐ Locally re	equired forms		
Other information (list):			

Compliance criteria:			Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* 【	⊠ No	☐ Other:
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* [⊠ No	23 No. applicable
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* [⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar		m is an	
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
None of the above observed.			
nk integrity – Compliance	compoi	nent #2 o	of 5
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	compoi	nent #2 (of 5 Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	compoi		
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,			Attached supporting documentation: □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		□ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	⊠ Yes* [□ No	Attached supporting documentation: □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	⊠ Yes* [□ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	⊠ Yes* [□ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	⊠ Yes* [□ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?		□ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic		□ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic		□ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied

Property Address: 8680 Jamaca Ave N Stillwater, MN 55082	
Business Name: Zierke Soil Testing	Date: 2/28/2024
3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or	unsecured?
☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or	safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector	r? ☐ Yes* ☒ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #	‡4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐	No If "yes", A below is required
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \square Yes \square	No If "yes", B below is required
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be comp	leted.
Compliance criteria:	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
Describe verification methods and results:	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

siness Name: Zierke Soil Testing		Date: _	2/28/2024		
Soil separation – Compli	ance component #	5 of 5			
Date of installation 1969 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown				
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/F beverage lodging?	ood ☐ Yes ⊠ l	Attached supporting documentation:	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage louging?		•	☑ Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select or		·	☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation		
5a.For systems built prior to April 1 not located in Shoreland or Well		o* Not applicable (No soil treatment are	a)		
Protection Area or not serving a beverage or lodging establishme	food,				
Drainfield has at least a two-foo separation distance from period saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ N	o* Indicate depths or elevations			
		A. Bottom of distribution media	96.8'		
	serving a	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	98.0'		
		C. System separation	-1.2'		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertice separation distance from period		D. Required compliance separation*	2.0'		
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	owed by Local		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Perf systems built under pre-2008 Rt Type IV or V systems built unde Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License 2,500 gallons per day; Advance License required > 2,500 gallons	ıles; r 2008 required ≤ d Inspector	o*			
Drainfield meets the designed vesteration distance from period saturated soil or bedrock.					
*Any "no" answer above indic failing to protect groundwater					

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021



Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 8680 Jamaca Ave N Stillwater, MN 55082

Borings Made by Ben Zierke Date: 2/26/2024

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0 0-8"	 10YR 3/2 loam	0	
8-16"	10YR 4/3 loam		
16-19"	10YR 4/3 sandy loam, 25% rock		
19-24"	10YR 4/4 medium sand, 25% rock		
24-30"	10YR 5/4 clay loam, strong 7.5YR 5/8 and 10YR 7/1 redox		
End of boring at Standing water table Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole 2 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
O	feet	O	feet
End of boring at Standing water table Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	le: feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth