Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 3855 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN 55001

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2012, which were on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 1991) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on March 20, 2024.

At the time of the inspection, water was running into the system while the house was empty. This may be an indicator of a leaking fixture in the house; it should be verified/located and repaired to prevent overloading and premature failure of the septic system.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer		
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 3855 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN 55001			
Owner/representative: Mindy Gross And Chris Gross	Owner's phone: 651-231-1592		
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trend	ch drainfield.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/20/2024			
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt		
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immir	nent threat to public health and safety		
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ct groundwater		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Imminent threat to public health and safety		
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –			
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co			
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot	-		
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies		
Comments or recommendations			
At the time of the inspection, water was running into the system leaking fixture in the house; it should be verified/located and repsystem.	while the house was empty. This may be an indicator of a paired to prevent overloading and premature failure of the septic		
Certification			
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be		
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852		
Inspector signature: Brian Thumpal (After Vi	License number: L2896		
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)		
Soil observation logs System/As-Built □ Locally rec	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit		
☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information			

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	on:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
leaking fixture in the house; it should septic system.			
septic system. Ink integrity – Compliance	component #2		
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	· 	of 5 Attached supporting documentation	on:
septic system. Ink integrity – Compliance	component #2		on: Pinky's S Service
compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	· 	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's S Service
ank integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's S Service
compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Pinky's S <u>Service</u> ness: <u>L1673</u> 3/20/202
compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's S Service ness: <u>L1673</u> <u>3/20/202</u> tach)
compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's S Service ness: L1673 3/20/202 ttach)

Pro	operty Address: _ 3855 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN 55001	
Bus	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 3/20/2024
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or ur	nsecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or sa	fety? ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of F Mot applicable
		
		If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	ted.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: 3/20/2024	
Soil separation – Compliance con	nponent	of 5	
Date of installation 1991 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes ⊠	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?		oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for the report	
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separati	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	⊠ Yes □	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)	
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food.			
beverage or lodging establishment:		Reviewed design and permit records.	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b.Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☐	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media See Attached Boring Log(s)
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*	
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


Describe verification methods and results:

Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the number of condition of the substantial of

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA (Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: 3/19/2024 & 3/20/2024	Time: 10:45 AM
Property Address: 3855 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN	Zip: 55001
Property Owner: Mindy Gross & Chris Gross	Phone: 651-231-1592
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ☐ Yes ☐ No *If n	no, proper maintenance must be
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cove	
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the	
,	Cank size (gals.): 1500
	sidents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gr	avity? Y
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	etia avatama?
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the sep	
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings	s connected to this system?
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other built	ldings? Unknown
Location of septic system on lot? North Side	
Location of water well on lot? South Side	well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; to the system? If yes, explain:	
When was the system last pumped? 3/20/2024 Name of pump	per: Pinky's Sewer Service
	on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	g this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by I local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I als this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all wor by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	aw submit a copy of this report to the o agree that unless otherwise noted in

Date:

Owner/Occupant:





3855 Neal Ave S, Afton, MN 55001

Soil Observations Log

Doservations Made By: Midwest Sewer Services Date: 3/19/2024	Loca	tion of Drojects	20EE Noal Ava C A	fton M	N EEOO	1	
Classification System: USDA					14 2200		3/19/2024
Soil Observation: ST-1 Soil Observation:				Ser vices		Date.	3/13/2021
Same ground surface as last drainfield trench Soils Encountered Depth In Inches		•		Soil O		bservation:	
10-8 8-18 18-30 107R 3/3 Sandy Loam 107R 3/4 Loamy Sand 107R 4/4 Very Fine Sandy Loam With Few Bedrock Fragment 107R 5/4 Loamy Very Fine Sand With Medium Sand Layers 107R 6/3 Medium Sand With Gravel And Few Bedrock Fragments Refusal At 58" 58" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System Selevation Of Observation Media Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Depth To Bottom Of Di	Elevation of	_		Surface Elevation of			
10YR 3/3 Sandy Loam 10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand 10YR 4/4 Very Fine Sandy Loam With Few Bedrock Fragment 10YR 5/4 Loamy Very Fine Sand With Medium Sand Layers 10YR 6/3 Medium Sand Layers 10YR 6/3 Medium Sand With Gravel And Few Bedrock Fragments Refusal At 58" 58" Depth To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System -35" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥23" Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:		Soils E	ncountered		Rock %	Soils	Encountered
SameElevation Of Observation Relative To SystemElevation Of Observation Relative To System-35"Depth To Bottom Of Distribution MediaDepth To Bottom Of Distribution Media≥23"Of SeparationOf SeparationEnd Of Soil Observation At:58"End Of Soil Observation At:Limiting Soil Conditions At:NoneLimiting Soil Conditions At:	8-18 18-30 30-36	10YR 3/ 10YR 4/4 Ver With Few B 10YR 5/4 Loa With Medi 10YR 6/3 M Gravel And Fev	4 Loamy Sand ry Fine Sandy Loam Sedrock Fragment amy Very Fine Sand um Sand Layers ledium Sand With w Bedrock Fragments	THETICS			
-35" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media ≥23" Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At:	58" Depth	To End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
≥23" Of Separation Of Separation End Of Soil Observation At: 58" End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:		on Of Observatio	on Relative To System		Elevatio	n Of Observat	tion Relative To System
End Of Soil Observation At: 58" End Of Soil Observation At: Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:			stribution Media				Distribution Media
Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:	≥23" Of Sep	aration			Of Sepa	iration	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: None Limiting Soil Conditions At:	End Of Soil	Observation At:	58"	End Of	Soil Oh	servation At:	
<u> </u>							

