### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Inspection Address:** 9136 Penrose Ave S, Denmark Twp, MN 55033

### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 2015) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock trench drainfield. Ron's Sewer Service pumped the septic tanks on April 30, 2024.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 9136 Penrose Ave S, Denmark Twp, MN 55	5033
Owner/representative: Mary Rahman	Owner's phone:
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks and a rock t	rench drainfield.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/1/2024	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immil	•
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Failing to protect groundwater
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot	lect groundwater
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (April 1)	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally red	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit
Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa	tion, Disclaimer

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

System discharges sewage to the ground surface  System discharges sewage to drain title or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  None of the above found.  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	#1 of 5  ched supporting documentate ther: ot applicable	ion:
Compliance criteria:  System discharges sewage to the ground surface  System discharges sewage to drain litle or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  None of the above found.   The integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failling to protect groundwater.	ched supporting documentat	ion:
ground surface  System discharges sewage to drain title or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  None of the above found.   Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
System discharges sewage to drain title or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  None of the above found.  At the system consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
mk integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
mminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:  None of the above found.   None of the above found.   The integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
None of the above found.    None of the above found.   None of the above found.		
nk integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5  Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	ched supporting documentat	ion:
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		1011.
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	mpty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		Ron's Sew
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	ame of maintenance business:	Service
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		-
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	cense number of maintenance bus	iness: <u>L4007</u>
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	ate of maintenance:	4/30/2024
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	xisting tank integrity assessment (A	Attach)
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.	ate of maintenance	
is failing to protect groundwater.	nm/dd/yyyy): (must be w	vithin three years)
is failing to protect groundwater.	See form instructions to ensure ass	essment complies
	linn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	sssmem complies
	ank is Noncompliant (pumping not ne	accept, ovalois h
	ank is Noncompliant (pumping not ne	ecessary – explain b
	ther:	
Describe verification methods and results:		
Describe vernication methods and results:		

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

800-657-3864

	perty Address: 9136 Penrose Ave S, Denmark Twp, MN 55033  Siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 5/1/2024
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	<ul> <li>3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe</li> </ul>	
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes*
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	of 5 🛭 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 Page 3 of 4

Soil separation – Compliance con	npone	nt #5 o	f 5			
Date of installation 2015 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown				
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Soil observation logs completed for the state of the state o	the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):  5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□ No*	<ul> <li>☑ Two previous verifications of required vertical s</li> <li>☑ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)</li> <li>☑ Reviewed design and permit records.</li> </ul>			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.						
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes	es □ No*	A. Bottom of distribution media  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	See Attached Boring Log(s)		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			C. System separation  D. Required compliance separation*  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	lowed by Local		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	Yes	□ No*	Grainance.			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.						

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


800-657-3864

# Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection

	ition will be used for the pu	irpose of co	nducting an MPC.		•
Date of Inspection: Ma	ny 1, 2024			Time:	11:00 AM
Property Address: 91	36 Penrose Ave S, I	Denmark	Twp, MN	Zip:	55033
Property Owner: Ma	ary Rahman		-	Phone	
⊠Septic 2 □ Aerobic □ Lift	Tank(s)Material  ☐ Fiberglass  ☐ Plastic  ☐ Metal  ☑ Concrete ☐ Block ☐ Other ☐ At-	□Rock □Grav ⊠Char	eatment System to trench elless trench nber trench age bed nd	□Expe □Cess <sub>]</sub>	Other native system rimental system pool system r system
Are the tank maintenar	nce covers accessible	e? ⊠Ye	es □ No *I	no, prop	er maintenance must be
performed through the	maintenance holes.	Mainter	nance hole co	ers shou	ld be made accessible to
the ground surface to f	acilitate access and	proper m	aintenance of	the syste	m.
Year house built: 2015	Year septic	installed	: 2015	Tank siz	e (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000
How long has seller ov	vned the property?		Number of r	esidents i	n home?
Number of bedrooms?	5 Are		rs drained by		
Garbage disposal?		W	hirlpool bath	?	
More than one system					
Does this property hav	e any footing drain t	tiles conr	nected to the s	eptic syst	tem?
Are any buildings on the					-
Are there any additional	al systems on this pr	operty se	erving other b	uildings?	
Location of septic syst	em on lot? Northeas	t Side			
Location of water well					deep well? Y
			-		ots, sewage back-ups, e any repairs been made
When was the system	last pumped? 4/30/2	024	Name of pur	nper: Roi	n's Sewer Service
How often pumped in			-		onitoring plan?
Have you received not				ing this s	ystem?
Is your property locate					
Do you have any addit	ional information th	at should	l be given to t	he new o	wner?
considered "non-compliant/fa	ailing" per MPCA rules	, that the in	nspector must b	law subm	nderstand that if the system is at a copy of this report to the hat unless otherwise noted in

