

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: <u>3503220440003</u>	Reason for Inspection	Sale of home	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 15097 Old Marine Trail Marine on St Croix			
Owner/representative: Glenn Nickele		Owner's phone:	
Brief system description: 1250-gallon septic tank and a 800sqft g	ravity drainfield with soils a	already verified	

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4/11/2023

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- □ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) Failing to protect groundwater
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil separation (Compliance component #5) Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

Comments or recommendations

Original system from 1990. Buyers should be aware of the age of this system as it may be approaching its expected life. This inspection is no guarantee of future hydraulic performance.

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Lashinski Septic	Certification number: 3052
Inspector signature: 4-6-5-5-	License number: L4266

(This document has been electronically signed)

Available in alternative formats

Phone: 763-434-3915

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs	System/As-Built	Locally required forms	Tank Integrity Assessment	Operating Permit
Other information (list):				

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Other: Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar		

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Describe verification methods and results:

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	on:
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	🗆 Yes* 🛛 No	Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Lashinski Septic
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	🗆 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of maintenance busir	ess: L4266
		Date of maintenance:	4/2/2024
		Existing tank integrity assessment (At	tach)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be wit	hin three years)
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		(See form instructions to ensure asse Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	ssment complies with
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below	
		Other:	
Describe verification methods and	results:		

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

3a.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecu	red?	
	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No 🔲 Unknown		
3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety?	□ Yes*	🛛 No 🗌 Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		
3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	🗌 Yes*	🖾 No
3d.	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	□ Yes*	🖾 No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.		
	Describe verification methods and results:		

Attached supporting documentation: 🗌 Not applicable 🗌

4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 🗌 Not applicable

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No	If "yes", A below is required
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No	If "yes", B below is required
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design			
If the answer to both questions is "no" this section does not need to	ho co	molota	a d

□ Yes □ No

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?

Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

Date: 4/11/2023

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation		Unknown		
not located in Sho Protection Area or beverage or lodgir	a (select one): prior to April 1, 1996, and reland or Wellhead not serving a food, og establishment: east a two-foot vertical e from periodically edrock. systems built	 Yes □ No Yes □ No* Yes □ No* 	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for th Two previous verifications of required Not applicable (No soil treatment area Imdicate depths or elevations	vertical separation
performance syste or Wellhead Prote	ems located in Shoreland ction Areas or serving a lodging establishment: ree-foot vertical e from periodically		A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	24" 24" owed by Local
systems built unde Type IV or V syste Rules 7080. 2350 (Intermediate Insp 2,500 gallons per License required >	ms built under 2008 or 7080.2400 ector License required ≤ day; Advanced Inspector 2,500 gallons per day) ne designed vertical e from periodically	☐ Yes ☐ No*		

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

2 seprate inspectors have verifided the soils.

Upgrade requirements: (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

ArcGIS Web AppBuilder



4/3/2024, 2:01:49 PM

Parcels

Maxar, Microsoft

Inspector initials/Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

4.	Soil	Separation -	Compliance	component	#4	of	5	
----	------	--------------	------------	-----------	----	----	---	--

Date of installation: 1990	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
<i>(mm/dd/yyyy)</i> Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Soil observation does not expire. Pre observations by two independent pa unless site conditions have been alte	rties are sufficient,
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	Yes No	Conducted soil observation(s) (At	tach boring logs)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		Two previous verifications (Attach	boring logs)
beverage or lodging establishment:		Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no	drainfield)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		Unable to verify (See Comments/E	xplanation)
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)	
Non-performance systems built April 1,	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	Comments/Explanation:	
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		Examined soil pit 7/2/2018.	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	🗌 Yes 🔲 No	Indicate depths or elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	46"
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector			
License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	72"+
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		C. System separation	26"+
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	24"
Any "no" answer above indicates t failing to protect groundwater.	the system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	allowed by Local
5. Operating Permit and Nitroger	BMP* - Complian	ce component #5 of 5 \square	Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating			
Is the system required to employ a Nitroge			
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	specified in the system	aesign	
If the answer to both questions is "	no", this section doe	es not need to be completed.	
Compliance criteria			
a. Operating Permit number:		🗆 Yes 🔲 No	
Have the Operating Permit requirem	ents been met?		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	e and properly functionir	ng? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No	

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:	15097 Old Marine Trail, Marin	e on St Croix 55047	
Borings Made by Ben	Zierke	Date:	7/2/2018
Hand bucket auger us	ed for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Cla	ssification used.	

