

520 Lafayette Foad North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 35.029.20.41.0002	Reason for Inspection	sale of property
ocal regulatory authority info: Washington County	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Property address: 529 Quixote Ave N Lakeland. MN 55043		
Dwner/representative: Joe & Connie Paiement		Owner's phone: 651-206-5200
Brief system description: Two plastic septic tanks and a rock tre	ench drainfield.	
The system decomption. The places dopen terms and a residual	, ron aran mora,	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/8/2024		
Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	⊠ Noncompliant – Noti	ice of noncompliance
Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect gr	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or		health and safety (ITPHS) must be
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	upgraded, replaced, or its u	se discontinued within ten months of receip
Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not	of this notice or within a sho	rter period if required by local ordinance or
quarantee future performance.	under section 145A.04 subc	tivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applical	ble)	
Impact on public health (Compliance component #1		c health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing		
	i to protect groundwater	
		public health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	nent #3) – <i>Imminent threat to</i>	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor	nent #3) – <i>Imminent threat to</i> nent #3) – <i>Failing to protect</i> <u>c</u>	groundwater
 ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compor ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 	nent #3) – <i>Imminent threat to</i> nent #3) – <i>Failing to protect (</i> .2500 (Compliance compone	groundwater
 □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☑ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing 	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of .2500 (Compliance compone ng to protect groundwater	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
 □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #5) 	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of .2500 (Compliance compone ng to protect groundwater	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
 □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations 	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of .2500 (Compliance component of to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – I	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
 □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #5) 	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of .2500 (Compliance component of to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – I	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
 □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations 	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of .2500 (Compliance component of to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – I	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failir ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of .2500 (Compliance component of to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – I	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
 □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations 	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of .2500 (Compliance component of to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – I	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failir ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of .2500 (Compliance component of to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – I	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
 □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations 	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of .2500 (Compliance component of to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – I	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Checked with Washington County for septic records, four thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown.	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of .2500 (Compliance component ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – I and nothing on file.	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies status of this system. No determination of
□ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comport □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Cocomments or recommendations Checked with Washington County for septic records, four thereby certify that all the recessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be true.	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of 2500 (Compliance component ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – I and nothing on file.	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system.
□ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Cocomments or recommendations Checked with Washington County for septic records, four thereby certify that all the recessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be truessed for the purpose of processing this form.	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of 2500 (Compliance component ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – I and nothing on file.	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system. y knowledge, and that this information can be
□ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componed of the Compliance Conditions) (Compliance componed System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing of the Compliance Components (Compliance Components) (Components) (Componen	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of 2500 (Compliance component ing to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – I and nothing on file.	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system.
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componed Dother Compliance Conditions (Compliance componed System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing Departing permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations) Checked with Washington County for septic records, four thereby certify that all the recessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be truested for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: Tom Troolen (Triis document has been electronically signature).	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of 2500 (Compliance component to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – I and nothing on file. If to determine the compliance cown conditions during system of the and correct, to the best of my gned)	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system. y knowledge, and that this information can be construction to the construction of the construction.
□ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon □ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon □ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 □ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failin □ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Checked with Washington County for septic records, four thereby certify that all the recessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown and the purpose of future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: □ Tom Treoien	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of 2500 (Compliance component to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – I and nothing on file. If to determine the compliance cown conditions during system of the and correct, to the best of my gned)	groundwater ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Noncompliant - local ordinance applies status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system. y knowledge, and that this information can be constructed. Certification number: 323 License number: 1568
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componed System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance componed Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations). Checked with Washington County for septic records, four thereby certify that all the recessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be truested for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC. Inspector signature: Tom Treoien (This clocument has been electronically signature). Necessary or locally required supporting decessing the supporting decessin	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect g. 2500 (Compliance component to protect groundwater mpliance component #4) – I and nothing on file. If to determine the compliance cown conditions during system of the and correct, to the best of my gned) Coumentation	status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system. y knowledge, and that this information can be constructed. Certification number: 323 License number: 1568 Phone: 612-594-449
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componed of the Compliance Conditions) (Compliance componed System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations). Checked with Washington County for septic records, four thereby certify that all the recessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown and equate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC. Inspector signature: Tom Troolen (This cocument has been electronically signature). Necessary or locally required supporting decessing the su	nent #3) – Imminent threat to nent #3) – Failing to protect of 2500 (Compliance component to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – I and nothing on file. If to determine the compliance cown conditions during system of the and correct, to the best of my gned)	status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system. y knowledge, and that this information can be constructed. Certification number: 323 License number: 1568 Phone: 612-594-449

