

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner widtin al determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wg-wwists4-31a.pdf. **Property information** Local tracking number: Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 31.029.20.11.0013 Reason for Inspection Local regulatory authority info: Washington County Property address: 906 Neal Ave N West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082 Owner/representative: Dave Josephson Owner's phone: 651-500-9472 Brief system description: Two 1000 gallon plastic septic tanks and a gravity drainfield System status System status on date (rnm/dd/yyyy): 3/14/2024 ☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance (Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. guarantee future performance. Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) - Imminent threat to public health.and safety ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) - Failing to protect groundwater ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) - Noncompliant - local ordinance applies Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil and pumping records on file at Washington County. Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage, By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Certification number: 323 Inspector signature: Tom Trooien

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wg-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Soil observation logs

☐ Other information (list):

651-296-6300

(This document has been electronically signed)

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

800-657-3864

System/As-Built ☐ Locally required forms ☐ Tank Integrity Assessment

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

Phone: 612-594-4496

License number: 1568

Compliance criteria:		Affached sun	porting documentati	ion:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Ye₃ 🛛 N		-	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yeis 🛛 N		ic	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ N	0		
Any type consistent above orderers in ordered to possible realth as		ch:		
Describe verification methods and	l results:			
ank integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria:	componer		porting documentati	on:
Compilation of Acria.				
	☐ Yes 🖾 N		-	•••
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes 🔼 N	Empty tank(s	s) viewed by inspector	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes 🔯 N	Empty tank(s	s) viewed by inspector ntenance business:	Meyers
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Empty tank(s	s) viewed by inspector ntenance business: ber of maintenance busi	Meyers iness: <u>915</u>
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their		Description	s) viewed by inspector ntenance business: ber of maintenance busi	<u>Meyers</u> iness: <u>915</u> 3/14/2024
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their		Description	s) viewed by inspector ntenance business: ber of maintenance busi tenance: integrity assessment (A	Meyers iness: <u>915</u> 3/14/2024 attach)
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	□ Yes ☑ N	Name of ma License num Date of main □ Existing tank Date of main (mm/dd/yyyy):	s) viewed by inspector ntenance business: ber of maintenance busi tenance: integrity assessment (A	Meyers iness: 915 3/14/2024 Attach) within three years
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes lanswer above ridic	□ Yes ☑ N	Date of main (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form in. Minn. R. 708	s) viewed by inspector intenance business: ber of maintenance busitenance: integrity assessment (A tenance (must be w structions to ensure asse	Meyers iness: 915 3/14/2024 Attach) within three years essment complie
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes lanswer above ridic	☐ Yes ☑ N ates the system.	Description	ntenance business: ber of maintenance busitenance: integrity assessment (A tenance (must be w structions to ensure asses 2.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Meyers iness: 915 3/14/2024 Attach) within three years essment complie
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any eyes canswer above industrating transmer above industrating transmers.	☐ Yes ☒ N ates the system.	Description	ntenance business: ber of maintenance busitenance: integrity assessment (Attenance) (must be with structions to ensure assess 2.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) compliant (pumping not ne	Meyers iness: 915 3/14/2024 Attach) within three years essment complied eccessary – explain
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any eyes answer above radic is terlitry translation, communication.	☐ Yes ☑ N ates the system. d results: level, then were	Date of main (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form in Minn. R. 708 □ Tank is None □ Other:	ntenance business: ber of maintenance busitenance: integrity assessment (Attenance) (must be witenance) (must be witenance) (and the structions to ensure assess as 2.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) (compliant (pumping not new tenance)	Meyers iness: 915 3/14/2024 Attach) within three years essment complied eccessary – explain l
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any eyes answer above ridicals tenting translating to be seen the control of the control of the tanks were at normal operating.	☐ Yes ☑ N ates the system. d results: level, then were	Date of main (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form in Minn. R. 708 □ Tank is None □ Other:	ntenance business: ber of maintenance busitenance: integrity assessment (Attenance) (must be witenance) (must be witenance) (and the structions to ensure assess as 2.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) (compliant (pumping not new tenance)	Meyers iness: 915 3/14/2024 Attach) within three years essment complied eccessary – explain l
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any eyes answer above ridicals tenting translating to be seen the control of the control of the tanks were at normal operating.	☐ Yes ☑ N ates the system. d results: level, then were	Date of main (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form in Minn. R. 708 □ Tank is None □ Other:	ntenance business: ber of maintenance busitenance: integrity assessment (Attenance) (must be witenance) (must be witenance) (and the structions to ensure assess as 2.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) (compliant (pumping not new tenance)	Meyers iness: 915 3/14/2024 Attach) within three years) essment complies ecessary – explain to
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any eyes answer above ridicals tenting translating to be seen the control of the control of the tanks were at normal operating.	☐ Yes ☑ N ates the system. d results: level, then were	Date of main (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form in Minn. R. 708 □ Tank is None □ Other:	ntenance business: ber of maintenance busitenance: integrity assessment (Attenance) (must be witenance) (must be witenance) (and the structions to ensure assess as 2.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) (compliant (pumping not new tenance)	Meyers iness: 915 3/14/2024 Attach) within three years) essment complies ecessary – explain to

	operty Address: 906 Neal Ave N West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082 siness Name: All State Septic Services LLC	Date:	3/14/2024
	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5		
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsector ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unknown	ured?	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety?	? 🗌 Yes	☑ No ☐ Unkno
	"Yas to a line System is an immonent for all a public health and safety		
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	□Yes	⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	Tyes to Joior Rd - System is taking in protect grounds ster.		
	Describe verification methods and results:		
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable		
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable		
(Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable □ Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of	5 🖾 1	Not applicable
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of		
-	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of sthe system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No If	"yes", A	below is requi
-	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If	"yes", A	below is requi
-	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No ■ If Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ No ■ If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria:	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
-	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
-	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi
	Operating permit and nitrogen BIMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A "yes", B	below is requi

3us	iness Name: All State Septic Services LLC	***************************************	Date: 3	3/14/2024
. <u>S</u>	Soil separation – Compliance co	mponent #5 c	of 5	
D	Pate of installation 9/23/2005 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unknown		
	horeland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
b	everage lodging?		☐ Soil observation logs completed for th	e report
C	Compliance criteria (select one):		☑ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separation
5	a.For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area☐	a)
_	Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5	b.Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □ No	Indicate depths or elevations	
	April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	2.8
	or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	6.0
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	3.2
	separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0
_	saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5	c. "Experimental". "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2.500 gallons per day: Advanced Inspector License required > 2.500 gallons per day)	Yes No		
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded. replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas. Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food. beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