Depth, in Depth, in **Boring Number 1 Boring Number 2** Inches Inches 0-----0-----0-12" 10YR 3/3 silt loam 12-30" 10YR 4/3 silt loam 30-48" 7.5YR 4/4 sandy loam, 25-30% coarse fragments 48-72" 7.5YR 4/4 medium sand, 10-25% coarse fragments End of boring at feet End of boring at Standing water table: Standing water table: feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth Hours after boring Present at Present at Standing water not present in hole X Standing water not present in hole 1.1 Mottled Soil: Mottled Soil: feet of depth feet of depth Observed at Observed at Mottled soil not present in bore hole X Mottled soil not present in bore hole Comments: Comments: Depth, in Depth, in **Boring Number 3 Boring Number 4** Inches Inches 0-----0--feet End of boring at End of boring at feet Standing water table: Standing water table: Hours after boring feet of depth feet of depth Hours after boring Present at Present at Standing water not present in hole Standing water not present in hole Ľ Mottled Soil: Mottled Soil: feet of depth feet of depth Observed at Observed at Mottled soil not present in bore hole Mottled soil not present in bore hole Comments: Comments:

BORI	NG LOG
BORING 1	BORING ²
0-5" DARK BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM	D-8" DARK BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM
5"-38" BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM	8"-36" BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM
38"-68" BROWN FINE LOAMY SAND AND ROCKS (SLIGHT FILM)	36"-59" BROWN FINE MEDIUM LOAMY SAND AND ROCKS (FILM)
68"- LIGHT BROWN FINE TO MEDIUM	59"- BROWN MEDIUM COARSE SAND 8'0 AND GRAVEL
8'O LOAMY SAND AND ROCKS 8'O END BORING	8'O END BORING
End of boring at $3^{\prime} O^{\prime\prime}$ feet. Standing water table: Present at feet of depth,	End of boring at $\underline{\beta'-\delta''}$ feet. Standing water table: Present at feet of depth,

	rresent at reet of depen,		
-\`	hours after boring.	hours after boring.	
	Not Present in boring hole X .	Not present in boring hole	
	Mottled soil:	Mottled soil:	
α	Observed at feet of depth.	Observed at feet of depth.	
	Not present in boring hole X .	Not present in boring hole \times .	
· · · · ·	Observations and comments:	Observations and comments:	u finition a
			, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	n an		
			0
i se sta			
م مربع	the second se		
			۰ (۳
•/. •			
			a
			n (2) (
			· · · · ·
22	De la construcción de la		i Sugar
Constant lifes a start of the second		and the second state of th	

BORING LOG

BORING 3	BO	BORING 4			
0-6" DARK BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM	0 - 5"	DARK BROWN	FINE !	SANDY LOAM	
			•	· · ·	
6"- LIGHT BROWN FINE TO MEDIUM 8'0 LOAMY SAND AND ROCKS	5"-20"	BROWN FINE	SANDY	LOAM	
na Provinciana Victoria de la companya de la company Victoria de la companya de la company					
8'O END BORING	20"- 8'0	LIGHT BROW LOAMY SAND		TO MEDIUM	
	8'0	END BORING	1		
				•	
				•	
End of boring at $\beta' - \delta''$ feet.			.	•	
Standing water table:	End of boring at $\underline{8.0}$ feet.				
Present at feet of depth,		Standing water table: Present at feet of depth,			
hours after boring.					

