## ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Fred Hosch 8380 224<sup>th</sup> St N Forest Lake, MN 55025

5/1/2024

Dear Fred Hosch,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your septic system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

Bergamin Zierke

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



## Compliance inspection report form

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)** 

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: _1103221320002	Reason for Inspection Sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 8380 224th St N Forest Lake, MN 55025	
Owner/representative: Fred Hosch	Owner's phone: 651-357-2857
Brief system description: 1200 gallon septic tank, 1000 gallon I	ift tank, mound dispersal system
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):5/1/2024	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)  *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all application	ble)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1	) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	g to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon	nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.	.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failir	ng to protect groundwater
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Co	mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
No issues observed during site visit 4/29/2024. Fred repo	orted no past issues with the system.
Certification	
	d to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594
Inspector signature: Bergagin Werker	 License number: 119
(This document has been electronically sig	gned) Phone: 651-249-1346
Necessary or locally required supporting do	ocumentation (must be attached)
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally r	required forms
☐ Other information (list):	

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain ille or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above observed.		
nk intogrity Compliance	component #2	of E
<b>nk integrity</b> – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2	of 5  Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentation:  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: Smilies
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: Smilies  License number of maintenance business: 2428
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	_ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: Smilies  License number of maintenance business: 2428  Date of maintenance: 4/29/202
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: Smilies  License number of maintenance business: 2428  Date of maintenance: 4/29/202  ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  2428  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment compliance)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business: Smilies  License number of maintenance business: 2428  Date of maintenance: 4/29/202  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment compliance)  Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))

Property Address: 8380 224th St N Forest Lake, MN 55025	
Business Name: Zierke Soil Testing	Date: <u>5/1/2024</u>
<b>3. Other compliance conditions</b> – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), of	or unsecured?
☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health o	r safety? ☐ Yes*  ⊠ No ☐ Unknown
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	•
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspect	or? ☐ Yes* ☒ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component	#4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes	No If "yes", A below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?   Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete.	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be compounded.  Compliance criteria:	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component  Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required No If "yes", B below is required


https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

usiness Name: Zierke Soil Testing		Date: <u>{</u>	5/1/2024
Soil separation – Compliance con	nponent #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 9/4/1990 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?  Compliance criteria (select one):	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Soil observation logs completed for the supporting of the support of the	·
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	·
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
		A. Bottom of distribution media	101.8'
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	99.0'
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	2.8'
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0' (2.55' with allowance)
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

See attached boring log and elevations.

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food,

800-657-3864





## **Logs of Soil Borings**

Location of Project: 8380 224th St N Forest Lake, MN 55025

Borings Made by Ben Zierke Date: 4/29/2024

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-7"	10YR 3/2 loam	0	
7-12"	10YR 4/3 loam		
12-17"	10YR 5/3 loam, 10YR 5/1 depletions (redox)		
17-20"	10YR 5/4 clay loam, 7.5YR 5/8 and 10YR 6/1 redox		
End of boring at Standing water table Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring  1 feet of depth   1 feet of dept	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring  feet of depth  feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
0		0	
End of boring at	feet	End of boring at	feet

			AND CONTRACTOR OF METANICAL AND
	4.6.3.4	NSPECTIO	ON RECORD
BUILDING	DATE	INSP.	
Foundation			COMMENTS
Foundation Wall			
Plumbing (Groundwork)		<del> </del>	
Gas Piping (Groundwork)			
Rough Plumbing		\	
Rough Gas Piping			
Rough Heating and Ventilation			
Framing		<u> </u>	
Insulation			
Fireplace		<del>                                     </del>	
Wallboard or Lath and Plaster			
Final Building.			
Final Plumbing			
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			of the second se
Final Gas Piping			
Final Heating and Ventilation			
SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM	DATE	INSP.	COMMENTS
Installation	9-4-90	JU	Tank Size: 7 Treatment Area: 374
As Built	· .		Installer: R.J. Landonaci
WELL	DATE	INSP.	COMMENTS
Installation			
e-Grout Nitrate Sample:			
ell Record Received:			Owner Receptance:
		1 000	pple Results Received:
OTES: 8.17.90	- la	uli-	left and sough up of
otes: 8.17.90	tea i	R	2. Q.
			R.J. Landscape

RECEIVED JUL 2 3 1990 22.4 th St. 8380 2245 ST-N. FL CONDITIONALLY APPROVED P. Canzel 7-26-90 WASHINGTON CTY. COPY GAC Fill Exsisting Septic Tork 100 Toe of Dike Set See North