this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:	Date:



	/ / A dd		WWW B		d. 1111	1			10:00 AM Shoulder	
			omes-XXXX Penrose A		K, MN	Land	scape position			
-		Lot D, St	Croix Estates, Washir	gton County, MN		]	Vegetation		adow Grass	
	nt materials l that apply)	☐ Outw	Alluvium Be	_		on #/Location: vey map units		Slope shape	Slope% Linear, L	2.0 inear
Depth (in)	Texture	Coarse Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	I Struc Shape	tureI Grade	Consistence	
0-10	silt loam	0	10YR 3/1 Very Dark Gray				Granular	Moderate	Friable	
10-37	silt loam	0	10YR 4/4 Dark Yellowish Brown				Blocky	Moderate	Friable	
37-42	silt	0	10YR 4/6 Dark Yellowish Brown				Prismatic	Weak	Friable	
42-50	silt	0	10YR 4/6 Dark Yellowish Brown	10YR 5/2 Grayish Brown	Concentrations	S1	Prismatic	Weak	Friable	
50-61	fine sand	0	10YR 4/6 Dark Yellowish Brown				Single grain	Structureless	Loose	
Comment	s									
	Mottled at 42		d this work in accordan	ce with all applicable o	rdinances, rules a	nd laws.				
Jor	nathan L. Fara	ıci		MICO			#L3289		5/15/20	15
	(Designer)		-	(Signature)		-	(License #)		(Date	•)

	iversit Iinnesc		OSTP Soil C	, DSC. racion	5	30	3	Time	10:20 AM
Cl	ient/ Address:	Moore H	omes-XXXX Penrose A	venue South ,Denmar	Lands	scape position		Shoulder	
Legal Desc	cription/ GPS	Lot D, St	. Croix Estates, Washin	gton County, MN		Vegetation	Me	adow Grass	
	nt materials I that apply)	☐ Outw	ash □ Lacustrine □ Alluvium □ Be	□ Loess edrock □ Organic		on #/Location:		Pit 3 Slope shape	Slope% 2.0 Linear, Linear
Depth (in)	Texture	Coarse Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	I Struc Shape	tureI Grade	Consistence
0-10	silt loam	0	10YR 3/1 Very Dark Gray				Granular	Moderate	Friable
10-28	silt loam	0	10YR 4/4 Dark Yellowish Brown				Blocky	Moderate	Friable
28-60	fine sand	10	10YR 4/4 Dark Yellowish Brown				Single grain	Structureless	Loose
Comments	No mottling of			1	1	I .			
	tify that I have athan L. Fara		d this work in accordant	ce with all applicable or	rdinances, rules a	nd laws.	#L3289		5/15/2015
	(Designer)		-	(Signature)		-	(License #)		(Date)

