

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf. **Property information** Local tracking number: Parcel ID# or Sec/Twn/Range: 3102821120007

. 4.00.100 01 000/1 Wp/1 (aligo. 510202 112000)	Reason for inspection I ransfer of deed
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 6544 CRACKLEBERRY TRL, CITY OF WO	ODBURY
Owner/representative: STAHL DONALD & SAMANTHA	Owner's phone: 651-233-3618
Brief system description: Two septic tanks to in ground drain fie	eld
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4/25/2024	
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)	– Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component	ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) - Failing	g to protect aroundwater
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con	npliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Pump the tanks every year or two due to the age of the sy	/stem.
Certification	
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	o determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of In conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.	Certification number: 9917
nspector signature: Shelley Schlomka	License number: 4137
(This document has been electronically sign	ed) Phone: 651-343-9117
Vecessary or locally required supporting doc	
☐ Other information (list):	quired forms Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a	s the system is an nd safety.		
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2		
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	component #2	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their		Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Schlomka's
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Schlomka's
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach	Schlomka's ss: 4/25/2024
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance:	Schlomka's ss: 4/25/2024 ch)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ates the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance)	Schlomka's ss: 4/25/2024 ch) three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ates the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Schlomka's ss: 4/25/2024 ch) three years) ment complies

P	Property Address: 6544 CRACKLEBERRY TRL, CITY OF WOODBURY								
В	Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.	Date: 4/25/2024							
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5								
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsec	ourod?							
	☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	Juicu:							
	o. Other issues <i>(electrical hazards, etc.)</i> to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐								
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	: LI LES MINO LI OUKUOMU							
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No							
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No							
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.								
	Describe verification methods and results:								
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable								
es.									
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	5 Not applicable							
4.									
1.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No If	"yes", A below is required							
1.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No If	"yes", A below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria:	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required							

usiness Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.			Date: <u>4/2</u>	25/2024				
Soil separation – Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 o	f 5					
Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ ⊠ Unkr	nown						
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?		□No	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the					
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required v					
5a.For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	Yes	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area) ☐					
beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.								
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		□ No*	A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allow Ordinance.	24" 60" 36" 7ed by Local				
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	Yes	□ No*						

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Charberstry of Minnesoura	ONSITE	MEWAGE	FREATMENT	PROGRAM

Soil Observation Log

Project ID:


ackleberry Trail	Disturbed/Fill	/Run-On potential:	e to benchmark:	ayer Elevation:	Auger	cture	Consistence	9300			בר ב	Loose								4/25/2024	(Date)		
Organic Matter Clading /	Flooding/R	vation-Relative	Limiting		Land Stru	Grade						varied in the construction of the construction								ture below ren			
	Alluvium Bedrock Organic Convex, Convex Convex Surface Eleva	ce El		ion Type:		Shape	Granular		Graniar	5	Granular								4137	(License #)			
tion / Address:		Vex		Observation	Indicator(s)													rules and laws	1	7082.0500 subp.			
Locati	☐ Loess ☐ Till [ernoon part sun		Redox Kind(s)	POD PRAKACIONANO GERTA DOMENTA DE PROPERTA MENTANTA DEL PROPERTA DE PROPERTA D												sable ordinances,		ording to Minn. R.	rsal site.	
	Il that apply) Outwash Lacustrine 1 L Side Slope Stope Stope Soil survey map units:	/ey map units:	2024 mid afte	See Map	Mottle Color(s)												(at 4'	nce with all applic		(Signature)	reatment and dispe		
Don Stahl		Soil sun	4/25/2		Matrix Color(s)	AND CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY	10YR 3/3		.5YR 3/4		10YR 4/4						g a pit. Bedrock	work in accorda		this soil observatic	the proposed soil t		
۵		Grass	r Conditions:		~ ⁹	rrag. %			0		2						e they last dug	have completed this		certify that	bedrock at		
	material(s): (Ch	Position:		f Day/Weather	#/Location:	Texture	er ordenstaden er Gertroone Arrandian per den meret fressische sein er	Loam		Medi	Loamy Sand	Loamy Coarse Sand						Sampled where	that	ley Schlomka	(Designer/Inspector) Verification: I hereby	saturate	
Client:	Soil parent m	Landscape Pc	Vegetation:	Date/Time of	Observation	Depth (in)	8.0	0-22"		23" - 38"		39" -60"						Comments: 5	I hereby certify	Shelley	(Desig Optional Verifi	the periodically	

(- NOI N319)

SS Septic Solutions, LLC additional terms and information.

