#### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110
Brian Humpal
651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com
MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 11047 12<sup>th</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

#### REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the limited original design/permit records on file at the City of Lake Elmo. This system (installed in approximately 1989) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on April 12, 2024.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the limited records, it is my opinion that this system presently meets MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

**Brian Humpal** 

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form

#### **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking	number:			
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer			
Local regulatory authority info: Washinton County					
Property address: 11047 12 <sup>th</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042					
Owner/representative: Susan Hendricks		Owner's phone: 651-528-0823			
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trend	ch drainfield.				
System status					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):4/12/2024					
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice	ce of noncompliance			
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.				
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	•	health and safety (ITPHS) must be se discontinued within ten months of receipt			
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.				
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)				
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi		and safety			
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	· ·	•			
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Imminent threat to public he	ealth and safety			
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	- Failing to protect groundwa	nter			
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) -	Failing to protect groundwater			
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prof	tect groundwater				
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) - Noncomp	liant - local ordinance applies			
Comments or recommendations					
Certification					
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowinadequate maintenance, or future water usage.					
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be			
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852			
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Home		License number: L2896			
(This document has been electronically sign		Phone: 651-492-7550			
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)			
<ul><li>☑ Soil observation logs</li><li>☑ System/As-Built</li><li>☑ Locally red</li><li>☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information</li></ul>	· -	rity Assessment			

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

System discharges sewage to the		Attached supporting documentatio	n:
ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates the imminent threat to public health and			
Describe verification methods and re	results:		
None of the above found.			
nk integrity – Compliance o	component #2	of 5	
n <b>k integrity</b> – Compliance c	component #2	of 5	
nk integrity – Compliance o	component #2	of 5  Attached supporting documentatio	n:
Compliance criteria:	•	Attached supporting documentatio	n:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2 □ Yes* ⊠ No		n:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	•	Attached supporting documentatio	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	•	Attached supporting documentatio	<b>n:</b> Pinky's : Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentatio  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	•	Attached supporting documentatio  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentatio  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 4/12/202
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentatio  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business.  Date of maintenance:	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 4/12/202
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L1673</u> <u>4/12/20:</u> ach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentatio  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busine  Date of maintenance:  ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Att	Pinky's s <u>Service</u> ess: <u>L1673</u> <u>4/12/202</u> ach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance businest of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's service  Service  ess: L1673 4/12/202  ach)  nin three yea
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicate	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be with	Pinky's service  Service  ess: L1673 4/12/202  ach)  nin three yea
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busined business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 4/12/202 ach) nin three yea sment comple
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicate	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the company of th	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 4/12/202 ach) nin three yea sment comple
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicate	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busines of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure asses Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 4/12/202 ach) nin three yea sment comple
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicate	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ tes the system r.	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance busined business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 4/12/202 ach) nin three yea sment comple

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Pro	perty Address: 11047 12 <sup>th</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 4/12/2024
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☐ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 c	of 5 Mot applicable
<del></del>		
		If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	_
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • *wq-wwists4-31b* • *4/28/2021* 

800-657-3864

<b>Soil separation</b> – Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 o	f 5			
Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy)	_⊠ Unkr	nown				
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes	□No	Attached supporting documentation:			
beverage lodging?			oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for the report			
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of require	d vertical separati		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	ea)		
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:						
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.						
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes	☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations			
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)		
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*			
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if al Ordinance.	lowed by Local		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*				
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.						


**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

# <u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA C	Compliance Inspection.			
Date of Inspection: April 12, 2024	Time: 10:15 AM			
Property Address: 11047 12 <sup>th</sup> St N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042			
Property Owner: Susan Hendricks	Phone: 651-528-0823			
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic 1       Fiberglass       Rock trench         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       At-grade	Other  Alternative system  Experimental system  Cesspool system  Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes ☐ No *If n	o, proper maintenance must be			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover	rs should be made accessible to			
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the	ne system.			
Year house built: 1989 Year septic installed: ≈1989	Tank size (gals.):			
1	idents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gra				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	,			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the sep	otic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings  Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings	•			
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bun	idings?			
Location of septic system on lot? Southwest Side				
	well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system?  If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 4/12/2024 Name of pump	er: Pinky's Sewer Service			
How often pumped in previous years? Is system	on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	g this system?			
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	new owner?			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/va are ultimately responsible for non-month of all foor for all week performed relative to this inspection.				

this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:	Date:



<u>NO SCALE</u> <u>11047 12th St. N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042</u>

### **Soil Observations Log**

	Location of Project: 11047 12th St N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042						
Ol	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Sei				10, 1111	Date:	4/12/2024
7		ation System:					, ,
	Soi	Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	ST-2
	Surface 98.70'			face			
	Elevation of Benchmark = 100.00' bottom of		Elevation of 98.70' Observation		98.70'		
	vation	siding at s	outhwest corner	Obser	vation		
Depth In Inches	Rock %	-	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %		<u>Encountered</u>
0-10			3 Silt Loam With	0-12			R 4/2 Silt Loam
10-17			e Of Gravel 2/2 Silt Loam	12-30 30-34	≈15		R 4/4 Silt Loam ndy Loam With Gravel
17-30		10YR 4	4/4 Silt Loam	34-70	≈5-10		Medium Coarse Sand
30-36	≈5-10		ndy Clay Loam With	70.05			Vith Gravel
36-64	≈5-10		nd Iron Nodules edium Coarse Sand	70-85	≈5-10	101K 5/4 Me	dium Sand With Gravel
		. Wit	th Gravel				
64-85	≈5-10	•	dium Coarse Sand th Gravel				
		VVII	iii Gravei				
94 28'	Flevatio	n To Bottom Of F	Distribution Media	94 28'	Flevatio	<u> </u> n To Bottom ∩	f Distribution Media
	Depth 1	o Redox Or End	Of Observation			o Redox Or E	nd Of Observation
≥2.66'/32" Of Separation		≥2.60	5'/32"	Of Separation			
End	Of Soil (	Observation At:	91.62'/85"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	91.62'/85"
Limi	ting Soi	Conditions At:	None	Limitin	ıg Soil C	onditions At:	None
		ater Present At:	None			r Present At:	None
Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 53 Inches Or Elevation 94.28' At Soil Probe							

Signature:	Charles Va	
------------	------------	--

#### **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.