of N	Ainnesc	TA	OSTP Soil C	boer vacion	. 205	1	3	Time	10:10 AM
С	lient/ Address:	Moore H	omes-XXXX Penrose A	Land	scape position		Shoulder		
Legal Des	cription/ GPS	Lot D, St	. Croix Estates, Washin	gton County, MN			Vegetation	Me	adow Grass
	nt materials Il that apply)	☐ Outw	ash Lacustrine	□ Loess drock □ Organic		on #/Location: rvey map units		Pit 2 Slope shape	Slope% 2.0 Linear, Linear
Depth (in)	Texture	Coarse Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)		tureI Grade	Consistence
0-9	silt loam	0	10YR 3/1 Very Dark Gray				Granular	Moderate	Friable
9-44	silt loam	0	10YR 4/4 Dark Yellowish Brown				Blocky	Moderate	Friable
44-66	fine sand	0	10YR 4/6 Dark Yellowish Brown				Single grain	Structureless	Loose
Comment	No mottling			1		I .			
	rtify that I have nathan L. Fara		d this work in accordan	ce with all applicable o	rdinances, rules a	ind laws.	#L3289		5/15/2015
	(Designer)			(Signature)	•	_	(License #)	•	(Date)

of $N$	IINNESC	TA			•	20	5	Time	10:30 AM	
CI	ient/ Address:	Moore H	omes-XXXX Penrose A	venue South ,Denmar	rk, MN	Land	scape position		Shoulder	
Legal Des	cription/ GPS	Lot D, St	. Croix Estates, Washin	igton County, MN			Vegetation	Me	adow Grass	٦
	nt materials	Outw	_	Loess	Observatio	n #/Location:	F	Pit 4	Slope% 2.0	
(Check at	l that apply)	<b>☑</b> Till	☐ Alluvium ☐ Be	edrock 🔲 Organic	Soil sur	vey map units	529B	Slope shape	Linear, Linear	_
Depth (in)	Texture	Coarse Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)		tureI Grade	Consistence	
0-10	silt loam	0	10YR 3/1 Very Dark Gray				Granular	Moderate	Friable	
10-32	silt loam	0	10YR 4/4 Dark Yellowish Brown				Blocky	Moderate	Friable	
32-66	fine sand	10	10YR 4/4 Dark Yellowish Brown				Single grain	Structureless	Loose	
										_
Comments	No mottling	obseved in	n pit.	no with all applies !!-	rdinanaa sul	ad laws				
	athan L. Fara		u une WUIK III accordan	be with all applicable of	rumances, ruies al	iu idW5.	#L3289		5/15/2015	
	(Designer)			(Signature)			(License #)	•	(Date)	-

Clie	ent/ Address:		91	36 Penrose A	ive S	Legal Desi	cription/ GPS:		22.027.20.21	.0012	
Soil parent m	naterial(s): (CI	heck all that	apply)	☐ Outwa	sh 🗌 La	custrine	□ Loess □	Till 🗹 Alluv	rium ☐ Bed	rock 🗆 Orga	nic Matter
Landscape Po	osition: (check	one)	] Summ	it 🗌 Shoulde	r 🗌 Back/	Side Slope	☐ Foot Slope	☐ Toe Slope	Slope shape		
Vegetation		Prairie		Soil surve	map units	529B-F	tipon Silt Loam	Slope%		Elevation:	876
Weather Con	ditions/Time	of Day:			-	M/Sunny			Date	0	7/24/15
Observation	n #/Location:			County Verif	ication Obse	rvation		Obse	ervation Type:	☑ Auger □	Probe Pit
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag.	Matr	iv Color(e)	Mottle	Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	ı	Structure	
Deptii (iii)	rexture	xture % Matrix Color(s)				COLOI (3)	Redox Rind(3)	indicator(3)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
0-12"	Silt Loam		1	10YR3/2					Blocky	Moderate	Friable
12-40"	Silt Loam		1	10YR4/4					Blocky	Moderate	Friable
40-60"	Sand	<35%	7.	.5YR4.6					Granular	Weak	Loose
60"	Bedrock										
Comments	44°48'59.3347	92° 47'36.39	119"				<u> </u>	<u>I</u>		I	<u>I</u>

### **DISCLAIMER**

### Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.