Bottom Of Dist	Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 35 Inches			
Signature:	Chan la			

9 of 10

Depth In Fact		B1	B2	88	: !	, la	B4	
Approximation	4	DARK BROWN LOAM 104R3/3						
	2	DARK YELLOW BROWN SANDY LOAM 10YR Y/L						M. Deleganistic Control
	8				No.	h ma have somethy as data street		*
	d	48						Angle special in the second
		DARK YELLOW BROWN MEDIUM SAND 104K4/4 60			er en			Martin Martin Control
	ŧ	BROWNISH YELLOW SAND 1048 GHG "						and the second s
American con the mine to the product of the register		74						

borings are made in orde		AND AND AND THE RES
pe and structure or soils at ill as the location of the w rata or bedrock.	valer table, impervious	XXXX TOPSOLXXX
wings are most easily made wever other expedients may e, post hole auger, etc.	with a hand auger, be utilized - back	SANDY LOAM
ils encountered at various to appearance, texture and		SANDY CLAY
epth at which water, bedroo encountered should be reco	orded.	WATER TABLE
	TYPIC	AL SOIL PROFILE
	LOG OF SOIL BO	RING
. вс	ORING NO. Z	
Depth	Soil	
	Description	
Feet		
Feet		
Feet	BIACK LOAM	
		J.
	Black Loam 2 TAN Loam	у сойт
	SIACK LEARN 22 TANK COAM 32	w.rh
	Black Laarn 22 Tay Loam 35 Tay Sithy Sant 45 Same As Arson made San The 5. Thy sand	with and
	Black Laarn 22 Tay Loam 35 Tay Sithy Sant 45 Same As Arson made San The 5. Thy sand	w.rh

	-SOIL BORINGS-	PARCEL B	
borings are made in order to de type and structure of soils at various ill as the location of the water tab strata or bedrock.	e donthe as	TOPSOIL	***
Borings are most easily made with a nowever other expedients may be util noe, post hole auger, etc.	hand auger, ized - back	SANDY LO	AM'
Soils encountered at various depths : is to appearance, texture and compos	should be listed	SANDY CLA	y.
Depth at which water, bedrock or her s encountered should be recorded.	avy clay layer	THEME	H
	TYPIC	WATER TABLE	FILE
LO	G OF SOIL BO	RING	
Depth	NO/ Soil scription		
	BROWN LAM		
	TAN SANDY COA	m	
3 1	JF:No -mobium .	- CANA	
	OBStew chion		

	-SOIL BORINGS-	GARCEZ B
h borings are made in order to the and structure of soils at vi- il as the location of the water trata or bedrock.	trious donths as	TÖPSÖL XXX
dorings are most easily made w owever other expedients may be oe, post hole auger, etc.	ith a hand auger, putilized - back	SANDY LOAM
oils encountered at various dep s to appearance, texture and co	oths should be listed omposition.	SANDY CLAY
epth at which water, bedrock of encountered should be recorde	r heavy clay layer d.	WATER TABLE SOIL PROFILE
BORI	LOG OF SOIL BOR	ING
Depth in Feet	Soil Description	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u>u</u> 3	TAN Silty SANDY	<u></u>
	TAN FINE SAME - + SMAN 1:MES	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.