- SS Septic Solutions, LLC has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period beyond the inspection date. Due to numerous factors (usage, maintenance, tank pumping, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system. The report shall not be construed as a warranty that the system will properly function for any period.
- 2. Minimum compliance inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the septic system has a watertight septic tank(s) and lift tank, the required separation from the bottom of the drain field/mound distribution medium and saturated soils, no backup of sewage into the dwelling and no discharge of sewage onto the ground surface or surface water. SS Septic Solutions, LLC does not inspect basement sewage ejector pumps or exterior lift pumps as they are a maintenance item. Sewage backup verification is limited to the information supplied by the last occupants/owner if available. I cannot guarantee that the information given to me is accurate. Some people may attempt to hide or conceal signs of previous backups.
- 3. Certification of this system does not warranty any future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system, new or old, can be hydraulically overloaded because of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying it, improper maintenance, heavy usage, tree roots, freezing conditions, or surface drainage problems. The system could simply stop working due to age.
- 4. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test of the longevity of the septic system. The inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the septic is polluting the environment at the date and time the inspection is performed. The inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or local unit of government code requirements.
- 5. Winter Work Client understands that inspections conducted in winter weather conditions are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and frost. Septic system components like tanks, tank covers, drop boxes and soil treatment areas are more difficult to locate in these conditions. Soil borings and drain field locations are also more difficult to perform due to ground frost. The client needs to understand that due to the weather conditions, the same level of standards may not be possible compared to an inspection during the spring/summer/fall months.
- 6. If hired to perform the compliance inspection, the client hereby agrees that SS Septic Solutions, LLC will not be responsible for any monetary damages, claims or causes of action including attorney fees arising from the performance of this inspection.
- 7. Nothing other than gray water (laundry, showers, etc.) human waste and toilet tissue should be disposed of into the septic tanks. Garbage disposals are not recommended. Smaller amounts of laundry, soaps, dish soap, cleaning agents, etc. are better for the system. Antibacterial soaps and chlorine agents may kill the bacteria needed to treat effluent properly. Additives are not recommended and may be harmful to your system. Recommend to pump and clean your tanks by a certified pumper every other year if you have 1 tank and every 2-3 years if you have a 2-tank system to ensure proper maintenance.





333 Main Street NW P.O. Box 388 Elk River, MN 55330 Phone: 763-441-7509

Fax: 763-441-9176

DRINKING WATER LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Last Name:

STAHL

First Name:

DON

Address:

6544 CRACKLEBERRY TRL

City:

State:

Legal:

County:

WOODBURY MN

Zip Code:

File #: 87785

Date/Time in Lab:

4/26/2024 9:47 AM

Unique Well #:

Drillers #:

Ordered By:

SS SEPTIC SOLUTIONS

Sampled From:

Outside Tap

Sampled By:

SS SEPTIC SOLUTIONS

Date/Time Sampled: 04/25/2024 930

Reason For Test: Coliform + Nitrate

Sample Temp:

18.8 ° C

Received on Ice: No

ANALYTE & METHOD

DATE & TIME OF <u>ANALYSIS</u>

MAXIMUM CONTAMINATION

TEST RESULTS

Coliform Bacteria (SM 9223 B)

04/26/2024 950

Negative

LEVEL (EPA)

Negative

Nitrate (EPA 353.2 Rev 2.0)

04/26/2024 1307

10.0 ppm

3.34 ppm

This sample

DOES

meet EPA guidelines for safe drinking water for the Analytes tested.

Notes:

The test results are only indicative of the sample tested from the sample point on the date collected. This report must not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from Water Laboratories, Inc. Minnesota Certification# 027-141-110, Wisconsin Certification #399044470 (for compliance with NR812)

Water Laboratories, Inc.

Amount Billed:

Amount Paid:

Date Paid:

04/26/2024

04/29/2024 Date:

Received By TJ

Entered By TJ

Edited By TJ