E EVALUATION			USE ONL	ब्रि	EW KISTING WELLING		CLASS V COMMERCIAL ES FBL ESTABLISHA	TABLISHMENT
UATOR:	s LE Cui	AIR		1	HORELAND			ROTECTION AREA
PERTY ADDRESS:	S LE CLI LEAL AVE	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GEOCOD)E:	-7970	0 11001	13
906	WEAL AVE	N			210			
19 SEP 2005	TIME:	.45						
			OIL REVIEW		,	. 1		
CLASSIFICATION:	- DOREFTO OUTCFOP	W- Pack	PARENT		ERIOF	R LO	BE OU	TW ASH
COU	POPING 1					SOIL BORIN	NG Z	
VATION OF BORING: 83	OCATION:	BETWEE	- ELEVATI	ON OF BORI	NG:		LOCATION:	
VATION OF BURING: 25	, Location		2.21 CDC CO	ODINATES:	I ΔΤ·		LON:	· •
COORDINATES: LAT: 44	57, 7215 LON: 9	92 30.4 PROB		BORING	3	0	PIT	☐ PROBE
Ø BORING		REDOXIMOR			rues	COLOR	STRUCTURE	REDOXIMORPHIC FEATURES
CF III (III)	OLOR STRUCTURE	FEATUR	ES DEPTH	(IN) IEX	UKE	COLON		
"- 10" saxoy 7.5	TR GRAN	NO				***************************************		
MEDIUM 10	15	NC	>					
MED. 19			ı					
23'-72" SAND 41	IN GRAN	+~						
	1							
					1		1	1
		SOIL RI	EVIEW CON	LUSIONS		Ison	L TEXTURE:	
		<u> </u>	EVIEW CONG					PAND
Øk SITE SUITABLE	STANDING WATER	DEPT		₹:		SOII	SITING FACT	OR:
Ø SITE SUITABLE UNSUITABLE SOIL DISTURBED SOIL		DEPT	SATURATED	SOIL:	NO.	SOII	SITING FACT	OR: 13 - 1,27
SITE SUITABLE UNSUITABLE SOIL	STANDING WATER BEDROCK:	DEPT	SATURATED	SOIL:	No	SOII	L SIZING FACTO	OR: 13 - 1,27
SITE SUITABLE UNSUITABLE SOIL DISTURBED SOIL		DEPT	SATURATED MAXIMUM DI	t: SOIL: EPTH OF SYS	NO.	SOII	L SIZING FACTO	OR: 03 - 1,27 RATE:
SITE SUITABLE UNSUITABLE SOIL DISTURBED SOIL COMPACTED SOIL	BEDROCK:	DEPT	SATURATED	SOIL: PTH OF SYS	NO TEM: 56-4	soil	L SIZING FACTO	OR: 03 - 1,27 RATE:
Ø SITE SUITABLE UNSUITABLE SOIL DISTURBED SOIL	BEDROCK:	DEPT	SATURATED MAXIMUM DI SITE REVIE EASEMENTS	t: SOIL: EPTH OF SYS EW ON LOT:	NO.	soil	L SIZING FACTO	OR: 03 - 1,27 RATE:
SITE SUITABLE UNSUITABLE SOIL DISTURBED SOIL COMPACTED SOIL CHECK ALL.	BEDROCK: THAT APPLY D VEGETATION	DEPT	SATURATED MAXIMUM DI SITE REVIE	t: SOIL: EPTH OF SYS EW ON LOT:	NO TEM: 56-4	soii 2" Lin	L SIZING FACTO	OR: 03 - 1,27 RATE:
SITE SUITABLE UNSUITABLE SOIL DISTURBED SOIL COMPACTED SOIL CHECK ALL. WETLAND OR WETLAND	BEDROCK: THAT APPLY D VEGETATION	DEPT	SATURATED MAXIMUM DI SITE REVIE EASEMENTS	t: SOIL: EPTH OF SYS W ON LÔT:	NO TEM: SC-4	soil 2" LIN	EAR LOADING I	OR: 3 - 1,27 RATE:
SITE SUITABLE UNSUITABLE SOIL DISTURBED SOIL COMPACTED SOIL CHECK ALL. WETLAND OR WETLAND POND, LAKE, STREAM, FLOODPLAIN 10 YEAR FLOOD ELEVA	BEDROCK: THAT APPLY VEGETATION RIVER	DEPT	SATURATED MAXIMUM DI SITE REVIE EASEMENTS UTIL DRA	SOIL: PTH OF SYS ON LOT: ITY	NO TEM: SC-4	soil 2" LIN	L SIZING FACTO	OR: 3 - 1,27 RATE:
SITE SUITABLE UNSUITABLE SOIL DISTURBED SOIL CHECK ALL. WETLAND OR WETLAND POND, LAKE, STREAM, FLOODPLAIN 10 YEAR FLOOD ELEVA	BEDROCK: THAT APPLY VEGETATION RIVER	DEPT	SATURATED MAXIMUM DI SITE REVIE EASEMENTS	SOIL: PTH OF SYS ON LOT: ITY	NO TEM: SC-4	SOII Z" LIN FLINE	EAR LOADING I	OR: 3 - 1,27 RATE:

JOB MEGI AVERILLE NORTH, WEST CARELAND TOWNShip

Date:	a: 4-2-05				
L		,			
	C	·	283	 B3	84
	Depth in Feet NO.	1	lacis loam	black, loam	black loam
			topsoil	1005011 (04x2/1	1/2 Thosat
	300	red brown Sardy loam Syr 4/3	Silt loam brown Silt loam	andy (medium brown Sitt loam loyr 1/3 29
	m(red brown	Sity loon clay loom clay loom 5444"		inedium brown Sandy silt loam -mottled at 36"-
	Sand rix		,	52, 11	5/haho1
	5yr4/3			\frac{2}{2}	
		<u> </u>			
		Pale brown medium sand	, ,		
w.		2/2000000000000000000000000000000000000	7		
	and the second seco				