	siness Name: <u>All State Septic Services LL</u>			Date: <u>5/</u>	8/2024
	mpact on public health — Co	этрпа	nce comp	Attached supporting documentation:	
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Other:	
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ Not applicable	
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes	⊠ No		
	en e				
•	Tank integrity – Compliance	comp	onent #2 o	of 5 Attached supporting documentation	
	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit.	☐ Yes	⊠ No		•
	cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?			Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their	⊠ Yes	□No	License number of maintenance busines	ss: <u>1613</u>
	designed operating depth?			Date of maintenance:	5/8/2024
				Existing tank integrity assessment (Attac	อา)
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	2 nd tan	k	Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within	n three years)
	The state of the s		The space of the state of the s	(See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	ment complies wit
				☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not neces	sary – explain belov
				Other:	
	Describe verification methods an The 1 st tank is at operating level, bu trenches are dry indicating that the	t the 2 nd t	tank is below o	operating level and leaking. The drop boxes to to the first tank before reaching the drainfield.	he drainfield

operty Address: 529 Quixote Ave N Lakeland, MN 55043 Isiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 5/8/2024
Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	ecured?
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety the adversely impact public health or safety than a subject of the adversely and the end of the adversely and the adversely and the end of the adversely and the adversely impact public health or safety and the adversely and the adversely impact public health or safety and the adversely and the adversely impact public health or safety and the adversely adversely and the adversely and the adversely and the adversely adversely adversely and the adversely adversely adversely and the adversely adversel	:y? ☐ Yes 🏻 No 🗋 Unknow
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes 🛂 No
3d. System not abaridoried in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes 🔯 No
and the second of the second o	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 c	of 5 🛭 Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or list the system operated under an Operating Permit?	of 5 Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete.	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete Compliance criteria:	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	of 5 Not applicable If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir

usiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC		Date: 5	/8/2024
Soil separation – Compliance con	nponent #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 2006? (mm/dd/yyyy)	☑ Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?		Soil observation logs completed for th	e report
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separation
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area☐	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☒ No	Indicate depths or elevations	7
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	4.0
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or loaging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	3.7
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical	-	C. System separation	0
separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental". "Other". or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules: Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2.500 gallons per day: Advanced Inspector License required > 2.500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	Yes No		

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced. **Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food. beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

٦ [

Soil Observation Log

v 04.02.2024

Project ID:

lient:		Joe {	Joe & Connie Paiement	Paiement			Locat	Location / Address:		529 Quixote Ave N Lakeland, MN 55043	eland, MN 55043	
oil parent ma	oil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that	apply)		Outwash 🔲 Lacustrine	l	Loess Trill []	Alluvium	Bedrock Organ	Organic Matter 🔃 Disturbed/Fill	d/Fill	
andscape Position:	ition:				Slope %:		Slope shape:			Flooding/Run-On potential:	n potential:	
Vegetation:				Soil s	Soil survey map units:	S:			Surface El	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	enchmark:	
hate/Time of	hate/Time of Day/Weather Conditions:	onditions:		5/8/24	5/8/24 am clear					Limiting Layer Elevation:	Elevation:	
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	В	B-1					0bserv	Observation Type:		Auger	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)		Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shane	Structure	Consistence	
	Medium	0	10YR	272								
0.16	Loamy Sand	<35										
16.30	Medium	735	10YR	3/2								•
10-30	Loamy Sand	CC.										
	Loamy Coarse	Ļ	10YR	4/3								
30-44	Sand	c										
C L 7 7	Coarse Sand		10YR 4/6	4/6								
44-57	and Rocks	0C^ 										
Comments:	High rock content at 44"	ent at 44"										
hereby certi	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all	completed	this work	in accorda		oplicable	applicable ordinances, rules and laws	es and laws.				
	Tom Trooien		ĺ		Tom T	Tom Trooien		_	1568		5/8/24	
(Des	(Designer/Inspector)	(: : !	-	(Sign	(Signature)	0 0000 0 0000	7.00 chs 2 A			(Date) (Date)	
Optional Verif	<u>ication:</u> I hereb turated soil or b	oy centify th sedrock at t	nat this soil the propose	i observatii ed soil trea	Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according benodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	according ersal site.	<u>Optional Verification:</u> Thereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to willink. 7002,0000 subplices According to willink. 7002,0000 subplices behadically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	ocoo saup. o			בנים יכווויממיסון סי יווע	
			ı					_	(1) The Control of th		10420	
1/097)	(LGU/Designer/Inspector)	tor)			กฎเร)	(Signature)			(Cert ::)		(Date)	

