## ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Melinda Nitti 10311 230<sup>th</sup> St N Scandia, MN 55073

3/27/2024

Dear Melinda Nitti,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your septic system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



## Compliance inspection report form

## **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 0703220220003	Reason for Inspection Sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 10311 230th St N Scandia, MN 55073	
Owner/representative: Melinda Nitti	Owner's phone: 6513419355
Brief system description: 1500 gallon pre-cast septic tank, 1000 dispersal system	gallon plastic septic tank, 1000 gallon plastic lift tank, mound
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/27/2024	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)  *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1	) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin	g to protect groundwater
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Cor	mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
System functioning normally during site visits. Inlet baffle of backup in the future. Recommend having the roots ren	on septic tank is close to clogged with roots - this could present a risk noved as soon as possible.
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594
Inspector signature: Benjamin Zierke	License number: 119
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-249-1346
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
Soil observation logs	equired forms  Tank Integrity Assessment  Operating Permit
☐ Other information (list):	

pact on public health – Co	ompliance comp	oonent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☑ No	☐ Other: ☑ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
None of the above observed.			
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2		on:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2	of 5  Attached supporting documentatio  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	on:
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentatio	o <b>n:</b> Smilies
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Smilies
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busin  Date of maintenance:	Smilies ess: 2428 3/21/2024
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busin  Date of maintenance:  □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Att	Smilies ess: 2428 3/21/2024
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Smilies ess: 2428 3/21/2024
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Smilies  ess: 2428 3/21/2024  each)  hin three years)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines businesses busines	Smilies  less: 2428 3/21/2024  ach)  hin three years)  ssment complies
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines business busi	Smilies  Pess: 2428  3/21/2024  Pach)  Thin three years)  Siment complies  Pessary – explain b

Р	roperty Address: _10311 230 <sup>th</sup> St N Scandia, MN 55073	
В	usiness Name: Zierke Soil Testing	Date: 3/27/2024
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet	ry? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Not applicable ☐	
	Attached supporting documentation.   Not applicable	
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
<del></del>	Operating permit and introgen biving compliance component #4 o	NOT applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting desumentation.	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

usiness Name: Zierke Soil Testing		Date: 3	3/27/2024	
Soil separation – Compliance co	omponent #5 o	f 5		
Date of installation 8/18/2017 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes      No	Attached supporting documentation:		
		$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Soil observation logs completed for th	ne report	
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separati		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, an not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	d ☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area☐	а)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
	d	A. Bottom of distribution media	104.1'	
		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	99.0'	
		C. System separation	5.1'	
		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0'	
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required \$2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)				
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

See attached boring log and elevations.

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021





## **Logs of Soil Borings**

Location of Project: 10311 230th St N Scandia, MN 55073

Borings Made by Ben Zierke Date: 3/11/2024

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-15"	10YR 3/2 loam	0	
15-20"	10YR 5/3 clay loam, 7.5YR 5/8 and 10YR 6/1 redox 12" separation credit		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring cresent in hole feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
U	leet	O	feet
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	le: feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole feet of depth feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth